



DAUPHIN COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS

COMMISSIONERS' WORKSHOP/LEGISLATIVE MEETING

WEDNESDAY, JUNE 8, 2005

10:00 A.M.

MEMBERS PRESENT

Jeff Haste, Chairman
Dominic D. DiFrancesco, II, Vice Chairman

MEMBER ABSENT

George P. Hartwick, III, Secretary

STAFF PRESENT

Chad Saylor, Chief Clerk/Chief of Staff; Bill Tully, Esq., Solicitor; Marie Rebeck, Controller; Bob Dick, Treasurer; Guy Beneventano, Esq., Assistant Solicitor; Steve Suknaic, Juvenile Probation Director; Mike Yohe, Budget & Finance Director; Randy Baratucci, Purchasing Director; Edgar Cohen, Facility Maintenance Director; Steve Chiavetta, Registration & Elections Director; Richie Martz, Commissioners' Office; Jena Wolgemuth, Commissioners' Office; Kacey Truax, Commissioners' Office; Tom Guenther, Information Technology Director; Bob Burns, Area Agency on Aging Administrator; Diane McNaughton, Press Secretary; Lena Martinez, Commissioners' Office; Melanie McCaffrey, Solicitor's Office; Kay Sinner, Personnel; Garry Esworthy, Risk Manager; Kelly Wolf, Solid Waste; Mike Pries, Safety & Security Director; Sandy Pintarch, Children & Youth; George Connor, Economic Development; Elke Moyer, Human Services; and Julia E. Nace, Assistant Chief Clerk

GUESTS PRESENT

Jack Sherzer, Patriot News; David Twadell; Jamal Jones; Andrew Giorgione, Esq.

MINUTES

CALL TO ORDER

Mr. Haste, Chairman of the Board, called the meeting to order at 10:00 a.m.

MOMENT OF SILENCE

Everyone observed a moment of silence.

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

Everyone stood for the Pledge of Allegiance.

APPROVAL OF MINUTES

Mr. Haste: We have two sets of meeting Minutes to approve. They are the May 18, 2005 Legislative Meeting and May 25, 2005 Workshop Meeting Minutes. Is there a motion to approve?

It was moved by Mr. DiFrancesco and seconded by Mr. Haste to approve the Meeting Minutes; motion carried.

We have the May 4, 2005 Salary Board Meeting Minutes and May 18, 2005 Salary Board Meeting Minutes. The Salary Board consists of three Commissioners and the Controller. Is there a motion to approve?

It was moved by Mrs. Rebuck and seconded by Mr. DiFrancesco to approve the Salary Board Meeting Minutes; motion carries.

ELECTION BOARD MEETING

A copy of the Election Board Meeting Minutes is on file in the Commissioners' Office.

EXECUTIVE SESSIONS HELD BETWEEN MEETINGS

Mr. Saylor: There were none since last week.

PUBLIC PARTICIPATION

Mr. Haste: We are at the point in time in the program for public participation. Is there anyone in the audience that would like to address the Board? (There was none.)

DEPARTMENT DIRECTORS/GUESTS

- A. Kelly Wolf, Solid Waste Management; and Andrew Giorgione, Esq., Obermayer, Rebmann, Maxwell

1. Approval of 2005 Substantial Municipal Solid Waste Management Plan Revision

Ms. Wolf: Andrew and I are here today to ask for your consideration to approve our 2005 Revised Solid Waste Management Plan. That is to include construction and demolition waste as regulated waste within our plan. At this point I will turn it over to Andrew.

Mr. Giorgione: We've discussed this Plan revision before. As you know since 1991 the County has regulated only municipal solid waste. Meaning the County has provided capacity assurance so that the municipalities in the County have a place to dispose of their municipal solid waste. What we are doing by this 2005 Plan revision, is we are adding C&D (construction & demolition) waste essentially to the term regulated waste. So it has become regulated waste in the County.

Let me go through the procedure that we've followed to get to this point today.

We first started looking at the prospect of regulating C&D waste back in 2002. DEP changed its regulations in 2001 to allow counties to do that. We found that there was substantial amount of C&D waste being generated in the County close to almost 100,000 tons per year. One of the reasons probably being that having all the capitol complex buildings here, there is always a lot of work going on and disposal of old materials. We thought at that time this was a valuable and viable opportunity for the county to both manage disposal of this waste and potentially increase our recycling numbers for the County.

March 27, 2003, we notified DEP that we were going to start studying and planning for this waste. We then applied for and obtained a grant to cover our expenses to do these planning efforts.

July 30, 2004, we notified all municipalities that we were going into this process. Let me explain why we are going through a very systemic planning process. This plan revision is a substantial plan revision. When we have been before you for prior plan revisions, they were non-substantial. Therefore, you don't have to necessarily follow certain steps to go through a planning process. In this regard, by DEP regulations this is a substantial plan revision because we are adding a new waste stream. That is right in the regulations. Therefore, we have to follow very deliberate procedures for getting this plan revision approved. That starts with notifying DEP and the municipalities that we're going to proceed.

September 2004, we met with our Solid Waste Advisory Committee. We talked to them about going forward with planning efforts for C&D waste. They supported us going forward with an RFP to assure capacity for C&D waste. We issued an RFP October 1, 2004. We received three proposals on behalf of six facilities. As you will recall it was the Commonwealth Environmental Systems, on behalf of the CES Landfill; Republic Services of Pennsylvania, on behalf of the Modern Landfill and Waste Management, on

behalf of four different landfills; Mountainview, Phoenix Resources, Laurel Highlands and Shade. All those facilities, that I just named, except Phoenix Resources are already in the County Plan. So we are just adding one new facility to the County Plan. In total these facilities reserved over 4,600 tons per week of capacity so it is more than enough that we need for the next ten years which is our planning cycle.

December 22, 2004, we sat before you with the contracts. You approved the contracts to go forward with these facilities. We then on February 12, 2005 advertised in the Patriot News that we were proceeding with this planning process and then provided a draft plan revision, which you have, to the Solid Waste Advisory Committee (SWAC) members, to all municipalities, to the Tri-County Planning Commission and to DEP. What we started at that point was called a 90 day comment period. We held a public meeting on March 14th. Everybody was notified. A few municipalities showed and then during this 90-day comment period, we received generally some minor comments, nothing substantive on the C&D waste. So what we did was prepare a comment response document to those minor comments. Then on May 26th we provided to you that comment response document, the draft plan which was not amended based on the comments we received. We also sent that to the Solid Waste Advisory Committee.

Here is where we are today. If you approve this draft plan, what we will do now is we will go back to the municipalities and start what is called a 90 day ratification period. We'll send the municipalities the final approved plan with a draft resolution and we'll ask the municipalities to approve this plan revision. What we'll also offer the municipalities is for us to come to a public meeting if they would like to explain it if they need any information or whatever they need in additional assistance besides what we have given them already.

What we need then by DEP regulations is for 50% of the municipalities representing 50% of the population to ratify the plan. So what you would need is essentially 40 municipalities in the County, 20 to approve but those 20 also have to represent at least 50% of the population of the County. If they don't then you'll need as many more for there to be 50% of the population.

If it is ratified then we will come back to you for approval of a new waste management ordinance and then we'll send it to DEP for approval. Our goal is to have the plan begin implementation January 1, 2006.

Mr. Haste: This is residential and commercial?

Mr. Giorgione: Correct.

Mr. Haste: It does not change the way haulers pick-up now, it is just where the materials go?

Mr. Giorgione: That is true. For the most part the facilities that have all applied to be part of the plan have been taking county C&D waste for years. There is really no

difference. You'll just be going to the same facility. One incentive is we are now assured capacity. We are now going to be managing it, overseeing how it is being disposed and looking at the numbers as to what kind of waste is being generated because they will have to report it as to where it is being disposed and looking for recycling opportunities. The only difference is now our administrative fee will be applied to this waste. So the facilities that take the waste will then have to remit the fee to the County for our planning.

Mr. Haste: What is the benefit to the citizens of the County by us doing this?

Mr. Giorgione: Ultimately, our goal which is a couple years out, once we start identifying where this waste is being generated, and as I said it is a lot, almost 100,000 tons a year that we have sustained for the last three years. There's got to be recycling opportunities. There's got to be bricks out there that are just being thrown in landfills. There's got to be concrete. There has to be a lot of reusable materials. So if we start identifying them, I would say economic means by which we can create a recycling program that makes sense. I think you will have reused materials. We are going to start generating new programs for recycling. There is going to be more recycling fees and more programs.

Mr. Haste: Is there a motion to approve the 2005 Substantial Municipal Solid Waste Management Plan Revision adding the construction and demolition waste plan to our plan?

It was moved by Mr. DiFrancesco and seconded by Mr. Haste to approve the 2005 Substantial Municipal Solid Waste Management Plan Revision adding the construction and demolition waste plan to our plan; motion carried.

SALARY BOARD

A copy of the entire Salary Board Minutes is on file in the Commissioners' Office.

PERSONNEL

Ms. Sinner: In the personnel packet, I have several vacancies. Spring Creek is requesting to fill nurse aide vacancies. Economic Development is requesting permission to fill their administrative assistant I/program coordinator assistant vacancy which just recently became vacant. Facility Maintenance has a part-time custodian vacancy. Security has a full-time security officer vacancy. The Sheriff's office, their chief deputy sheriff is retiring. They are requesting permission to actually fill that vacancy now and have someone in training before the chief deputy sheriff leaves.

Mr. Haste: Do they have money to do that?

Ms. Sinner: Yes, he does.

Mr. Haste: Mr. Yohe will you make sure of that?

Ms. Sinner: There is a vacancy in the Treasurer's Office and requesting permission to fill that. There are several new hires in Spring Creek, a part-time custodian for Facility Maintenance, and a legal clerk in D. J. Pianka's Office. There are some personnel changes and separations. I have the overtime reports and a request for overtime from the Prison which their correctional officers, new hires, started this week. So the overtime should be dropping in the future. Are there any questions? (There were none.)

It was moved by Mr. DiFrancesco and seconded by Mr. Haste to approve the personnel packet as presented; motion carried.

PURCHASE ORDERS

Mr. Baratucci: You should have all received your packet yesterday. I want to alert you to a couple of items on page 21 for Aging. There are four items at the top of the page that total about \$4,200. We still need to get Sandy Moore's approval on those. Elke is going to make that call today. We're confident that she will okay these. They slipped through before she left for her extended vacation. I would like to have those approved conditional upon Sandy agreeing. If there would be any problems, we could pull them prior to creating a purchase order. We couldn't get in touch with her before the meeting. We will do that later today. Other than that everything else is okay except some over budgets that we had taken care of yesterday since today is an approval meeting. They have all been fixed. Everything in the packet is clean. It is there for your approval unless you have any other questions.

It was moved by Mr. DiFrancesco and seconded by Mr. Haste to approve the purchase orders conditional upon Sandy Moore approving the Aging requests; motion carried.

REPORT FROM BUDGET & FINANCE – MIKE YOHE, BUDGET DIRECTOR

Mr. Yohe: I have two items on the agenda today. This being a short week, it is a small investment report. We only had one payout week since last week's meeting. It was a non-payroll week but there were transfer taxes that went out which pushed the figure up higher than usual. We had to transfer \$1,385,480.80 to payables to cover checks last week.

Report from the Office of Budget & Finance June 8, 2005

- **June 3, 2005** transferred **\$1,385,480.80** to the **Payables** account from the **County's Concentration account** for checks issued that week.
- **Total Term Investments – N/A**

- **Balance today in INVEST account \$123,741.96 rate 2.93%**
- **Balance today in Community Banks investment account \$20,000,640.49 rate 3.150%** (This rate is good through 6/30/05)
- **Balance today in Commerce Bank investment account \$40,530,571.79 rate 3.265%** (This rate equals today's 91-day T-Bill rate of 2.965% plus 30 basis points)
- **Balance today in Sovereign Bank investment account \$20,000,212.71 rate 2.889%** (This rate equals today's 1-month Libor of 3.110% plus 10 basis points less 10% reserve)

\$10M TRAN Line-of-Credit Status at PNC: No activity to date.

The RFP's are due back on Friday. Starting July 1, we may have at least two different banks participating in this three bank investment system we have going here. I have not gotten any back yet so I don't have any comments on how they are looking. I've gotten several phone calls. So people are looking at them.

Mr. DiFrancesco: Mike, are we set up to easily transfer money from the commercial bank accounts into that invest account?

Mr. Yohe: Yes.

Mr. DiFrancesco: Obviously, the third highest interest rate right now is that invest account.

Mr. Yohe: That is correct.

Mr. DiFrancesco: By all rights of our policy, really they should be holding the \$20 million as one of the top options. I know that normally you like to funnel new money in and not necessarily move money out but....

Mr. Yohe: I would be happy to do that. That rate changes daily but it has been creeping up. I think it is up a couple points over last week's report. Sovereign stayed the same.

Mr. DiFrancesco: Last week the invest was higher than Sovereign again so that is two weeks now.....and it is only a little bit but you're talking \$20 million. I'm sure that adds up.

Mr. Yohe: I wouldn't try to guess that. It makes some difference. If you want I can move some money in, I don't know if you want to move all of it in or.....

Mr. Haste: Do we have a commitment? I think we should move it based upon depending on how you answer this question. When we do this with the three banks, have we made a commitment to keep a quarter of our funds in their bank for a period of time?

Mr. Yohe: No, we haven't. I think we are going to make that commitment with this RFP starting July 1. Up to this point, we have not had any kind of formal commitment. We've had an understanding but we haven't made a formal commitment to any of them.

Mr. Haste: Then why don't you do this. Why don't you call your contact at Sovereign and I'll ask Nick if he is alright with this...ask them to match the invest rate and if not give them the choice to move it.

Mr. Yohe: I gave them a heads up yesterday that we're not to happy that it is under the lower rate. I'm not sure there's kind of a switch right now between...I haven't heard from the Waypoint contact for a few weeks. Anthony Steele is working on the RFP's so he may be the new contact. I'll check with him.

Mr. Haste: If they can't match it....

Mr. Yohe: What he was talking about, July 1 was very attractive and a different program then what it is in right now. He's telling me that we are still in the old kind of Waypoint conversion account. And what they are proposing July 1 is a different product.

Mr. Haste: In the meantime, we have three weeks left.

Mr. Yohe: Okay.

Mr. Haste: If they don't match it.

Mr. Yohe: Will do.

2005/2006 FISCAL BUDGET – MIKE YOHE

My second item on the agenda today is our day to make our fiscal year 2005/2006 budget available for its twenty day public inspection period.

As you are aware, we have two budget cycles in the County. One that follows the calendar year basis which is required by the County Code, that is our main operating budget. We also have nine funds that run a July to June fiscal year to correspond with the state budget. They have to report on that basis.

I met with each of the department directors. We've had a public hearing on May 26th. Some of the numbers have changed since that public hearing and we'll go over that. The final product that we have here today, again, this is a proposed budget that has to

be on the table for twenty days. It can still be tweaked. It is easier to tweak it downward than it is upward.

The nine funds that we have are Area Agency on Aging; Children & Youth; Drug & Alcohol; Aging Consumer Contribution Fund; MH/MR; then we have the state fiscal year grant fund. There are a lot of departmental grants that are run through that. If a grant corresponds from July to June fiscal year, we stick it in that fund. We have Weatherization; Human Services Development Fund; and EMA's HazMat Fund. The total for the nine funds is combined currently \$128,917,094.00. We are proposing for next year \$133,905,064. The jump is 3.87% and that is entirely found in MH/MR with the State Hospital closures getting a bunch of transitional funding and an increase in the MR allocation also. But you can see their budget is going up almost \$8.6 million. The budget is only going up about \$5 million in total so everybody else's has come down particularly in the Children & Youth area with the cut in TANF funding. The first page is just a total summary for each of the funds.

The more important page would be the second page which shows how much County general fund dollars, or you can say tax dollars, go into each of these programs. If you look on the fourth column from the left, the increase and decrease, we have two funds that have significant increases. We'll start with the Children & Youth Fund. As you know, that is a direct result of our decrease in TANF funding for 2005/2006. Again, when I met with them, they had requested I believe around \$8.5 million and we've knocked about \$700,000 out of that. I think we are still looking at that fund. For right now that's the numbers we are at, \$989,682 increase or 14.37% over the current year budget amount. The only other fund that is showing a significant increase is the state fiscal year grant fund. We really didn't talk about that at the public hearing. That is primarily a juvenile probation grant that has about 16 probation officers in it and with our increase in health care costs and everything else hitting beginning in 2005, that had a big impact on this because there are 17 people in that fund. That is something that I told Juvenile Probation that we would discuss but here is the number just keeping status quo in that fund. It does drive up the transfer from the general fund.

Weatherization was another one that changed pending our public hearing on the 26th. Talking with Craig he revised his budget to not require any County funding for next year and increase the expected utility work.

Human Services Development Fund has a slight increase. That is primarily the indirect costs that run through that fund. There is a cap they can charge as an administrative fee. I think \$7,000 is a little unrealistic for this year. I think the \$10,000 is more in line and probably what we will expect for next year.

The third page is only one fund that is requesting any new positions. That is Children & Youth. Each of these positions, I believe were to move what we are paying for outside in a provider service to in-house at a significant savings. In order to do that, I'm not sure what the total savings was. Annualized it may be \$700,000-900,000. We are

actually moving an outside service to in-house and hiring some people in order to save some money. There are no new positions in any of the other funds.

The last two pages are just some charts showing the allocation, where the money comes from to where it goes. As you can see in this budget, we have about \$9 million total from the County which it equates about 7% of the \$134 million. Most of it comes from the state and federal government.

An overwhelming amount going out for provider services; salaries and benefits make up about 11%; our indirect cost that gets funneled back to the general fund is about 1%, but again it is mostly purchased services in this whole entire \$134 million budget.

That is what I have. Do you have any questions? We will be asking to place this budget on the table for a 20 day public inspection period.

Mr. DiFrancesco: There is a serious policy problem going on and we've been talking about it for a long time. Obviously, the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, shifting the burden down to the counties and we're going to see that impact tremendously here. I'm going to use the Children & Youth line item because it has the most impact. Dauphin County's property owners are now going to be paying out \$989,000 more under this budget and receiving \$5 million less for that increased expense to them directly. \$5 million less in service that will translate into more children on the streets, less programs, less prevention, and more than likely it will translate into more children in the criminal justice system down the road. This budget basically cost us a lot more to make our communities less safe, to make our children and families less safe and less better off. Needless to say it is a challenging budget. It is one that we have been wrestling with for probably most of this year. There seems to be no real solutions. What we are being forced to do is make drastic cuts in programs that have proven themselves over the years and have been very productive, have really allowed us to break trends in increased crime, maybe put children on different paths. For all the good works that we've done now those programs are getting cut because quite honestly, our property owners cannot afford to pay for these programs as the state shifts this burden. The comment that I want to make is, I understand the budgets are tight all over, I understand the state, federal and local levels have to shift and make some hard decisions but while the state is shifting this burden down to the counties, they have refused to give us the options on how we fund them which means we have to sit here and balance the needs of families that are having difficulties, children that are in unsafe conditions with the fact as they shift this burden on us, it requires property taxes and requires more people to lose their homes because their property tax bill is too high. So we are getting squeezed in the middle of two sides. The state administration I guess has the luxury of sitting up on the Hill and almost in perfect pilot form washing their hands of it. It is not right. This process has been not carried out very well. There should have been more communication. There should have been more thought going into the process but I guess at this point we are stuck with it. Our budget is going to see obviously a higher percentage increase in spending than what inflation should dictate, but that's because the administration chose to shift that burden upon us. I'm curious to see what kind of

public comment we get on this budget, because it will have a very significant and meaningful impact on our community. There are a lot of people out there that should be enraged and should comment on what's going on.

Mr. Haste: I hope this is a budget the people take a serious look at and do comment about it. As Nick was commenting and he makes a very good point, I was going to present it in a little different way but it's the same thing. Our overall department budgets are under 4% but yet the County general fund has to increase three times that, 12%. It's clear it's no reason other than, the Governor has decided to balance the budget on the backs of County governments. It sounds like a broken record. It's getting worse and worse. The irony of it was, then we look at the news reports today, the major concern on the Hill isn't about fixing this, it's whether they can do a trade off or an increase in minimum wage for salary increases for our legislators. I wish they would spend as much time worrying about this. The minimum wage increase will help some folks out but quite frankly it wouldn't help the people that get cut in Children & Youth, because it is a group of kids and a group of people that won't get the chance for the minimum wage because they are going to be locked up somewhere. If we don't deal with them then, they will be locked up somewhere else over in DCP. It is really ridiculous where their priorities have been set up on the Hill. Probably all the more reason, I'm glad I'm not there any more. I would like too, as difficult as this is going to be, and I don't want the folks in Human Services in the County to misread some of my comments or actions, I would like to comment that I'm very proud of our directors and how hard they work to put a decent budget together. This budget shows how hard and diligent and how caring they are about the County tax dollars because they have come in with budgets that have significant cuts and have come down. But yet, it still costs us more money to accomplish what you're doing. I appreciate that and I don't want you to misread some of my other frustration. It is not with you. It is quite frankly with the folks that are four to five blocks from here. This is a budget that I will not be able to support. I understand it. I have difficulty with it and probably one that will not get my blessing. We look forward to hearing comments from the public over the next twenty days.

Mr. DiFrancesco: I have one other thing. As I'm sitting here reviewing this too it is interesting to me that if you look at our budget that we passed last year and we were able to hold it to that generally 4% growth range, the money that we will be receiving if you look at this budget adds up to about 3.87%. So on the state and federal side, they're holding what our budget philosophy is and then you flip the page and you look at what we had to do in terms of just absolutely cutting and devastating programs and our spending has to go up 12.42% in order to match their 3.78%. Again, I do want to be clear because I may be misunderstood when I say this process has been atrocious and handled irresponsibly. I'm not talking about on our side because on our side people have done everything they can. They've gone in, they've looked, they've cut and looked some more. They've come back to us saying this is as far as we can go without having a devastating impact on what is going on in the community. Our folks have done very, very well in a very difficult budget cycle where they were being asked to do impossible tasks. A spin-off to this unfortunately will be some very good service providers, also out in the community, may no longer be in existence. Other service providers in order to

meet the demands placed on them by certain organizations in order to receive the money, are going to be forced to increase their operating costs. The system is going to get more expensive. There is going to be less money to buy the services. There are going to be certain service providers that are no longer needed and will go out of business which will mean we can't even come back later on and say, hey, folks we've gotten some money back from the state lets go at it. This budget is just going to have tremendous impact on this community and there is going to be a ripple effect that I truly believe the state administration has not looked at, doesn't understand and I hesitate doesn't care about.

Mr. Haste: Mike, one other thing that I would like you to look at before we take final adoption. I noticed the Drug & Alcohol budget is going down by 9.3% or \$439,000. Take a look at the contract because I believe the contract says we give a certain percent. And if their overall spending is going down, I'm asking why our contribution isn't going down?

Mr. Yohe: Remember at the hearing the tobacco money is going directly, rather than coming through the County and then being transferred over.

Mr. Haste: But I would take a look at that contract between us and that Board. Even with that since it is not going through us, I would see if in fact there shouldn't be, I think there ought to be a similar reduction in our contribution.

Mr. Yohe: Okay.

Mr. Haste: If it is gray, I would say cut it and let them worry about it.

Mr. Yohe: The vote that I would ask for, is to make this available and we have twenty days to maybe come up with a little bit better option.

Mr. Haste: Is there a motion to lay the 2005/2006 Human Services Budget on the table?

It was moved by Mr. DiFrancesco and seconded by Mr. Haste to lay the 2005/2006 Human Services Budget on the table for a twenty day public comment period; motion carried.

REPORT FROM CHIEF CLERK/CHIEF OF STAFF – CHAD SAYLOR

Mr. Saylor: I regret that Mr. Serhan is not here to receive the praise that I'm about to heap on him. You should have received the email of a copy of the travel policy that he basically took our current travel policy went through it with a comb, updated it, and enhanced it in a number of different ways. He really did an excellent job and a very thorough job. Personnel has reviewed it. We'll have the Solicitors review it and will bring it before you for a vote in the coming weeks. I wanted to make you aware that we have this and it should be in your email box for review.

SOLICITOR’S REPORT – BILL TULLY, ESQ.

Mr. Tully: No changes to the report but happy to answer any questions you might have.

MATTERS REQUIRING BOARD ACTION

Mr. Haste: We have Matters Requiring Board action, Items A through T. Are there any items that need to be pulled out for discussion?

Is there a motion to approve Items A through T?

It was moved by Mr. DiFrancesco and seconded by Mr. Haste to approve Items A through T; motion carried.

- A. Training Packet
- B. Approval of FY04/05 & FY05/06 Per Diem Rates between Children & Youth Agency and:
 - 1. Cornell Abraxas Group, Inc.
 - Family Group Conferencing Coordination \$449,868/annual
 - 2. Roger Friedman FY04/05
 - System of Care trainings \$1,177/session
 - 3. Community Action Commission FY04/05
 - Educational Software for FSSR Project \$467.60
 - Tools & Supplies for Enrichment Activities-FSSR \$475.00
 - 4. Balinge Brown FY04/05
 - Grant writing project \$3,000/annual
 - 5. Alternative Rehabilitation Communities, Inc.
 - Foster Care-Dependent \$59.21/day
 - Foster Care-Delinquent \$74.66/day
 - Pre-Release/Outreach \$93.15/day
 - Residential-male \$186.24/day
 - Residential-female \$186.24/day
 - Residential-special needs-male \$200.67/day
 - Secure Residential-male \$193.53/day
 - 6. Bradley Center, Inc.
 - Robinson Campus-RTF \$316.73/day
 - South Campus-residential \$225.00/day
 - Mt. Lebanon Campus-RTF \$309.96/day
 - Therapeutic Foster Care \$76.99/day
 - 7. Centre County Youth Service Bureau
 - Stepping Stone Transitional Living Program \$107.00/day
 - Zerbe Gap Group Care \$155.00/day
 - 8. Children’s Choice, Inc.
 - Foster Care-Level I \$36.87/day
 - Foster Care-Level II \$48.28/day
 - Foster Care-Level III \$72.52/day
 - Foster Care-Medical Level I, II, III & IV \$36.87/day
 - 9. Cobys Family Services
 - Foster Care-Level I \$37.00/day
 - Foster Care-Level II \$55.00/day
 - Foster Care-Level III \$66.50/day

	Visitation	\$38.00/day
	Med. Fragile Foster Care Level I	\$38.64/day
	Med. Fragile Foster Care Level II	\$38.34/day
	Med. Fragile Foster Care Level III	\$25.69/day
	Med. Fragile Foster Care Level IV	\$22.31/day
	Teen Mother & Child Residential	\$180.00/day
	Teen Mother & Child Residential mom	\$122.31/day
10.	Cornell Abraxas Group, Inc.	
	Abraxas I-Intensive Treatment/ Drug Sellers male	\$163.11/day
	Abraxas I Shelter	\$197.68/day
	Abraxas II Community Based	\$140.64/day
	Abraxas III-Community Based	\$139.63/day
	Abraxas Center for adolescent females	\$178.69/day
	Leadership Development Residential	\$144.34/day
	Psychosocial Rehabilitation Unit	\$254.73/day
	Erie Residential Mental Health	\$247.29/day
	Cornell Abraxas Youth Center	
	Detention	\$208.74/day
	Shelter	\$208.74/day
	Fire Starter	\$292.91/day
	Sexual Offender Program	\$292.91/day
11.	Evergreen Youth Services, Inc.	
	Group Home	\$160.00/day
12.	Families United Network, Inc.	
	Intensive Assessment	\$174.66/day
	Basic Community Residential	\$154.44/day
	Therapeutic I	\$171.14/day
	Therapeutic II	\$184.49/day
	Behavioral & Restorative Justice	\$161.72/day
	Supervised Independent Living – Ashler	\$165.34/day
	Supervised Independent Living- Arborvale	\$149.29/day
	Emergency Shelter Care	\$164.68/day
	Diagnostic	\$194.10/day
	Family Based Treatment-child	\$54.68/day
	Family Based Treatment-mother-toddler	\$115.15/day
	Therapeutic Foster Care	\$86.79/day
	Family Foster Care-emergency	\$68.02/day
	Family Foster Care-respite	\$41.84/day
	Intensive Visitation Foster Care	\$66.59/day
	Medical Foster Care-Level I	\$43.92/day
	Medical Foster Care-Level II	\$43.29/day
	Medical Foster Care-Level III	\$32.47/day
	Medical Foster Care-Level IV	\$24.65/day
13.	Hoffman Homes, Inc.	
	Residential Treatment Facility	\$231.96/day
14.	Holpen Village, Inc.	
	Group Home	\$160.00/day
15.	Shawnee Academy, LTD	
	Residential Treatment-Shawnee-JCAHO	\$217.42/day
	Residential Treatment-Shawnee-C&Y rate	\$188.80/day
	Personal expenses & allowances	\$2.00
16.	Youth Services Agency	
	Alternative Challenge Treatment	\$142.50/day
	Alternative Challenge Treatment-residential	\$142.50/day
	Intensive Counseling Services	\$70.00/session
	Job Corp Aftercare/Intensive case management	\$33.00/day

Urinalysis testing/drug

\$5.00/per test

- C. Approval of the Dauphin County Mental Retardation Plan for FY2006/2007 submitted to the Department of Public Welfare.
- D. Adopt Resolution No. 18-2005 authorizing Dauphin County to collaborate with the counties of Cumberland, Franklin, Lebanon and Perry to seek LUPTAP grant funding to further The Foundation's Community Investment Initiative.
- E. Approval of a Budget Amendment for FY04/05 Area Agency on Aging Budget to be submitted to the Pennsylvania Department of Aging.
- F. Satisfaction Piece with Mildred Claudio for property located at 520 Filmore Street, Harrisburg.
- G. Subordination Agreement for Kirt R. Heintzelman on property located at 1320 Penn Street, Harrisburg.
- H. Proposal/Agreement with Pennoni Associates, Inc. to provide engineering and construction plan services for the reconstruction of the Everhart Covered Bridge.
- I. Service Agreement between D. C. Prison and Honeywell Building Solutions to increase the Video License for the Prison's camera system.
- J. PEMA Grant Agreement – Hazardous Material Response Fund Guidance and Grant Application for 2005-2006 Funding Year to enhance ongoing County efforts to develop and maintain Hazardous Material Safety Programs. (EMA)
- K. Trust Agreement between Dauphin County and States Self-Insurers.
- L. Purchase of Services Agreements for FY05/06 between Area Agency on Aging and:
 - 1. The Cumberland-Perry Association of Retarded Citizens (CPARC)
 - 2. Middletown Interfaith Housing, Inc.
 - 3. Masonic Homes' Adult Daily Living Center
 - 4. Portraits of Life Adult Day Services, Inc.
 - 5. Yoffe & Yoffe, P.C
 - 6. Jean A. Gabsewics, RD
 - 7. Dr. Michael Greevy
Riverside Associates, P.C.
- M. Purchase of Service Agreement Amendment for FY04/05 between Children & Youth Agency and:
 - 1. King's Kids Camp - Amendment #1
 - 2. Balinger R. Brown – Amendment #1
- N. Adoption Assistance Agreements:
 - 1. 2005-23
 - 2. 2005-24
 - 3. 2005-25
 - 4. 2005-26
- O. Purchase of Service Agreements for FY05/06 between Mental Health/Mental Retardation Agency and:

1. The ARC of Dauphin & Lebanon Counties
2. Family Service Partners
3. Gayle Z. Goepfert, MS, CCC/SLP (dba Goepfert Speech Associates)
4. Melmark, Inc.
5. Paxton Ministries
6. Joanne P. Peters, MS, OTR/L
7. CONTACT Helpline, Inc.
8. Country Meadow of Hershey
9. Gaudenzia, Inc.
10. Victoria T. Kern, M.P.T. (dba Kern Physical Therapy Services)
11. Messiah Village
12. NAMI Pennsylvania
13. Lizabeth Kelly (dba Pediatric Physical Therapy)
14. Red Rose Transit Authority
15. Riverside Associates, P.C.
16. United Cerebral Palsy Central PA
17. Barbara Weber, M.S., CCC-SLP
18. Masonic Village
19. Portraits of Life Adult Day Service, Inc.
20. Patch-N-Match, Inc.
21. Visiting Nurse Association of Central PA, Inc.
22. Volunteers of America
23. Pennsylvania Counseling Services, Inc.
24. Karen K. Reale, OTR/L
25. Debra A. Ziegler, OTR/L
26. Cheri Rodgers, SLP-CCC, M.A. (dba 2 Communik8)
27. Bobbi Butch, M.S., OTR/L
28. Bonnie J. Glass, MA, CCC/SLP
29. Healthsouth Rehabilitation of Mechanicsburg
30. International Service Center
31. Nancy McCorkle, PT
32. Emily Player, M.S., CCC-SLP
33. TMB Developmental Therapy & Infant Massage, Inc.
34. YWCA of Greater Harrisburg
35. Starobin & Blanc Counseling Services
36. Frank Magnelli
37. Fetterhoff & Zilli
38. Central Pennsylvania Supportive Services
39. Center for Independent Living
40. Geisinger Community Health Services, Living Unlimited Program

P. Emergency Shelter Grant Agreement between Human Services Director's Office and:

1. Pennsylvania Department of Community and Economic Development

Q. Community Action Commissions' Cooperation Agreement for the Derry Street Bank Building Housing & Redevelopment Assistance Grant.

R. Real Estate Tax Refunds/exonerations:

1. Partial refund of 2005 real estate taxes to Azirah & John Yi, 2407 Swatara St., Harrisburg, parcel #13-027-038, in the amt. of \$436.45.
2. Partial refund of 2005 real estate taxes to Vanessa Graham, 1400 Skyview Circle, Harrisburg, on parcel #13-027-038, in the amt. of \$436.45.

- S. Purchase of Service Agreement between Children & Youth Agency and Cumulus Media.
- T. Change Mutual Non-Disclosure Agreement approved April 6, 2005 with PRAM Insurance Services, Inc., to Strategic Pharmacy Solutions, LLC.

FORMER BUSINESS

Mr. Haste: Is there any former business that needs to be brought before the Board?
(There was none.)

NEW BUSINESS

Mr. Haste: Is there any new business that needs to be brought before the Board?
(There was none.)

COMMISSIONERS' COMMENTS

Mr. Haste: I would like to note for the record that we have been joined by City Council Member Otto Banks. Thank you for joining us. Do you have any words of wisdom for us while you're here? (There was none.)

CORRESPONDENCE

Mr. Haste: We have correspondence that has been received by the Board, items A-D that will be handled by the staff appropriately.

- A. Notification from CET Engineering Services, on behalf of Susquehanna Township Authority, indicating the submission of a general permit registration application for the use of general permits 5 & 8 for the construction of utility stream crossings and a temporary road crossing as part of the Authority's sanitary sewer extension and Wayne Avenue Ejector Station demolition project.
- B. Notification from Cingular Wireless indicating they are applying to DEP for a general NPDES permit for stormwater discharges associated with construction activities, at the project site of 768 Paxton Drive, Dalmatia, Upper Paxton Township.
- C. Notification from Hartman & Associates, Inc., indicating they intend to apply to DEP for a general NPDES permit for construction activities associated with a proposed development, houses at Oakhurst, in Susquehanna Township.
- D. Notification from Pennoni Associates, Inc., on behalf of Hershey Trust Company, Trustee for Milton Hershey School, indicating they intend to submit an application to DEP and U.S. Army Corps of Engineers for a joint permit to fill two wetland systems with the construction of new athletic fields for the Milton Hershey School, Derry Township, Dauphin County.

PUBLIC PARTICIPATION

Mr. Haste: We are again at the point in time for public participation. Is there anyone in the audience that would like to address the Board? (There was none.)

ADJOURNMENT

It was moved by Mr. DiFrancesco and seconded by Mr. Haste to adjourn the meeting.

Transcribed by: Julia E. Nace, Asst. Chief Clerk
June 8, 2005

Respectfully submitted,

Chad Saylor, Chief Clerk/Chief of Staff
printed 7/15/05