



**DAUPHIN COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS**

**WORKSHOP/LEGISLATIVE MEETING**

**NOVEMBER 4, 2009**

**10:00 A.M.**

**MEMBERS PRESENT**

Jeff Haste, Chairman  
Dominic D. DiFrancesco, II, Vice Chairman  
George P. Hartwick, III, Secretary

**STAFF PRESENT**

Chad Saylor, Chief Clerk; Marie E. Rebeck, Controller; Janis Creason, Treasurer; William Tully, Esq., Solicitor; J. Scott Burford, Deputy Chief Clerk; Randy Baratucci, Director of Purchasing; Mike Yohe, Director of Budget & Finance; Tom Guenther, Director of IT; Kay Lenge, Personnel; Dave Schreiber, Personnel; Diane McNaughton, Commissioners' Office; Carolyn Thompson, Court Administrator; Garry Esworthy, Risk Manager; George Connor, Community & Economic Development; David Feidt, Solicitor's Office; Amy Richards, Commissioners' Office; Leila Brown, Solicitor's Office; Fred Lighty, Esq., Human Services Director's Office; Mike Potteiger, Director of Adult Probation; Stephen Libhart, District Attorney's Office; Brenda Hoffer, Commissioners' Office; Jena Wolgemuth, Commissioners' Office and Richie-Ann Martz, Assistant Chief Clerk

**GUESTS PRESENT**

Al Loomis, Jessica LaManna and John Ward

**MINUTES**

**CALL TO ORDER**

Mr. Haste, Chairman of the Board, called the meeting to order at 10:12 a.m.

## **MOMENT OF SILENCE**

Everyone observed a moment of silence.

## **PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE**

Everyone stood for the Pledge of Allegiance.

## **APPROVAL OF MINUTES**

**It was moved by Mr. Hartwick and seconded by Mr. DiFrancesco that the Board approve the October 21, 2009 Workshop Meeting Minutes and the October 28, 2009 Legislative Meeting Minutes; motion carried.**

## **EXECUTIVE SESSIONS HELD BETWEEN MEETINGS**

Mr. Saylor: Commissioners, we met once in Executive Session this morning to discuss matters of litigation and personnel.

## **TEFRA HEARING**

A complete set of TEFRA Hearing Minutes are on file in the Commissioners' Office.

## **PUBLIC PARTICIPATION**

Mr. Haste: We are at the point in time in the meeting for public participation. Is there anyone that would like to address the Board at this time? (There were none.)

## **DEPARTMENT DIRECTORS/GUESTS**

### **A. Stephen Libhart, District Attorney's Office**

#### **1. Project Modification to PCCD Grant #2009-DA-ST-20214 (Item J under Matters Requiring Board Action)**

Mr. Libhart: As you may or may not recall for the last five years the County of Dauphin has received funding from the Commission on Crime and Delinquency to fund the County Drug Court Program. One of those components is the Drug and Alcohol Restricted Intermediate Punishment Funding. Basically this is a modification request that is mandated by PCCD. After the State budget was passed, the original funding, which you approved in the original application in May, was at \$216,706 for the year between July 1 and June 30. However, the State budget cut it. Basically we are only going to receive 97% of what they had originally proposed to be our annual funding. So, I have to submit this project request just as an acknowledgement that our funding is actually dropping by roughly \$5,700. The new total for the project period is \$210,879.

Otherwise, every component of the program remains unchanged. Do you have any questions? (There were none.)

On a related note, I am preparing a report to provide at a future meeting on where the Drug Court stands as far as participants and number of incarceration days saved. I'll do that for the calendar year and from the project inception to date.

## **B. Al Loomis, Benecon**

### **1. Update on Healthcare Costs**

Mr. Loomis: I'm here this morning to give you an overview of what is going on in the healthcare world in terms of pricing, which I don't think anybody is going to be surprised by what I have to say in that regard. Also, I want to discuss some strategies that you want to consider to help control those costs going forward. The trends in healthcare nationally have been fairly significant. According to Milliman's, a large consulting and actuarial firm out of Seattle, they do a medical index trend analysis and they have been doing that for five years now. They are claiming that claim costs rose from 2004 to 2009 at an average annual rate of 8.4%. That is just claim costs. That is not the additional administrative costs. According to the Kaiser Family Foundation, which is another large think tank in the health industry, healthcare costs in this country have grown an average of 2.4% faster than GDP since 1970. In 1960, healthcare costs represented about 5.2% of our national economy. By 2007, healthcare costs had gone up to 16.2% of our national economy and are expected to hit 20% next year. These are figures that you have probably been reading in the paper over the last several months. The cost of a family premium increased 93% from 2000 to 2006 and the cost of a single premium rose about 74% during the same period of time. The not-so-good news is that PriceWaterhouse Coopers is projecting increases for 2007 to continue in a 9% range. That's just medical inflation. Dauphin's experience, we put together a few points on that to share with you. From 2004 to 2009, total healthcare costs increased roughly \$3.3 million, despite the fact that you reduced your employees by 250 with the selling of the County Home. On a composite basis, if you look at what you are paying on a composite basis from 2004 to 2009, you are paying about \$8,500 per person insured in 2004 and that is expected to be nearly \$12,500 at the end of this year. That is an increase of 45.7% over five years or an average of about 9.14% per year.

Mr. Haste: Even though that occurred when we had 250 fewer employees, could we still be paying for some of those employees, because of the expenses that they incurred while they were employed? How long do we have to carry that?

Mr. Loomis: The run out is very short. It is like two months. This is comparing what your costs are going to be this year to what they were back in 2004. When you factor out, adjust it for those 2000 you are roughly 8500 on a composite basis back then. The good news is that according to Milliman the average family cost for a family of four is still over \$16,000 this year. You are below that in your composite.

I wanted to give you an idea of how you can attack some of these cost increases that you are feeling here. There are really two ways that you can look at this. You can do what I call peer cost-shifting techniques and I'll talk about a few of those in a minute. The other option would be to institute some cost-sharing strategies that incentivize your employees to get involved in wellness programs. These can lower claim costs over time. The peer cost-shifting strategies would be to increase deductibles. I think most of these are probably pretty familiar. Increase co-pays for office visits, ER visits and drug prescriptions. Add claim co-insurance is another way to shift costs. Modify prescription drug usage, increase out-of-pocket maximums, reduce or drop specific coverages, in other words provide less coverage are others ways to reduce. None of these are real attractive options by the way or very long-term effective options. Another option would be to consider premium-sharing or employee contributions towards the cost of insurance. I zero in on the last one, because it is an area where there is a real opportunity for you to develop a partnership with your employees to help control costs in the future and I will explain that. Just looking at this on a national basis in 2008, according to the Kaiser Foundation, the average employee contribution is 28% for a family plan and employers were paying 72%. That is all employers across the country, all types of employers. In Pennsylvania those numbers were 24% and 76% for the employers. They are pretty close. The Pennsylvania County Health Insurance Cooperative, which you have participated in for the last year, was surveyed and their numbers are a little less. For single coverage, we are seeing that the employee is paying about 6% of premium on average and the employers or counties are paying 94% on single. In a family that does increase to 15% that the employee is paying and 85% that the county is paying. In what we call the PCHIPC Program, 14 of the 17 current members require premium-sharing. Premium-sharing is very common in Pennsylvania counties. Saturation point is at least 80% or more. Of those with a sharing arrangement in the PCHIPC Program, all but one use the percentage of premium nothing and the one uses just a flat rate regardless of who you are or what benefit you have. When I talk about cost-sharing strategies, this is where I think the opportunity lies for the future. Finding creative incentives for employees to participate in wellness and other programs that can reduce your claim costs and their claim costs, it is sort of a mechanism for getting the employee to have some skin in the game of healthcare. Creating wellness participation parameters would be very key to being successful at doing this. If those parameters are met, it can definitely translate into lower out-of-pocket costs for an employee if you incentivize them to participate and it can also result in lower claim costs for you as the County. So why engage employees in programs that will lower claims? Well, wellness programs have been proven to lower claim costs. Another good reason is 80% of what you are paying is for claims. So, logically, if you can do something to reduce those claims you can save county dollars. Reducing claims paid translates directly into savings. Engaging employees in the direct management of their own health costs, through wellness, is key to that program. In some studies that were done with major corporations that utilize wellness as a mechanism for lowering costs, the return on investment ranged from \$1.42 to \$1.68 for every dollar that was invested in the wellness program. The savings came primarily through lower claim costs, which is the objective, and, of course, higher productivity. If people are healthier

they are going to work and reduce absenteeism. I give you the site of where you can find more information about the studies if you want.

I mentioned earlier about establishing, if you are going to do this, you need to establish some wellness participation parameters. Some of the key ones would be requiring employees to complete a health risk assessment so they have a baseline understanding of what their health situation is and have some sense for where they need to go in the future to make improvements. Another key parameter would be to require participation in weight management; at least if someone is obese you would want them in those kinds of programs. Nutrition programs, health screening programs for cholesterol, blood pressure and also tobacco cessation programs are often part of a healthy wellness program. Participation in recommended case management programs is another parameter you could add. Oftentimes case management is voluntary to the employee. If you can get them to work with a case manager to manage their diabetes or other chronic diseases it can result in lower claim costs for you. Lastly, just monitoring employees to make sure they are taking their prescribed maintenance drugs is another key parameter you can use. There certainly are others, but I thought I would mention at least a few of what you might want to add if you are going to do a program like this.

The important thing is wellness participation needs to have some incentives. I don't have any good statistics on the effectiveness of the wellness programs without incentives, but it is clear that the more incentive you give your employees to participate the more you can count on their participation.

Mr. DiFrancesco: Can I just say for the record the one statistic that matters in Dauphin County? When you don't incentivize people don't participate at all. That's kind of the historic trend over the last three years now.

Mr. Loomis: The bottom line here is if someone is willing to participate to help you keep your costs down you will give them an incentive in a financial matter. One way that you can do that is by lowering their premium share requirement, as one example. You want to help them financially in their pocketbook if you really want to get their attention.

Mr. Hartwick: Thanks for the presentation. I guess the first number that was stated is that we have seen the historic trend of increased costs for the County. Moving from a retro refund to a nominal fee arrangement, it's very clear that we need to figure out a way not to feel good about a surplus amount of money that we established in this fund, but to actually figure out ways that we can control future costs. Based upon trending and the numbers that you brought up, \$3.3 million in increased cost for healthcare with 250 fewer employees shows the trend is obviously large that we are continuing to use more and more healthcare. The only way that we are going to be able to try to address it is to figure out ways to put the employees in a position where they can either spend or reduce their amount of contribution based upon their ability to participate and maintain their own health. I don't go to the doctor's very often. I try to work out to the extent that you can, but to figure out ways that even we, from a leadership perspective, also are going to place any burden on the commissioners. This is going to be something that we

don't endure and the entire workforce doesn't endure. We talked about premium-sharing for the past three years. As I've taken a look at this nationally employee contributions are at 28%. Dauphin County's proposing 3% of premium-sharing, which quite frankly I don't know if it goes far enough in order to have the employees really understand that this is going to cost a significant amount of money. It is a tremendous amount when you take a look at it overall. However, this Board has been very clear and conscious about understanding that we've got lower-paid employees who do a good job every day and who we need to maintain in our workforce. If you compare the county's healthcare benefits to the State government's benefits or any other local government's benefits, even with this premium-sharing of 3%, we are far better in the area of benefits than almost any other government agency. I want to be very clear. Also when you take a look, even with your counties that were involved, the single coverage and family coverage for those counties are 15 and 6%. The County's premium-sharing is at 3%, which again is far lower than almost every other county and government agency. Beyond that the Board was so concerned about the effect on employees and our ability to control costs that we want to figure out a way to get people healthier and better. So, we are offering our employees who participate in wellness a way to avoid having to pay those premiums. Obviously the participation in wellness, even though we have a committee that has worked very hard, is not at the level that we need it to be, and for someone to avoid having to pay any premiums to be able to participate and get a health assessment and begin the process of hopefully getting our maintenance drugs and become healthier, we need to do whatever it may take in order for them to reduce the costs. It is a necessary component for us to be able to manage these healthcare costs. We'll see how 3% affects folks. I'm sure there will be a lot of people who will complain, but I don't know of any other workplace that allows you to avoid those premium payments, if you participate in a wellness program. We also have to figure out a way to be very clear and understand that taxpayers can't continue to foot the bill for healthcare costs that will continue to rise at astronomical rates here in Dauphin County.

Mr. Loomis: Any other comments or questions for me? (There were none.)

### **C. Mike Potteiger, Adult Probation**

#### **1. Ignition Interlock**

Mr. Potteiger: I met with each of you individually over the past month in reference to Dauphin County Adult Probation and Parole and the Courts taking over the Ignition Interlock Program to be run by Dauphin County Adult Probation and Parole. I'm here today to seek approval to move forward with the RFP process for the County to institute that program during January 2010. I spoke with the other members. I met with Mr. Yohe, Mr. Tully and Mr. Saylor and also Mr. Baratucci in reference to this also.

Mr. Haste: I'm okay with it.

Mr. DiFrancesco: I am as well. I think it is important to know for the record; my initial concern was that we would be taking on within our County government competition to

the local repair shops that are currently doing this business and so forth. In reality we can weigh that against the fact that this would give our Probation Department more direct oversight of the people that they are supervising and make that just a more successful rehabilitation program. It is worthy of our support even though it may cause some distress to some of the providers in our local community. The bottom line is that we have to be focused, as County government, on the supervision of folks that are arrested for these crimes and making sure that the community is safe and this makes that system a better system. I'm all on board.

Mr. Hartwick: Are you looking for a vote?

Mr. Haste: We'll just give him the okay. We'll vote when he does the RFP.

#### **D. John Ward, Modern Transit Partnership**

##### **1. Presentation of Award to Commissioners**

Mr. Ward: Thank you for the opportunity to come before you. It is certainly a privilege to come before you this morning to honor you. I represent Modern Transit Partnership. Over the last several weeks we have chosen leaders in South Central Pennsylvania that have shown great vision and have shown their interest and effort to bring those visions to fruition. Thank you very much for your work in the South Central Pennsylvania region. Thank you for your work and support with the Modern Transit Partnership. David Black, the President of the Harrisburg Chamber, was at our reception last week, and he stated that in years past experience with the County commissioners that they have never worked with three gentlemen that are so cooperative and yet they have their differences, but the next day they are back working together. That's why I'm here today to say thank you for the work that you do in South Central Pennsylvania. (Plaques were presented to the Commissioners.)

Mr. DiFrancesco: I would just like to take an opportunity to comment for the record. I guess it was last week or two weeks ago where the same presentations were made down in Lancaster County and I had the opportunity to go down and attend a breakfast meeting where those presentations were being made. The thing that struck me as a really positive aspect is that we spend so much time here in our area focused on the relationship between Cumberland and Dauphin County and the cultures of the two counties and sometimes we lose sight of our neighbors and the perspectives that they bring to the table. It was interesting to me to listen to the three Lancaster Commissioners and to see the Mayor of Lancaster. When they got up and I think this is a factor of them being geographically closer to the Philadelphia region that it is easy for them to access the mass transit that goes into the city. When they get up and start to talk about the excitement they have in Lancaster where they can hop on a train and be at a Phillies game with very little effort or an Eagles game with very little effort or simply attend meetings in downtown and you start to see people with excitement talking about the future of mass transit options, not because they have some dream of having it here, but because they are generally using it already and they realize that the better it gets

the more exciting and the more people that will use it. It was such a totally different atmosphere. It was really an upbeat and positive atmosphere. Lancaster County is so excited about the idea of having a more regular train service linking Lancaster and Harrisburg, but also linking any improvements that can be done to Lancaster and by virtue of us being now connected Harrisburg to that Philadelphia marketplace where we know already that for instance the Middletown Amtrak Station gets used very heavily with people going down on a daily basis to the City and in Lancaster and all along the way. Not to do anything more than acknowledge history that we have struggled with this issue so much here, but that link down in Lancaster County, I mean I walked away from that breakfast meeting with such a positive attitude, because they really understand, as I believe we do, what the potential future holds if we put a system in place and we do it well and we do it right. It was a great morning to sit there and join with colleagues from a neighboring county and talk about the positive things and where we are heading. I can tell you that the excitement of our neighbors to the south is huge. I thought it was important to add.

### **DISCUSSION ON ITEM J**

Mr. Saylor: I know there were some questions about Item J on the Agenda. If there is no objection I would like to have Scott come forward to explain it. It is kind of a last minute addition to the Agenda.

Mr. Burford: The CMT Agreement, this program was brought to us by the National Association of Counties. Our staff has reviewed the program and our webmaster is pretty excited about it. What this will do is enhance the [dauphincounty.org](http://dauphincounty.org) website. If you recall the County's website has still pictures on it. This is truly a plug-in application that will allow visitors to our area, anyone who is interested in Dauphin County government, to plug in and get a video tour of certain features and attractions that our County has to offer. For instance, someone relocating to Dauphin County, because of employment, can link in and get a video tour of an educational center and it can walk you through. Now, this video plug-in is hosted offsite and what really makes it attractive to our staff upstairs is that any video production that we already have in place we can migrate over to this video tour as well. It is fully supported. Probably the best feature of all is that it is at no cost to the county. I just wanted to give you that background. I'm open to any questions you might have.

Mr. Haste: Scott and I watched the demo when NACo called in. The other neat thing is that it had pretty good tracking. You would be able to tell who is viewing it, from what part of the country, what pages they looked at, what their interests were so that you could, as you move forward, know what they are looking at. Then we could further customize the link to meet their needs. That was pretty interesting too.

Mr. Burford: You're right, Commissioner. We can bridge that with the Tourism Bureau as well. We can target in on where these inquiries are coming from.

Mr. Haste: Instead of still photos they come out and do the video and put it in there, which was pretty impressive.

Mr. Hartwick: I see that it is at no cost to the County. How will they recoup the costs of their work and establishing the actual video?

Mr. Haste: Just like an ad booklet. When you click on one page you see sponsors around there. The neat thing is they produce the same thing for those sponsors. For example – Giant Foods, if they wanted to be a sponsor they could put a link in there that you could click on Giant Foods and it goes to a streaming video of Giant Foods and what they have to offer.

Mr. Burford: IT seems to be excited about it.

Mr. Haste: The price that they were charging the sponsors to do it was very reasonable.

Mr. Burford: It was between \$800 and \$5,700.

Mr. Saylor: Why do we need to vote on it today?

Mr. Burford: One of the reasons for the sense of urgency is that they are offering a special. The first 15 counties that sign up get additional features. Commissioner Haste had pointed out that one of the features is a tracking system. The staff feels that it is an important feature for us so we can hone in and market our county as best we can. We will know who is linking into our website and we can back up and re-evaluate where we should spend our efforts.

Mr. Hartwick: Just work along with the Tourism Bureau to talk about what is the most important thing.

Mr. Haste: We can highlight our stuff, Music Fest, etc. It was really interesting.

Mr. DiFrancesco: Can we see the demo? Is it still possible?

Mr. Burford: I would be happy to show that to you.

## **SALARY BOARD**

A complete set of Salary Board Meeting Minutes are on file in the Commissioners' Office.

## PERSONNEL

Ms. Lengle: There is a request for a carryover of vacation leave included in the Packet. I just wanted to draw your attention to that. Do you have any questions on any of the items in the Personnel Packet, either the regular listing or the Addendum?

Mr. DiFrancesco: I will be abstaining from Item 7 on the Personnel Packet.

Mr. Hartwick: Is this a matter of the reorganization and promotion of the sergeants out at the Prison?

Mr. Haste: That whole list. This is the promotion of the sergeants. We have two lieutenant spots to interview. There will be another wave, but not as big as this. From this now there are folks eligible for the lieutenant spots. That will happen next week.

**It was moved by Mr. Hartwick and seconded by Mr. DiFrancesco that the Board approve the Personnel Packet and Addendum, with the note that Mr. DiFrancesco will be abstaining on Item #7.**

**Question:** Mr. Haste – Aye; Mr. DiFrancesco – Aye, with an abstention on Item #7 and Mr. Hartwick – Aye; motion carried.

## PURCHASE ORDERS

Mr. Baratucci: You should have all received your Packet yesterday. I would like to draw your attention to Page 2 and possibly Janis may want to comment on this. I got an email this morning. There is an item on there that has no budget. The item is a brochure for her office. The cost is going to be reimbursed by the State. Janis sent me an email this morning saying that it is possible now maybe that the State will pay for this directly. I'm not sure exactly how that is going to work. If the State pays it directly then we will just cancel his purchase order and never have to use it. However, if they don't we will do the purchase order, pay the vendor and then get reimbursed. Mike and I went over it and he said that there is no need to move money in there since the reimbursement will take care of that. That is why you have an item that has an over-budget. Janis may want to add to that.

Mr. Haste: What is the brochure?

Ms. Creason: The brochure is for dog license applications. They've never done that here before in Dauphin County. We developed this brochure to try to increase the number of applications. The Pennsylvania Dog Law Bureau will happily reimburse us for all costs.

Mr. Hartwick: I don't see Tom Guenther here. I see that there is a significant equipment purchase related to PCs and computers that I was not made aware of in

Children & Youth. I would just like to know whether it is part of the comprehensive strategy here and how this one arrived on the Agenda without my knowledge.

Mr. Baratucci: Tom was here a little bit ago, but I can check.

Mr. Haste: This is the ongoing.

Mr. Hartwick: The upgrade of PCs. I just want to make sure that there is an associated elimination of hardtop computers if in fact there are laptop purchases. I'm more concerned that I was not made aware of this.

Mr. Baratucci: There were some emails attached. I'll check to see if it is addressed in any of the emails?

Mr. Saylor: Do you want to skip over the Purchase Order Packet for now until we can locate Mr. Guenther?

Mr. Hartwick: Unless there is a sense of urgency, I would like to table this until the next Purchase Order Packet. Is there a reason to otherwise have to act on it today?

Mr. Baratucci: There is a note here that says from Sandy Pintarch to Peter "Please approve these purchases. The cost was included in our budget and represents a portion of our IT equipment, which we need to replace this year due to aging equipment." Of the two pages of emails, most of them are specs back and forth. Evidently that is Sandy's request to Peter who then did say okay.

Mr. Hartwick: I would be okay with approving these in a Workshop Meeting. I just want to make sure that these kinds of requests, especially large equipment requests, are coming through the office.

Mr. Burford: They are on a replacement schedule like the rest of the County. The difference being that the Children & Youth funding stream for these particular computers is that it would be better for that department to purchase as oppose to lease.

Mr. Hartwick: I'm all okay with that. The only thing that I'm not okay with is the process by which they made the request. The oversight Commissioner is supposed to be evaluating them and then I can advocate for their request.

Mr. Saylor: Could we approve the Purchase Order Packet minus those items?

Mr. Hartwick: We can vote on them next week. I just need to figure out exactly why that had taken place.

Mr. Baratucci: I understand your concern. There is no meeting next week, because it is Veterans Day and then the next approval meeting will be two weeks after that. That is why I was asking if Tom could get you your answers to get it resolved.

Mr. Hartwick: I'm sure there is a logical and rational reason behind it. I just want to know why the request didn't come the way it normally does.

Mr. Baratucci: If that is the only issue.

Mr. Hartwick: We can approve it pending the appropriate explanation and correction of protocol.

Mr. Baratucci: That is what I was getting at. It sounds like you don't necessarily have a problem with the request, it is more so...

Mr. Hartwick: It is supposed to come through the oversight Commissioner. I don't know why it is all of a sudden being circumvented.

Mr. Baratucci: I just wanted to give you the lay of the land if we don't approve it today and if it is an issue that you can just resolve with one of your people that they do it the right way in the future. Either way is okay.

Mr. Hartwick: We can approve it today pending that change in protocol.

**It was moved by Mr. Hartwick and seconded by Mr. DiFrancesco that the Board approve the Purchase Order Packet, pending that change in protocol; motion carried.**

Mr. Baratucci: I will make sure that everybody is aware of that and to get a hold of you as soon as possible.

Mr. Hartwick: In the future if they don't go through the correct process I won't vote to approve it.

Mr. Baratucci: I have another item for a BlackBerry request. It is one of the personal ones. It is just allowing someone to sign up and pay for it personally. I didn't think that would be anything difficult to approve today. So, if you could vote on that.

**It was moved by Mr. Hartwick and seconded by Mr. DiFrancesco that the Board approve the BlackBerry Request for Natalie M.R. Burston in the Public Defender's Office; motion carried.**

## **REPORT FROM BUDGET & FINANCE – MIKE YOHE, BUDGET DIRECTOR**

Mr. Yohe presented the following Report:

### **Report from the Office of Budget & Finance November 4, 2009**

- **October 30, 2009** transferred **\$1,273,800.05** to the **Payables** account from the County's Concentration account for checks issued that week. (Construction bills for the prison project contributed to this large payout)
- **Total Term Investments**
  - 4/13/09 - **\$20,000,000** 7-Month CD at Citizens Bank – **1.555%** - matures 11/10/09
  - 4/27/09 - **\$20,000,000** 8-Month CD at Citizens Bank – **1.500%** - matures 12/23/09
- **Balance today in INVEST account** **\$1,374.82** rate **0.155%**
- **Balance today in Susquehanna Bank investment account** **\$13,299,108.77** rate **0.500%** (This rate is fixed for the month)
- **Balance today in Sovereign Bank investment account** **\$0.00** rate **0.000%**
- **Balance today in Citizens Bank Municipal Money Market account** **\$57,000,000.00** rate **0.950%** (This rate is fixed through 12/31/09)
- **Balance today in Citizens Bank Municipal Money Market Checking account** **\$2,005,868.74** rate **0.800%** (This rate is fixed through 12/31/09)
- **Balance today in PNC Bank investment account** **\$1,000.00** rate **0.200%** (This equals today's Fed Funds rate of 0.250% minus 5 basis points)
- **Balance today in Graystone Bank investment account** **\$1,000.00** rate **0.050%** (This equals today's Fed Funds rate of 0.250% minus 20 basis points)
- **Balance today in Metro Bank investment account** **\$100.00** rate **0.000%** (This equals today's 90-day T-Bill rate of 0.060% minus 25 basis points)

**No T.R.A.N. Line of Credit required for 2009.**

**REPORT FROM CHIEF CLERK/CHIEF OF STAFF – CHAD SAYLOR**

Mr. Saylor: Commissioners, I have nothing unless there are questions of me. (There were none.)

**SOLICITOR'S REPORT – WILLIAM TULLY, ESQ., SOLICITOR**

Mr. Tully: Nothing to add to the Report. I would be happy to answer any questions pending the vote. (There were none.)

**MATTERS REQUIRING BOARD ACTION**

- A. Training Packet.

- B. Partial Refund of 2008 & 2009 Real Estate Taxes – Vincent Munnici – Parcel #42-033-054 - \$350.40.
- C. Satisfaction Piece for Tonya Scott on the property located at 916 N. 18<sup>th</sup> St., Harrisburg, PA 17103 (\$3,558.98).
- D. Request to contribute the County's pro rata share (\$1,550.50) for appraisal services and trial costs needed to ascertain the true value of the property of Conewago Contractors, Inc., Parcel #36-006-018.
- E. Resolution #26-2009 approving financing by the Cumberland County Municipal Authority for the benefit of Diakon Lutheran Social Ministries for capital improvements to their facilities.
- F. Resolution #27-2009 approving the Community Development Block Grant and HOME Program FY 2010 Annual Action Plan for submittal to U.S. Department of Housing & Urban Development.
- G. Resolution #28-2009 adopting the revised Triennial 9-1-1 Plan to PEMA for 2010-2013.
- H. Modification Agreement to Phase Two Lease Agreement between Dauphin County and Capital Business Systems to add one copier (Lanier Color Copier Model LD528C) in the District Attorney's Office for 32 months.
- I. PCCD Grant #2009-DA-ST-20214 Dauphin County D&A RIP Project.
- J. County Movie Tour Book Agreement between Dauphin County and CGI Communications, Inc. for a video link to enhance current DauphinCounty.org website.
- K. Purchase of Service Agreements between Children & Youth and:
  - 1. Reading Specialists Educational Association
  - 2. Harrisburg Area YMCA
  - 3. Felder and Wright Independent Living and AfterCare Services, Inc.
  - 4. Drug and Alcohol Rehabilitation Services, Inc.
  - 5. The Children's Institute of Pittsburgh, Inc.
  - 6. Jewish Family Service of Greater Harrisburg, Inc.
  - 7. United Methodist Home for Children Emergency Shelter for Youth, Inc.
  - 8. Alternative Rehabilitation Communities, Inc.
  - 9. Discovery Kids Childcare Center, Inc.
- L. Adoption Assistance Agreements #2009-67 and #2009-70.
- M. ITF Medicaid Waiver Service Agreements Amended and Restated between MH/MR and:
  - 1. Melissa Moore Anderson, PT
  - 2. HealthSouth Rehabilitation of Mechanicsburg
- N. Tourism Grant Application – Blackman MMA - \$2,000.00.
- O. Purchase of Service Per Diem Rates between Children & Youth and:
  - 1. Pride of the Neighborhood Academies
  - 2. COBYS Family Services
  - 3. Alternative Rehabilitation Communities, Inc.

4. Children's Center for Treatment and Education c/b/a Beacon Light Behavioral Health Systems
5. Hoffman Homes, Inc.
6. Southwood Psychiatric Hospital
7. Wordsworth Academy Residential Treatment Center
8. Discovery Kids Child Care Center, Inc.

Mr. Haste: We have Matters Requiring Board Action, Items A through O. Are there any that need to be pulled out separately?

**It was moved by Mr. DiFrancesco and seconded by Mr. Hartwick that the Board approve Matters Requiring Board Action, Items A through O; motion carried.**

### **NEW BUSINESS**

Mr. Hartwick: I know you received notification on Monday of this week that the long anticipated and hard work on funding for the integrated human services plan was approved, to allow us to more fully integrate all of our human services by common screening tool, a one-stop shop for all human services no matter where you enter into the system. We are going to be able to handle those needs more efficiently. There were two or three counties that were approved for monies for our integrated human services plan. We received a notification that we received \$106,200 this year for our continued work in attempting to integrate all of our categorical agencies.

Mr. DiFrancesco: Once again we had a great day yesterday. The elections in Dauphin County went off without a glitch. I think we need to state for the record today that it takes a lot of people for that to happen. From the folks that were working the polls to the staff downstairs to make sure the machines are prepared and out, that service the machines when they go down to the attorneys that stay here all day waiting for problems to pop up that never do, to everybody that has taken the time to make sure that the election process in Dauphin County is upheld and our constituents can continue to have great faith in the fact that we have a good system here. Thank you to all who took the time and put in the extra effort yesterday.

### **COMMISSIONERS' DISCUSSION & ACTIONS**

(There were none.)

### **CORRESPONDENCE**

Mr. Haste: We have correspondence that has been received this week and is listed on the Agenda, Items A through C, which will be handled by the staff appropriately.

- A. Notification from Milton Hershey School advising that they are applying to DEP for a General Permit #3 for stream bank rehabilitation/protection on property located at Bachmanville Road in Conewago Township.

- B. Notification from ArcelorMittal advising that they are applying to DEP for an application to construct and operate a new natural gas fired boiler, four new Ingot Heaters and a new Cooling Tower at their Steelton facility.
- C. Notification from Harrisburg International Airport advising that they are renewing their NPDES Permit for brine waste and HVAC industrial wastewater discharge.

### **PUBLIC PARTICIPATION**

Mr. Haste: We are again at the point in time for public participation. Is there anyone in the audience that would like to address the Board? (There were none.)

I would just like to remind everyone that we have Prison Board this afternoon.

### **ADJOURNMENT**

**There being no further business, it was moved by Mr. DiFrancesco and seconded by Mr. Hartwick that the Board adjourn.**

Respectfully submitted,

Chad Saylor, Chief Clerk

Transcribed by: Richie-Ann Martz