



DAUPHIN COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS

WORKSHOP/LEGISLATIVE MEETING

NOVEMBER 5, 2008

10:00 A.M.

MEMBERS PRESENT

Jeff Haste, Chairman
Dominic D. DiFrancesco, II, Vice Chairman
George P. Hartwick, III, Secretary

STAFF PRESENT

Chad Saylor, Chief Clerk; Marie E. Rebuck, Controller; Janis Creason, Treasurer; J. Scott Burford, Deputy Chief Clerk; Guy Beneventano, Esq., Solicitor' Office; Gary Serhan, Deputy Controller; August Memmi, Community & Economic Development; Randy Baratucci, Director of Purchasing; Mike Yohe, Director of Budget & Finance; Tom Guenther, Director of IT; George Connor, Community & Economic Development; Peter Vriens, Director of Human Services; Fred Lighty, Esq., Human Services Director's Office; Joe Dougher, Director of Children & Youth; Stephanie Strayer, Human Services Director's Office; Brooke Miller, Personnel; David Schreiber, Personnel; Leila Brown, Solicitor's Office; Diane McNaughton, Commissioners' Office; Amy Richards, Commissioners' Office; Jena Wolgemuth, Commissioners' Office; Brenda Hoffer, Commissioners' Office and Richie-Ann Martz, Assistant Chief Clerk

GUESTS PRESENT

Jay Wenger

MINUTES

CALL TO ORDER

Mr. Haste, Chairman of the Board, called the meeting to order at 10:00 a.m.

MOMENT OF SILENCE

Everyone observed a moment of silence.

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

Everyone stood for the Pledge of Allegiance.

APPROVAL OF MINUTES

It was moved by Mr. DiFrancesco and seconded by Mr. Hartwick that the Board approve the October 22, 2008 Workshop Meeting Minutes and the October 29, 2008 Legislative Meeting Minutes; motion carried.

EXECUTIVE SESSIONS HELD BETWEEN MEETINGS

Mr. Saylor: There were no Executive Sessions since last week.

PUBLIC PARTICIPATION

Mr. Haste: We are at the point in time in the meeting for public participation. Is there anyone in the audience that would like to address the Board at this time? (There was none.)

DEPARTMENT DIRECTORS/GUESTS

A. Jay Wenger, Susquehanna Group Advisors

1. Annual Interest Rate Swap Review

Mr. Wenger: I'm Jay Wenger with Susquehanna Group Advisors. We are the Swap Advisor to the County for Interest Rate Agreements.

This is the annual review that is required under the Debt Act. As opposed to going into a lot of detail of these transactions, I thought I would hit the highlights. In 2008, the County terminated two Swaps at a net profit of about \$642,000. They were the Constant Maturity Swaps in connection with the 2004 and 2006 Bonds. That \$642,000 is a clear net gain. The County also entered into in September of this year two Range Accrual Swaps. Those cash flows start at September 1. The County receives, for the first 12 months, a fixed spread over three-month LIBOR. After the first 12 months that fixed rate is going to be conditioned upon three-month LIBOR being below 7%. The County has three counter-parties currently connected to Interest Rate Swaps transactions. One is DEPFA Bank, which is currently rated A2 by Moody's, A by Standard & Poor's and BBB by Fitch's. DEPFA Bank because of the recent downgrades is working with Bank of New York, which is an AAA rated bank to basically provide a back-up for their Interest Rate Swap exposure. If it became an issue with any

of the County's transactions they would go to Bank of New York to support the credit profile of the counterparty.

Mr. Haste: What caused that to drop?

Mr. Wenger: Subprime that is the simple answer to everything these days. Deutsche Bank is currently rated AA1 by Moody's, AA- by S & P and AA- by Fitch's. Royal Bank of Canada, which as one Basis Swap with the County and is the counterparty for the Harrisburg Authority's Swaps of which the County guaranteed is rated AAA by Moody's, AA- by Standard & Poor's and AA by Fitch's. The Debt Act basically says that you basically go by the lowest rating. DEPFA is the one that we have to keep an eye on at the moment. We've talked to them. I haven't seen the documentation, but they say they are very close to finalizing their agreement with Bank of New York.

Mr. Haste: Will that change the rating?

Mr. Wenger: No, but it will give us a back-up that will support it if we need it.

The second page is a spreadsheet, if you will, of the County's current Interest Rate Swaps. The two at the top are the Range Accrual Swaps that the County entered into in September of this year. The far right column is what we call Mark-to-Market or if you wanted to terminate today it would be a fair approximation of what that cost would be. You will see from top to bottom those values are negative. Primarily because most of the Swaps that the County now has, except for the Basis Swap, have options at the Swap provider's discretion. So that Option usually creates value for them, not for the County. That is why you see negative values. In Range Accrual Swaps, Deutsche Bank has the right to cancel this after the first year. There is a high probability that somewhere along the way they do cancel it and so it really was modeled as a cash flow where we pick up a spread of three-month LIBOR for as long as it is outstanding.

The Basis Swap has been in place since 2005. The County receives 67% of one-month LIBOR plus 39 basis points and you pay the SIFMA, which is nothing more than the tax exempt weekly index rate. Except for the last two weeks of September and the first three weeks of October this has provided you with positive cash flow. The markets in that period of mid-September to mid-October were in about as great turmoil and upheaval as they have been in decades. So, cash flows on Basis Swaps and other transactions were in fact negative during that timeframe. It doesn't affect the Range Accrual Swap, because that one is purely a function of a spread to three-month LIBOR. So, you had positive cash flow on that transaction starting September 1.

Mr. Hartwick: Do we have the numbers on those for us to take a look at? What the actual cash flow was versus what the actual values are so that we can see a comparison?

Mr. Wenger: We can provide that. I'll just circulate that by email if that is okay. The three transactions with DEPFA Bank are what we call Fixed to Variable Swaptions,

meaning that you currently have debt. DEPPFA has the right to put you into essentially synthetic variable rate debt at some point in time. Those aren't forever options. They all expire in the next few years. You receive cash up front, which is the middle column to enter into each of those transactions. They are all modeled at a fairly low probability, but not impossibility that they would be exercised. You can see, again, the mark-to markets on the far right really reflect their option imbedded in those transactions. Again, the two Swaps that were terminated are listed at the bottom of the page. Royal Bank of Canada was the counterparty on both of those. Both were Constant Maturity Swaps. They were terminated at profits of \$290,000 in connection with the \$13,960,000 and \$352,000 for the larger transaction and both those were cash wired to the County on the day those transactions closed. There is a variable to fixed with Deutsche Bank, above the two that were terminated. That was technically done in 2008, but it really was an amendment to a prior variable to fixed Swap that was done, as you recall there were Bonds issued in 2006 as Variable Rate Bonds insured by XL Insurance. Through all of this subprime credit mess, XL was downgraded to a point where the Bonds were trading at 7% or 8%. So, the decision by the County, and rightfully so, was to refinance those Bonds. When they were refinanced, by law we had to amend or change the Fixed Rate Swap. That was more of an amendment.

Mr. Hartwick: On those first three, the fixed to variable Swaptions, you said those were limited to small arrangement where the actual bank could terminate and this would be the current value, could you tell me why they wouldn't terminate it if they see they could gain significant value based upon what the cash payout was?

Mr. Wenger: That is not where they create their value. Their value is created when the cash flow has actually turned positive to them and right now they are negative. While there is negative value in this, because of their option, there's not really value in the entire transaction to them, because they would be left with cash flows that would be negative. What they want is cash flows that are positive from the day they exercise. The very simple analysis of this is as short term rates stay low and go lower it is less likely they exercise their option. They really need short term rates to average more than those rates in the middle of the page of 3.75%, 3.775% and 3.735%. They need the weekly rate index to average more than those rates in order for it to be economically viable to exercise that option.

Mr. Haste: That is all short-term, not long-term rates.

Mr. Wenger: That is correct. That is short-term rates. Right now the short-term index rate is 1.82% and it probably will reset at 4:00 today at something closer to 1.5%.

Mr. Haste: We took cash out on those.

Mr. Wenger: Yes.

Mr. Haste: Of the three it was \$1 million.

Mr. Wenger: Yes and we could have, just to be brief, we could have created more cash by lowering that fixed rate of 3.7% down to say 3.25% that would have increased the probability that they would be exercised. It is kind of a risk reward analysis when that gets modeled.

The next page is just a summary of the four transactions in connection with the Incinerator project. The first one in the amount of \$31,480,000 actually expires December 1 of this year. We left it on there just because we are not at December 1. That one is effectively off the books. Your exposure by way of guarantees is reduced by that amount as of December 1. The other three still remain in place. One was a fixed to variable, the other was a variable to fixed so they really just went one way and then went the other way when they entered into those transactions. The bottom one is an Interest Rate Cap. If there were an unwind of these transactions the Interest Rate Cap would be the most expensive exposure to the County. That is probably on your order of close to \$10 million, but we don't have pricing as of today. I will get that for you. Again, Royal Bank of Canada was the counterparty on all four of those. All four are in connection with the Incinerator Debt that was issued in 2003. It is the 2003D Bonds that are actually being remarketed as of December 1.

The one question that has been posed is how does everything going on in the marketplace affect the County's interest rate Swap cash flows and exposure? Except for the Basis Swap where you will have received a payment due for the last two weeks of September and the first two or three weeks of October it has generated positive cash flow. The Range Accrual Swaps have been positive, because we are not trading an index there we are just receiving a spread to a three-month LIBOR rate. The Fixed to Variables haven't been exercised so by way of active cash flows the two Range Accrual Swaps and the Basis Swap have been active and the Variable to Fixed, which was nothing more than converting your variable rate debt to a fixed rate has been in play. The goal of the variable to fixed is that what you received from the counterparty will offset your underlying bond obligation. Again, for that second part of September and early part of October it did not cover your underlying bond obligation, because where short-term rates went. We are back to where they actually trade within a basis point positive or negative at given week in tandem. They are all working as they were intended to except for that very difficult market at the end of September and early October. I'll get you a summary of the cash flows. Are there any questions? (There was none.)

B. J. Scott Burford, Deputy Chief Clerk

1. Resolution – Appointment of an Open Records Officer

Mr. Burford: As you know Governor Rendell signed into law what is known as the "Open Records Law" back in February 2008. Since that time we have been ramping up to comply with the new legislation that goes into effect January 1. There were some issues that we needed to comply with immediately and then there were other phased in procedures that we have been complying with along the way. The Commonwealth has

issued some guidance to the various counties and municipal governments in order to ramp up for the January 2009 date. It does require some changes and procedures and that sort of thing. What I have presented to you is a resolution that has been drafted by our Solicitor's Office. This resolution basically appoints an open records officer for Dauphin County and also directs that open records officer to work closely with our Solicitor's Office in crafting a new policy and procedure that would be in accordance with the new law that goes into effect January 2009. Given that this is a Workshop/Legislative Meeting, I wanted to present that to you to give you an opportunity to review it, respond and see if you have any questions. This is the first step as we see it internally as being in compliance with the new law. This will give us the opportunity to ramp up our internal procedures by identifying that officer so that officer can closely work with our agencies, our different departments and external resources as appropriate to get ready for the new act.

What I would like to do is give you an opportunity to ask some questions and then I will leave it up to you if you would like to vote on this today or postpone this.

Mr. Saylor: The only thing that I would add to Scott's report that has been brought to my attention is that if we have a staffer focus solely on developing a strategy about documents, not only just retaining them but also disposing those we don't need, there might be some Act 8 funding opportunities. There might also be some opportunities for us to lessen our dependency on Iron Mountain. So, we anticipate that there might be some revenue opportunities and some cost savings that might offset the costs of having an employee solely dedicated to this. Is that right Scott?

Mr. Burford: Yes, there is a number of ways to skin a cat so to speak and if we do have that designated person looking at both record retention and also the open records, at least for the interim until we can get our arms around the strategy for 2009 and going forward. There are definitely a number of opportunities for us.

Mr. Haste: The reason we have to do this by resolution is?

Mr. Beneventano: Commissioner, you are not required by the new Right-to-Know Law to make the designation by resolution. It was the recommendation of the Solicitor's Office that you do so to memorialize this in writing.

Mr. Hartwick: If that's the case I know you all met individually. Without having the time to take a look at this document if we could just allow us some time before we actually adopt the resolution to peruse. I would prefer the opportunity to read through it before the adoption. We can do it next week. This is the first time I have seen this.

Mr. Burford: Ideally this would have gone through a Workshop and then a week for you to consider and then voted on or pulled. Given that it was a combination meeting I wanted to give the presentation, but we can do as you wish.

Mr. Haste: Richie will have it on the next Agenda for a vote.

Mr. DiFrancesco: One of the other things that I think is important to bring up is obviously this is a work in progress and the State Law is still very, let's just say there are a lot of holes in it, in terms of what has been drafted, what the expectations are and how do we carry it out. The only thing about assigning somebody directly to this is the fact that they continue to work with the State and be part of the process of filling in those holes, because there are some aspects of the State Law that obviously focus on the public's side in obtaining the information, but apart from realities on our side of providing that information that sometimes may not be possible in the timeframe set out. We need to answer questions about what happens when legitimately we can't respond as quickly. Again, Scott is aware of that and the Solicitor's Office is well aware of that and they got that angle covered.

SALARY BOARD

A complete set of Salary Board Meeting Minutes are on file in the Commissioners' Office.

PERSONNEL

Ms. Miller: There are no changes to the Personnel Packet. Everything is as it is presented. Are there any questions?

Mr. Hartwick: Just back to the positions, it will be good, and we already approved it, to put some benchmarks to those positions to make sure that, in fact, the addition of those positions are resulting in quicker reviews, assessments and therefore reducing the overall individuals that are over the extended period of time. I want to see for kids 2 and under how all of them have been served as a result of this investment after the end of the year and if, in fact, there are troubles with still trying to serve that level of kids we need to reevaluate these positions.

Mr. DiFrancesco: Sounds like a good proposal.

Mr. Dougher: I can provide that the next time around.

It was moved by Mr. DiFrancesco and seconded by Mr. Hartwick that the Board approve the Personnel Packet as presented; motion carried.

PURCHASE ORDERS

Mr. Baratucci: You should have all received your Packet yesterday. Since this is a combined meeting and we don't have the week to normally fix the budget issues, I just wanted to briefly touch on three that are showing over budget. The first one is kind of obvious as to why that one hasn't been fixed. We didn't have time yesterday to interrupt what was going on in Voter Registration to do some budget transferring. I did talk to Mike and there should be money there to move it around. We will take care of that.

Page 6 we are going to pull. That is something for our Maintenance Department. We are just going to eliminate that and deal with that in another way. The other one was on Page 7 and 8. This is the ongoing Northern Dauphin County Government Center. We are trying to get the money moved into the right spot. I talked with Mike and I have been told that Charlie is working on that and that all those monies will be charged out to the appropriate agencies or billed out if they are non-Dauphin County agencies. Most of this expense has to do with the building itself; IT related equipment and security related equipment in getting it ready to open. If you need any details about this Tom is here to address any of that.

Mr. Hartwick: Could we just have a summary at some point related to the breakdown of those dollars and how much actual County General Fund dollars had been allocated to these specific tasks. We didn't want General Fund Dollars going into the project and I want to make sure we have the breakdown.

Mr. Haste: All I need is a nod of the head, is this budgeted, Fred? Was this part of the...?

Mr. Lighty: I actually don't know the answer to that question.

Mr. Yohe: There will be no General Fund dollars when this is all said and done. It will all be direct charged. These funds here by the end of the year will be direct charged to the individual agencies that are in the building and the non-County agencies will be billed and they will reimburse us for this cost.

Mr. Hartwick: They do have money in their budget to cover it. I just wanted to make sure that they are being allocated across the cost centers and not being backed by any General Fund dollars.

Mr. Yohe: No, that will not be the case. Again that is the delay...

Mr. Haste: Let's keep an eye on this to make sure that it in fact does come in at what was budgeted.

Mr. Yohe: I'm waiting for the budget from Charlie Udit so I'm assuming that is where it will come from.

Mr. Haste: Try to get that a little sooner than later and let's keep an eye on it. If we don't, these are the types of projects that get an extra 5,000 here and there.

Mr. Yohe: By the end of the year in this cost center it should be zero. If it is not zero then we know something happened and I will look at it at that point.

Mr. Haste: It would be nice to know when that is happening so we can stop it.

Mr. Hartwick: It would be good also while we are having this conversation to know what else we are looking at. The landlord or individual owner of the building is responsible for the building portion. We are going to allow our folks in the field to be able to have the same network ability and accessibility as folks do in the County. Beyond that I don't think we are going to incur any other expense except for rent.

Mr. Baratucci: If you look over the items that are here it is all that kind of stuff, technology related items.

Mr. Haste: There has to be a budget somewhere and I want to make sure it is meeting the budget.

Mr. Baratucci: Outside of those, all the other ones are taken care of. We are going to drop Page 6.

It was moved by Mr. Hartwick and seconded by Mr. DiFrancesco that the Board approve the Purchase Order Packet, minus Page 6 which is being pulled; motion carried.

REPORT FROM BUDGET & FINANCE – MIKE YOHE, BUDGET DIRECTOR

Mr. Yohe gave the following report:

Report from the Office of Budget & Finance November 5, 2008

- **October 31, 2008** transferred **\$3,154,384.09** to the **Payables** account from the County's Concentration account for checks issued that week. (Delinquent Taxes - \$2.3 million)
- **Total Term Investments**
 - **\$10,000,000.00** 90-day CD (matures 12/30/08) at PA INVEST - rate **3.790%**
- **Balance today in INVEST account \$170,898.58 rate 1.740%**
- **Balance today in Susquehanna Bank investment account \$1,114,987.09 rate 1.650%**
(This rate equals the Susquehanna Bank 90-day T-Bill rate plus 108 basis points. It is fixed for the month)
- **Balance today in Sovereign Bank investment account \$102,004,329.55 rate 3.120%** (This equals today's 1-month LIBOR rate of 3.170% minus 5 basis points)
- **Balance today in Citizens Bank investment account \$1,093,355.06 rate 2.750%** (This rate is fixed for the month)
- **Balance today in PNC Bank investment account \$1,000.00 rate 0.950%** (This equals today's Fed Funds rate of 1.000% minus 5 basis points)

- **Balance today in Graystone Bank investment account \$1,000.00** rate **0.800%** (This equals today's Fed Funds rate of 1.000% minus 20 basis points)
- **Balance today in Commerce Bank investment account \$100.00** rate **0.230%** (This equals today's 90-day T-Bill rate of 0.480% minus 25 basis points)

No T.R.A.N. Line of Credit required for 2008.

REPORT FROM CHIEF CLERK/CHIEF OF STAFF – CHAD SAYLOR

Mr. Saylor: Commissioners I have nothing else unless there are questions of me. (There was none.)

SOLICITOR'S REPORT – GUY BENEVENTANO, ESQ.

Mr. Beneventano: Commissioners with respect to the Right-to-Know Law, a draft policy has been circulated to staff and comments are being received right now. As soon as staff completes its initial comments it will be forwarded to the three of you for review and comment.

MATTERS REQUIRING BOARD ACTION

- A. Training Packet.
- B. Satisfaction Agreement for Rafael Garcia and Monica Garcia on the property located at 1817 Boas St., Harrisburg, PA 17103 (\$3,998.00).
- C. Approval to contribute the County's pro rata share (\$710.00) for appraisal services and trial costs needed to ascertain true value of the Mid Penn Bank Operations Center located at 906 North River Road, Tax Parcel #29-007-031.
- D. Spring Psychological Associates Agreement for Testing Services change in pricing for 2009.
- E. Settlement Agreement and Mutual Lease between Dauphin County and the Disposal Management Services, Inc.
- F. Maintenance Agreement between Dauphin County and Case Systems, Inc. (formerly Comarco) for 2 Emergency Call Boxes at Wildwood Park.
- G. Proposed Maintenance Agreement between Dauphin County and ESCO, Inc. to maintain, inspect and test fire system at 1690 S. 19th Street.
- H. Construction and Demolition Waste Processing/Disposal Agreement between Dauphin County and IESI PA Blue Ridge Landfill Corporation.
- I. Contract between Dauphin County and Primus Telecommunications, Inc. Extension for equipment, voice and data services for the Upper Dauphin Government Center.

- J. Purchase of Service Per Diem Rate between Children and Youth and Wolf, Dean t/d/b/a Partners for Creative Collaboration.
- K. Amendment #1 to Purchase of Service Agreement between Area Agency on Aging and Cumberland County Aging & Community Services.
- L. Purchase of Service Agreements between Children and Youth and:
 - 1. Sleber Associates, P.C.
 - 2. Dean Wolf, t/d/b/a Partners for Creative Collaboration
 - 3. Pinnacle Health Hospital, Inc.
- M. Amendment #4 to Purchase of Service Agreement between Children and Youth and Hempfield Behavioral Health.
- N. Adoption Assistance Agreements #2008-74, #2008-75, #2008-76, #2008-77, #2008-83 and #2008-84.
- O. Appointment of the following individuals to the Drug & Alcohol Advisory Board: Janis Creason, John Addison, Robert Martin, Robert Hassinger, Nicole Knott, Jane Marfizo, Ellen Dyer, Lori Clark Robinson, Todd Singer, Rev. Mim Harvey and Brian Paul.
- P. MH Purchase of Service Agreements between MH/MR and:
 - 1. CMU
 - 2. Edgewater Psychiatric Center, Inc.
 - 3. Goodwill Keystone Area
 - 4. Keystone Service Systems, Inc.
- Q. MR Waiver Purchase of Service Agreements between MH/MR and:
 - 1. Goodwill Keystone Area
 - 2. Keystone Service Systems, Inc.
- R. ITF Medicaid Waiver Service Agreement between MH/MR and Keystone Service Systems, Inc.
- S. Medical Assistance Transportation Program Agreement between MH/MR and Edgewater Psychiatric Center, Inc.
- T. Amendments to Purchase of Service Agreements between MH/MR and:
 - 1. Shalom House – Amendment #1
 - 2. Goodwill Industries of Central Pennsylvania, Inc. – Amendment #1
 - 3. Northwestern Human Services of the Susquehanna Valley t/d/b/a Susquehanna Developmental Services – Amendment #1
- U. Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) and HOME Program FY 2009 Annual Action Plan. Resolution #19-2008 approving the Action Plan for 2009 for the County of Dauphin, authorizing submission to HUD and authorizing execution of grant/loan agreements under CDBG and HOME Programs.

Mr. Haste: We have Items A through U which are listed on the Agenda. Are there any that need to be pulled out separately? (There was none.)

It was moved by Mr. Hartwick and seconded by Mr. DiFrancesco that the Board approve Matters Requiring Board Action, Items A through U, listed above; motion carried.

FORMER BUSINESS

(There was none.)

NEW BUSINESS

(There was none.)

COMMISSIONERS' DISCUSSION & ACTIONS

Mr. DiFrancesco: I just simply want to state publicly that yesterday was an amazing day. I think we can all be proud as a community and I just want to note that County staff that was involved in this election did a remarkable job making sure that everything went smoothly. All the people that took the time yesterday to be involved in the Election process, be it volunteering outside for candidates or inside working the precincts to make sure that the people in our community have an election process that basically is secure with integrity. The bottom line is for all those people who actually went out and put their name on the line and did sometimes not easy tasks of going through campaigns and looking to serve their community everybody did a remarkable job and the end results showed that regardless of whether your candidate won or lost it was an amazing day for turnout. For once the American people came out and spoke and we look move forward day united as a country. I want to congratulate everybody involved.

Mr. Hartwick: Just to echo Commissioner DiFrancesco's comments being involved in the process from sealing machines to delivering ballots, but certainly from what I've heard with reports in other counties from around this State which had actually issued books prior to entering the registration names/information. Going around the County it is always unique to know that the Board of Commissioners goes to different areas of the County during each election, both Primary and General Election, delivering absentee ballots and to hear and see, with the large volume and numbers, how smoothly and efficiently and the type of integrity the folks in this election process have. Quite frankly in some of the urban areas there was a huge thought that somehow this election was going to be rigged. It was going to be put in a position where there wasn't that kind of integrity that would have been involved and somehow the outcome would not have been consistent with the way people voted. I was proud to say that from the beginning to the end of the process I feel 100% confident that if you cast a vote for an individual it was recorded accurately and those results were reflected in the total number of votes that were counted at the end of the day. Having said that I think it was a fair and very smooth election despite how much participation we had. What was the final total 67%?

Mr. Haste: I'm not sure.

Mr. Hartwick: I would also like to thank all the girls downstairs for all the crap that they put up with over the past several months with ridiculous requests, individuals who were calling in to ask interesting questions, they stuck through it and they earned a free lunch from me with Steve Chiavetta having to man the office by himself for a day.

Mr. Saylor: The only thing that I would add to the record is our often overlooked, but certainly under appreciated team of solicitors, who were doing their best to stay on top of all the legal challenges and craziness that happened. They were doing their best to deal with last minute Court decisions and making sure that all our policies were in step with what the State was coming down with. They deserve some kudos as well.

CORRESPONDENCE

Mr. Haste: We have correspondence listed on the Agenda that has been received by the Board this week, Items A through E, which will be handled by the staff appropriately.

- A. Notification that effective immediately Alpha Benefit Administrators, LLC will be renamed Benefit Design Specialists, Inc.
- B. Notification from H. Edward Black & Associates, Inc. advising that the Ned Smith Center for Nature and Art is applying to DEP for a renewal of its General NPDES Permit for stormwater discharges associated with construction activities at the Ned Smith Center in Upper Paxton Township.
- C. Notification from Rettew advising that Eldorado Properties Corporation is applying to DEP for a Title V Operating Permit Renewal Application for the Lucknow bulk gasoline terminal located at 3300 Industrial Road, City of Harrisburg.
- D. Receipt of a copy of a letter from DEP to Rocky Licensing Corporation advising that Surface Mining Permit No. 22880301C8, NPDES Permit #PA0223948, Fiddler's Elbow Quarry Operation, has been renewed.
- E. Notification from Skelly and Loy advising that the Lower Paxton Township Parks & Recreation Department is applying to DEP for a PA Water Obstruction and Encroachment and U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Section 404 Joint Permit for the proposed Brightbill Park Channel Stabilization and Site Enhancement Project in Lower Paxton Township.

PUBLIC PARTICIPATION

Mr. Haste: We are again at the point in time in the meeting for public participation. Is there anyone in the audience that would like to address the Board? (There was none.)

ADJOURNMENT

There being no further business, it was moved by Mr. DiFrancesco and seconded by Mr. Hartwick that the Board adjourn.

Respectfully submitted,

Chad Saylor, Chief Clerk

Transcribed by: Richie-Ann Martz