



**DAUPHIN COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS**

**WORKSHOP/LEGISLATIVE MEETING**

**DECEMBER 31, 2008**

**10:00 A.M.**

**MEMBERS PRESENT**

Jeff Haste, Chairman  
Dominic D. DiFrancesco, II, Vice Chairman  
George P. Hartwick, III, Secretary

**STAFF PRESENT**

Chad Saylor, Chief Clerk; Marie E. Rebeck, Controller; Janis Creason, Treasurer; William Tully, Esq., Solicitor; Randy Baratucci, Director of Purchasing; Mike Yohe, Director of Budget & Finance; Guy Beneventano, Esq., Solicitor's Office; Kay Lengle, Personnel; Leila Brown, Solicitor's Office; Melissa Wion, Personnel; August Memmi, Community & Economic Development; William Struemke, Solicitor's Office; David Feidt, Solicitor's Office; Donna Miller, Risk Management; Hector Ortiz, Human Services Director's Office; Dave Schreiber, Personnel; Diane McNaughton, Commissioners' Office; Amy Richards, Commissioners' Office; Jena Wolgemuth, Commissioners' Office; Brenda Hoffer, Commissioners' Office and Richie-Ann Martz, Assistant Chief Clerk

**GUESTS PRESENT**

Dave Wuenschel, Al Loomis and Dan Miller

**MINUTES**

**CALL TO ORDER**

Mr. Haste, Chairman of the Board, called the meeting to order at 10:00 a.m.

**MOMENT OF SILENCE**

Everyone observed a moment of silence.

## **PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE**

Everyone stood for the Pledge of Allegiance.

## **EXECUTIVE SESSIONS HELD BETWEEN MEETINGS**

Mr. Saylor: We met twice in Executive Session. Once on December 24, 2008 to discuss matters of litigation and personnel. We met again this morning, December 31, 2008 to discuss personnel matters.

## **PUBLIC PARTICIPATION**

Mr. Haste: We are at the point in time in the meeting for public participation. Is there anyone in the audience that would like to address the Board at this time? (There was none.)

## **DEPARTMENT DIRECTORS/GUESTS**

(There was none.)

## **SALARY BOARD**

A complete set of Salary Board Meeting Minutes are on file in the Commissioners' Office.

## **PERSONNEL**

Ms. Lengle: Are there any questions on the Personnel Packet? (There was none.)

**It was moved by Mr. Hartwick and seconded by Mr. DiFrancesco that the Board approve the Personnel Packet; motion carried.**

## **REPORT FROM BUDGET & FINANCE – MIKE YOHE, BUDGET DIRECTOR**

Mr. Yohe gave the following Investment Report.

### **Report from the Office of Budget & Finance December 31, 2008**

- **December 19, 2008** transferred **\$4,262,007.10** to the **Payables** account and **\$1,736,312.58** to the **Payroll** account from the County's Concentration account for checks issued that week.
- **December 26, 2008** transferred **\$3,191,683.95** to the **Payables** account from the County's Concentration account for checks issued that week.

Mr. Yohe: It is my understanding of why it is high is because there were a lot of bills that they were trying to get in before the end of the year.

- **Total Term Investments**
  - None
- **Balance today in INVEST account \$41,171,418.20 rate 1.311%**
- **Balance today in Susquehanna Bank investment account \$1,080,665.79 rate 1.000%**  
(This rate is fixed for the month)
- **Balance today in Sovereign Bank investment account \$1,003,061.49 rate 0.420%** (This equals today's 1-month LIBOR rate of 0.470% minus 5 basis points)
- **Balance today in Citizens Bank investment account \$10,058,540.86 rate 2.750%** (This rate is fixed for the month)
- **Balance today in Citizens Bank Flex CD Program \$32,529,193.45 rate 2.320%** (This rate is fixed for the month)
- **Balance today in PNC Bank investment account \$1,000.00 rate 0.200%** (This equals today's Fed Funds rate of 0.250% minus 5 basis points)
- **Balance today in Graystone Bank investment account \$1,000.00 rate 0.050%** (This equals today's Fed Funds rate of 0.250% minus 20 basis points)
- **Balance today in Commerce Bank investment account \$100.00 rate 0.000%** (This equals today's 90-day T-Bill rate of 0.100% minus 25 basis points)

**No T.R.A.N. Line of Credit required for 2008.**

Mr. Yohe: On Monday I will probably be locking up some more money into some CDs. I'm still in the process of getting rates. I have a 1.4 and a 1.1. I'm a little leery about locking into 1.1 for 90 days. I'm waiting on a couple phone calls. I think 1.4 will probably be a good lock in for 90 days, because I think the Invest Account is going to go down in the next month or so.

Mr. Hartwick: Refresh my memory. Citizens was maxed out at \$10 million?

Mr. Yohe: That is our savings is maxed out and the Flex CD is. They maxed us out at 50% of the total as the RFP specs. They don't want any more. We are not going to do any better there.

Mr. Hartwick: It used to be people competing for the money. Now they are telling us they can't accept it.

Mr. Yohe: I think that Invest rate is going to be on its way down. If I can lock in at 1.4 or 1.6 through March, I think that may be a good deal. Monday I'll probably get some more rates on that. Any questions?

Mr. DiFrancesco: Any chance you can get a 3% CD back from Invest?

Mr. Yohe: We did get \$95,000 interest on that.

Mr. DiFrancesco: I think that turned out to be a pretty good move in the end.

Mr. Yohe: Yes.

### **REPORT FROM CHIEF CLERK/CHIEF OF STAFF – CHAD SAYLOR**

Mr. Saylor: I have nothing unless there are questions of me. (There was none.)

### **SOLICITOR'S REPORT – WILLIAM TULLY, ESQ., SOLICITOR**

Mr. Tully: I'll ditto what Chad said. (There was none.)

### **MATTERS REQUIRING BOARD ACTION**

- A. Training Packet.
- B. Ordinance #7-2008 authorizing Dauphin County to enter into an Intergovernmental Cooperation Agreement for the purpose of joining the Pennsylvania County Health Insurance Purchasing Cooperative (PCHIPC) and to participate as a member of the PCHIPC.
- C. Refund of 2008 Real Estate Taxes – Milton Hershey School – Parcels #56-007-031 and #56-007-034 - \$356.19.
- D. Approval of the Herbert A. Schaffner Youth Center per diem rates for 2008/2009 – Detention - \$380.30 and Shelter - \$295.52.
- E. Execution of PennDOT form MS-999 "Project Completion Report" for the Multi-Bridge Deck Rehabilitation Project.
- F. Execution of PennDOT form MS-999 "Project Completion Report" for the 2006 (2008) Bridge Maintenance Project.
- G. Request for Exoneration – Cedar Manor Mobile Home Community:
  - 1. Dana & Gary Macon – Parcel #34-026-005-140-0389 - \$478.03
  - 2. Donovan & Lori Ice – Parcel #34-026-005-052-0548 - \$151.42
- H. Appointment of Dr. Donald C. Hummer, II and Michael Carnes to the Herbert A. Schaffner Youth Center Advisory Board. Mr. Hummer's term will expire December 31, 2012 and Mr. Carnes' term will expire December 31, 2011.

- I. Approval to bind Midwest Employers Casualty Excess Workers Comp coverage for a 2-year term at \$100,964.
- J. Agreement between Dauphin County and Susquehanna Group Advisors for Finance and SWAP Advisory Services.
- K. Agreement between Dauphin County and Susquehanna Group Advisors for Resource Recovery Facility Services.
- L. Contract #C000037170 between Dauphin County/Dauphin County Department of Community & Economic Development and the Pennsylvania Department of Community & Economic Development for LEDA Grant Program in the amount of \$73,129.
- M. Renewal of TEGG Electrical Maintenance Agreement between Dauphin County for Schaffner Youth Center and G. R. Sponaugle Service for the period 1/1/09 – 12/31/09.
- N. ASO Instruction Guide to Release Data to Third Party. (Highmark requires guide use for clients using third party consultants.)
- O. Delta Dental Contract Renewal for 2009 for Teamsters Unions. (Contract administrative fee renegotiated from \$4.20 to \$4.10).
- P. Food Service Agreement between Dauphin County and ARAMARK Correctional Services, LLC from 1/1/2009 to 12/31/2014.
- Q. Landscape Architect Contract from Thomas Comitta Associates, Inc. for a Master Plan project at Fort Hunter Park.
- R. Engagement Letter to retain Dennis Kalbarczyk as Consultant for the Tipping Fee Increase Arbitration.
- S. Engagement Letter to retain Harvey Gershman of Gershman, Brickner & Bratton, Inc. as an Engineering Consultant for the Tipping Fee Increase Arbitration.

Mr. Haste: We have Matters Requiring Board Action, Items A through S, are there any that need to be pulled out and discussed or voted on separately?

Mr. DiFrancesco: Item B.

**It was moved by Mr. DiFrancesco and seconded by Mr. Hartwick that the Board approve Matters Requiring Board Action Items A through S, listed above, minus B; motion carried.**

**It was moved by Mr. Hartwick and seconded by Mr. Haste that the Board approve Matters Requiring Board Action Item B.**

**Discussion:**

Mr. DiFrancesco: These questions will probably go to various people. First, I guess to Benecon. In reading the Agreement I was confused by something and I'm sure it was just a matter of my reading. At one point in the contract it says something about

mandatory minimum of one year and then in another place it says mandatory three years. I'm sure I read it incorrectly. What is it?

Mr. Loomis: The intent was to change it to one year. If there is another reference there it should be one year. The normal group entering the Co-op with full risk participation would be three years. With non-risk participation it can be one year.

Mr. Hartwick: Because we have a hybrid of a hybrid we could adjust the contract.

Mr. DiFrancesco: I'm pretty sure at some point it did say that there was a one year and a three year, I believe. I could also be looking at a document that is not the most current document.

Mr. Saylor: The current document on the Agenda, there is a 90 day notification requirement to terminate and it is a one year term with two years renewable. Those were changes that were made just recently.

Mr. DiFrancesco: If you could explain. Under the Agreement again it says that the initial plan for the Cooperative will be to secure stop loss and aggregate insurance policies from insurance carriers. What are the benefits again that we receive by joining the Cooperative at this point in time, let's assume at this point, because there is a vote, let's assume at this point that we don't take the stop loss? What are the benefits without the stop loss coverage?

Mr. Loomis: There are a host of what we would think are benefits of being in the Coop. The chief one that the Co-op brings that you wouldn't be able to get outside of the Co-op is the opportunity to segregate your funds within the Co-op to pay those fees on a monthly basis as equivalent to stop loss payments. At the end of the year, we will be able to benchmark for you or during the year we would be able to benchmark for you how you are performing against where you would have been had you got stop loss. In addition by participating on a monthly basis paying your monthly fee into the program as opposed to paying claims as they come in you are going to get some cash flow protection through the year.

Mr. DiFrancesco: We are looking at stability basically.

Mr. Loomis: Exactly. There are other advantages, but you can get those outside the Co-op.

Mr. DiFrancesco: The recommended stop loss premium I'm assuming that this is actually a real number and not just for discussion purposes on this form, the \$1,140,000 number. Was that ballpark accurate in terms of...?

Mr. Wuenschel: That is actually an actuarial assessment based on your historical claim data as far as what we would recommend that you fund into this separate specific stop

loss fund that will be used to cover claims that would go over \$90,000. That is the actuarial assessed amount that you ought to fund into this account.

Mr. DiFrancesco: That is not a premium number. That is sort of a safety risk factor number that we would just be investing as a set aside.

Mr. Wuenschel: As I had alluded, the cash flow advantage that you get is simply we would actually bill you on a monthly basis this assessed amount that you ought to put into the fund. You do have the collective benefit of all the other members on a cash flow standpoint, because we actually have to process the claim within 48 hours from the time Highmark sends us the request. Really you can fund on a monthly basis like you have been and keep your money in your accounts and not have the pre-fund stuff on an ongoing basis. That is an actuarial assessed amount that we ought to allocate into that specific stop loss account.

Mr. DiFrancesco: Again that figure, the \$1.1 million would be if in fact we would over pay what our actual claims were that's what will be reimbursed back to us with interest.

Mr. Wuenschel: Yes, that would or you could carry that forward into the next year as a cushion. The whole idea of in a good year you are going to try to stockpile so when you do have a bad year you don't have a drain on the budget in that given year.

Mr. DiFrancesco: In the end all that money that is in that reserve is our money and all the interest accrued is our money.

Mr. Wuenschel: Yes.

Mr. DiFrancesco: The engagement report that you gave us and again this is going back to the stop loss coverage assuming that we would purchase stop loss coverage. It says in here to be clear that Benecon will place these coverages on the County's behalf free of any commissions being paid to Benecon. Where do the commissions go?

Mr. Wuenschel: It is just not charged.

Mr. DiFrancesco: The insurance company would waive the commissions?

Mr. Loomis: Typically in the stop loss market the consultant brokerage firm can request that a commission low be added to the premium. It is a separate line item added on to any stop loss premium.

Mr. DiFrancesco: So other insurance products that would have a commission built into it, stop loss does not have a commission built into it?

Mr. Loomis: We buy it net of any commissions.

Mr. DiFrancesco: That was very confusing to me.

Mr. Hartwick: Which of course I have been advocating for, but I think I would be on the minus side there. I am opposed to the County not purchasing stop loss insurance to protect us from high medical claims.

Mr. DiFrancesco: The other provision in here and I read about, I'm trying to get the terminology down, Dauphin County being rated full, when it comes to rating our risk there is a full...

Mr. Wuenschel: You are fully credible.

Mr. DiFrancesco: I'm trying to identify how as being a part of the pool we benefit from future negotiations with the insurance carriers. In other words, my understanding is part of the reason we would join the pool would be when we go to renegotiate terms for ASO or any thing we would be renegotiating, we'd be better off because of the pool. Now obviously if we are again fully credible I'm assuming that we would not be spreading out our risk because there would be no benefit to that, but there would still be definite benefit in number or... Again the reason I'm asking this and the reason it has been so confusing is because not everybody in the pool has the same insurance product.

Mr. Wuenschel: The first part on the ASO with Highmark we contracted with them on a fee, based on your size group. Highmark has automatic discount amounts that they apply to the ASO fees, the larger the group gets. So, coming out of the gate by you joining the Co-op we are up to 5,000 employees and with some of the larger counties that we are in discussions with as we speak right now, we could conceivably be to 10,000 to 15,000 covered employees. That immediately gives Dauphin County as a member of the Co-op leverage in negotiating the administrative costs for administering the plan with the carrier and accessing network and so forth. There is some element of clout that you get just by being part of the plan there. Anybody in the Co-op is actually 100% credible because we do look at the whole group as one whole big entity in that everybody basically is allocating what they need to fund based on what is happening within their own individual group. I think the goal of the Co-op has always been to minimize, if not eventually eliminate any windfall to any insurance carrier and to hold as much risk in house as possible for the collective group. The most important advantage for Dauphin County joining the Co-op is simply that we will customize the funding arrangement as we move out in time as this thing grows. It is quite conceivable we'll have a small county funding model and we'll have a large county funding model. You will be able to leverage and do many things that you could not do on your own by being part of the Co-op as we move out in time and as this thing grows and we get other larger counties coming into the program itself. They are all on the boat individually so collectively you are able to do a lot more as a much larger purchasing entity.

Mr. DiFrancesco: Is there a point by which you won't get a better rate because of size? I'm assuming that if we are rated at 1500 we will improve our pricing by becoming part of a pool of 5,000. Is that a guarantee or is there some point where you are big enough

that all of a sudden the discounts are already put on the table and there is no further discount?

Mr. Wuenschel: I think it is important to realize that when you go self-funded you no longer look at rates. You no longer look at life as premium. I think the advantage and goal is to embrace some of your concepts as far as keeping as much money in house as humanly possible without exposing oneself to a major exposure, which is probably not the most long term best position to put one in. I think where you save the money is all the little pieces that we identified where claims are coming from and how we can control claim costs, how we can improve the health and well-being of your employees, all of those areas is where we truly save money and lower costs. Think of it this way. Every little thing that we do collectively means one less dollar came out of your claim account. That is truly where you are controlling and saving costs. If it is done correctly one should assume that in essence my overall costs as a member will always be less than if I were out on a stand alone type basis.

Mr. DiFrancesco: Let me ask you this. What I'm trying to identify here is what makes you sort of the best option for us. I may be wrong. What you just described other people can do for me. What I'm trying to figure out is again you know I'm struggling with the fact that we are going to be paying a fee and I just want to understand what benefit we are getting back and from what I can gather from our discussions not the common mold you are dealing with. There are a lot of things that makes us unique in terms of our budget and size. I'm still trying to figure out basically one name is being presented to us today. There are no other options out there being presented to us today. Are we making the right choice? That is what I have been struggling with all this time is to justify the process and the amount that we are going to be paying out.

Mr. Loomis: There aren't any other collective options available out there. The counties that have joined together to create this Co-op really are the only entity doing it at this time in Pennsylvania that I know of. If things would change and the government can get the State employee health fund opened up to municipalities there is a huge... They have a lot of leverage. Is there a point at which you can't get any better deals? That is probably it. The State got the best deal in the state. We still have a lot of room to grow and to get negotiating power before we ever get to that point.

Mr. Wuenschel: The only thing that I might interject there is simply in that type of a model, if that were to come to fruition, is you may also...

Mr. DiFrancesco: If we go to the State plan?

Mr. Wuenschel: Right, where the one thing that we always stress with all our municipal co-ops is that every member retains their own autonomy so you really basically still drive your own bus and control your own benefits and what you want to basically do for your employees. You are just participating in the collective purchasing amongst all other members. It is conceivable that if you look at the number of counties that would be eligible. This started in 2005 and has been steadily growing since then. I can't see

in the next three years that are not up to 20,000 or 30,000 employees. We will be a sizable entity in purchasing for counties in the State of Pennsylvania. I look at it too for Dauphin County as your leadership in assisting us achieving something that probably will be very good for all counties. We do look to all the members to help us build. We are just the hired help. This is your program. This is something that you create and mold. We would encourage you to be proactive and really participate in how we develop this thing moving forward. We just are hired by Pennsylvania County Health Purchasing Cooperative to perform all the actuarial functions and to perform a lot of other services that other consulting firms can also bring to the table. You are really joining an entity and becoming part of an entity. We do all the work.

Mr. DiFrancesco: Here is a technical question. What you just said is that you are the hired help. Who controls for lack of a better description, where does the book of business sit? Does it sit with the Cooperative? Does it sit with...

Mr. Loomis: It is really the Cooperative's book of business. If you were to hire some other administrator other than Benecon...

Mr. DiFrancesco: I'm not concerned about them moving it, I'm more concerned about how do we know... I don't know a lot about the size of your business in terms of when I'm thinking about the Cooperative I'm thinking everything is owned by the Cooperative, the Board of Directors, the counties and so forth. In reality that is not true. How big is the firm by itself?

Mr. Loomis: 52 employees.

Mr. DiFrancesco: You are based where?

Mr. Loomis: Between Lancaster and Ephrata on the Oregon Pike.

Mr. DiFrancesco: Is there a business outside the Cooperative?

Mr. Loomis: Yes. There are three divisions within our company. One of our divisions operates these Cooperatives. Another division we are a wholesale distributor for Capital, Highmark and HealthAmerica, that is a separate division that services brokers who want to place business with those companies. Then we have a separate consulting division. We also have other Cooperatives that we manage for the Pennsylvania Municipalities and there is one called the Intergovernmental Insurance Cooperative which operates in Lancaster and York County municipalities.

Mr. DiFrancesco: Do all the counties pay the same per head charge?

Mr. Wuenschel: No, it is based on size.

Mr. DiFrancesco: Is it a...

Mr. Wuenschel: The larger the county the lower the fee.

Mr. Hartwick: One question I have related to the stop gap insurance. If in fact the county wants to based upon expenditures or large shock claims enter into the Co-op and the ability to have stop gap insurance on behalf of the County are their time constraints to do that? Can we do that mid-term? Can we do that three months, six months or nine months? Does it have to be based upon a yearly deal? Can we do it anytime during the process of evaluating those claims?

Mr. Wuenschel: Typically you can buy at any point in a calendar year from January to October for that calendar year. So let's say that you decided in September that you wanted it for the balance of the year. After October if you decided that you wanted to place it, it would be like a 15 month contract instead of a 12 month contract.

Mr. Hartwick: It would be 12 months starting from when you purchase?

Mr. Wuenschel: If you come into, it would be six months, eight months or whatever up to October then it would be a 15 month contract.

Mr. Hartwick: Do they have the ability to laser folks?

Mr. Loomis: When the group first comes into the Co-op yes lasering does exist. After that they can't.

Mr. DiFrancesco: Being involved in the process my understanding was that when we brought Benecon on in October to do the RFP process for the ASO...

Mr. Wuenschel: It was May.

Mr. DiFrancesco: There were a number of parties at the table at that time. My understanding was that we would be looking at others as we move forward to this next decision that we would be making today?

Ms. Wion: That's correct. If we decided that they were only doing the RFP process and we were not going to join the cooperative and we were going to do everything in-house then we would also need to hire consultants to help us do some of the analyzing of our claims data and prescription data and things like that. That would be the second part of the services that we would look at towards March.

Mr. Hartwick: Establishing all the requisition stuff as well.

Ms. Wion: That was part of the one time services that Benecon was going to do with us. They were going to help us do the implementation part, which means helping us set up how we are going to pay, doing all of that.

Mr. Hartwick: But we would then do it.

Ms. Wion: We would do it.

Mr. Hartwick: They are now taking on that responsibility, am I correct?

Ms. Wion: Right, if we join the Cooperative then they would be taking that responsibility through the year. If we decided to go with how we first put out the bid for the consulting firm then they would help us through the implementation stage, help us get set up with Highmark, make sure we are paying correctly, doing all the ins and outs that we could do it in-house then come around the end of February or March we would look for a consulting firm whether we wanted to decide to stay with Benecon or another firm to help us analyze the data that would be coming in through the claims and through our prescriptions to help us look if all the things that they said we would want another firm or them to help us sort and perform.

Mr. DiFrancesco: So today's vote if we choose to go into the pool we wouldn't look at anything else. This is one of the other struggles that I am having. We really don't know based on the direction that we are heading today which is different then where we were back in May or whenever that was. We don't know what options are out there at this point.

Ms. Wion: Yes and no. When Benecon was brought to the table their original intent was the stop loss insurance. That was the main purpose for that. Since that has changed we need to determine whether we want to do this in-house, we want to have them perform the administrative services that we are discussing or we want to look back at the whole consortium including stop loss. So, there are three different avenues that we could be taking right now. If we decide that we want to just do it in-house and they keep performing the one-time services then yes there are opportunities out there. If we join that whole consortium and the stop loss then for three years we can use that time to look at other avenues to do research. I have already begun doing some research as far as claims analysis in case we decided to keep it in-house. I have already been meeting with other firms to see what is out there in the marketplace to see what is available to us if we want to go that route. If we do stay with Benecon whether or not we join the consortium in the hybrid that we have right now or with their original intent with the stop loss insurance then yes we will be with Benecon for either the three years or the one year depending on which program we decide on, but there is always the availability of doing research, which I intend to do to make sure what else is available in the marketplace to us. We will be tied to them depending on which program we decide to take, whether it is a year or three.

Mr. DiFrancesco: From my perspective I would rather have that work done. That is not a criticism of you. Obviously you are working at the direction of the Board and staff. I would rather have that information on the table today to know what the best way to go is and what the most cost effective route to go is for the County.

Mike, I have a question for you. At this point the real value of the decision before us today seems to be the money management aspect of it. If we are not going with the stop loss, again assuming that vote goes and we don't go with the stop loss. It seems like the money management and how that is structured is the best route. Is that not something we can do in-house? How difficult would it be to do it in-house?

Mr. Yohe: The money management shouldn't be a factor at all really. It is a matter of wiring money out once a week or once a month. It really doesn't make a difference to me. Obviously it is a little less work for everybody involved if we go this route. Let's say that I wouldn't put that very high on your list of what you are considering today. Certainly we can get the money where it needs to go within 24 hours. That's not an issue.

**Question:** Mr. Haste – Aye; Mr. DiFrancesco – No and Mr. Hartwick – Aye; motion carried.

### **FORMER BUSINESS**

(There was none.)

### **NEW BUSINESS**

Mr. DiFrancesco: It is very important that we send our goodwill and strong support for the Penn State Nittany Lions as they go up against USC tomorrow and that they may in fact be the only big ten team to win their pre-season play. We are certainly hopeful that they will be there.

Mr. Hartwick: I would just like to wish a Happy New Year to all of our dedicated and hardworking staff and individuals who made this year successful for the County. We appreciate what you have done. To the Controller, Treasurer and my fellow Board of Commissioners let's look to another year of trying to tackle some challenging problems that face us in a way that is going to be dignified and really provide the solutions that we have been capable of as a team.

### **CORRESPONDENCE**

Mr. Haste: We have correspondence that has been received by the Board, Items A through K, which will be handled by the staff appropriately.

- A. Receipt of a copy of a letter from PA DEP to Ladnar, Inc. advising that Application No. 22041301C4 dated December 3, 2008 has been corrected to include R & K Coal Co. as an approved contract operator as indicated in the updated Module 5.3.
- B. Receipt of a copy of a letter from PA DEP to Meadowbrook Coal Co., Inc. advising that the annual bond review for Surface Mining Permit No. 22030201 has been determined to adequately represent current/planned site operating conditions and is acceptable to the Department.

- C. Receipt of a letter from The Harrisburg Authority advising that they will file on or about December 15, 2008 a permit application with the PA DEP for permit renewal of Solid Waste Processing Permit No. 100992 for the Harrisburg Ash Landfill.
- D. Notification from Dauphin Engineering Co. advising that Matthew D. Hoffer is applying to DEP for a General Permit 2, Small Docks 7 Boat Launching Ramps, for property in Conewago Township.
- E. Notification from Glace Associates, Inc. advising that the Pillow Borough Authority is notifying DEP of their intent to drill a new public water supply well.
- F. Receipt of a letter from the PA Board of Probation and Parole advising that the County's 2008-2009 Grant-in-Aid Continuing Program Application for the Improvement of Adult Probation Services has been approved in the amount of \$708,829.
- G. Receipt of a copy of a letter from PA DEP to Kimmel's Mining, Inc. advising that the annual bond review for Surface Mining Permit No. 54071301 has been determined to adequately represent current/planned site operating conditions and is acceptable to the Department.
- H. Notification from Dawood advising that Girish Patel is applying to DEP for a General Permit 4 for the Outfall Structure along Manada Creek for a project located at 253 Harper Drive, West Hanover Township.
- I. Notification from Fischbach Morgan & Associates, LLC advising that Lucciventures, LLC is applying to DEP for a General NPDES Permit for a project located on Foxianna Road in Middletown.
- J. Motion of BBW Enterprises, Capital Bus Company, Rohrer Tour & Charter Co. and others to approve Sale of Improved Real Estate (1030-1056 South Cameron Street) and other assets in Bankruptcy.
- K. Receipt of a copy of a letter to PA DEP from Herbert, Rowland & Grubic regarding a revised Joint Permit Application for Dauphin County Bridge #122 Replacement Project.

## **PUBLIC PARTICIPATION**

Mr. Haste: We are again at the point in time for public participation. Is there anyone in the audience that would like to address the Board? (There was none.)

## **ADJOURNMENT**

**There being no further business, it was moved by Mr. DiFrancesco and seconded by Mr. Hartwick that the Board adjourn.**

Respectfully submitted,

Chad Saylor, Chief Clerk

Transcribed by: Richie-Ann Martz