



DAUPHIN COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS

WORKSHOP/LEGISLATIVE MEETING

AUGUST 5, 2009

10:00 A.M.

MEMBERS PRESENT

Jeff Haste, Chairman
Dominic D. DiFrancesco, II, Vice Chairman
George P. Hartwick, III, Secretary

STAFF PRESENT

Chad Saylor, Chief Clerk; Marie E. Rebeck, Controller; Janis Creason, Treasurer; William Tully, Esq., Solicitor; Randy Baratucci, Director of Purchasing; Michael Yohe, Director of Budget & Finance; Kay Lengle, Personnel; Diane McNaughton, Commissioners' Office; Amy Richards, Commissioners' Office; Dave Schreiber, Personnel; Danielle Vayda, Solicitor's Office; Steve Libhart, District Attorney's Office; August Memmi, Community & Economic Development; George Connor, Community & Economic Development; Tom Guenther, Director of IT; Fred Lighty, Esq., Human Services Director's Office; Jordan Barkus, Commissioners' Office; Michelle Haack, Commissioners' Office; Brenda Hoffer, Commissioners' Office and Richie-Ann Martz, Assistant Chief Clerk

GUESTS PRESENT

Dan Miller

MINUTES

CALL TO ORDER

Mr. Haste, Chairman of the Board, called the meeting to order at 10:10 a.m.

MOMENT OF SILENCE

Everyone observed a moment of silence.

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

Everyone stood for the Pledge of Allegiance.

APPROVAL OF MINUTES

It was moved by Mr. DiFrancesco and seconded by Mr. Hartwick that the Board approve the July 15, 2009 Workshop Meeting Minutes and the July 22, 2009 Legislative Meeting Minutes; motion carried.

It was moved by Ms. Rebuck and seconded by Mr. DiFrancesco that the Board approve the July 22, 2009 Salary Board Meeting Minutes; motion carried.

EXECUTIVE SESSIONS HELD BETWEEN MEETINGS

Mr. Saylor: Commissioners, as you know we met once in Executive Session on August 3, 2009 to discuss matters of litigation.

PUBLIC PARTICIPATION

Mr. Haste: We are at that point in time in the meeting for public participation. Is there anyone in the audience that would like to address the Board at this time? (There was none.)

DEPARTMENT DIRECTORS/GUESTS

A. Stephen Libhart, District Attorney's Office

1. Juvenile Attorney Expansion Project (Voted under Matters Requiring Board Action)

Mr. Libhart: I have a grant opportunity that was released in some haste by the Commission on Crime and Delinquency, because it's attached ultimately to Federal monies from the Reinvestment Recovery Act. It has been specified as money only to fund positions of juvenile attorneys throughout the Commonwealth and the Courts of Common Pleas. Counties were allowed to apply for as little or as many positions as they wished, but there is no guarantee that you will receive any or all the funding requested. It is a strictly competitive grant announcement. It is not designated as a certain amount for county-to-county. Both the Chief Public Defender and District Attorney encouraged me to submit this grant. It basically had a ten day window when we could write it, submit it and get it moving along the track for approval to begin in August of 2009. Both of them are aware that there is no guarantee that these positions

will be funded past the two year point, however, it was an opportunity to take some money to help our juvenile division, which is currently, compared to our regular criminal division in both offices is somewhat over tasked. Therefore, we are requesting two positions, which would be entirely funded in salary and benefits for two years through this grant announcement. If need be they can either at the end of the two year period roll it into existing staff or obviously the positions would have to be terminated unless there is some other funding found. However, the opportunity presented itself so I was encouraged by both offices to submit it rather than not apply for it and not take the money. It is a competitive announcement, so it could be that we would not be selected for an award of any kind or it could be it's dramatically reduced from what we submitted.

Mr. Hartwick: I asked you all the questions that I needed to ask. For the record, there is no requirement for continuation money or general fund dollars to be used by the County?

Mr. Libhart: There is no required match of any kind from the County. There is no guarantee that this project will be funded past the two year point, but there is no guarantee that it wouldn't be either.

Mr. Hartwick: Based upon the grant it covers salaries and benefits?

Mr. Libhart: It covers salary and benefits and it will also cover training for each of the offices once in each of the two year period.

Mr. Haste: Assuming it is funded.

Mr. Libhart: Yes.

SALARY BOARD

A complete set of Salary Board Meeting Minutes are on file in the Commissioners' Office.

PERSONNEL

Ms. Lengle: Are there any questions on any of the items in the Personnel Packet? (There was none.)

It was moved by Mr. Hartwick and seconded by Mr. DiFrancesco that the Board approve the Personnel Packet; motion carried.

PURCHASE ORDERS

Mr. Baratucci: First thing is the Purchase Order Packet. Do you have any questions on that? (There was none.)

The second thing is you should have also received from me a letter from HRG along with a bid tab. We opened a bid last week for the Prison Roof Replacement and the recommendation from our Engineer is to go with the low bidder, which is T.G.W. Corp. at \$981,390. That was the base bid and both alternates. They bid it that way so that we could see what the prices were and whether we could afford to do the entire project. According to HRG and the Prison we can. So, their recommendation is to go with the low bid. You can see that we did get seven bids, which was really good. I would recommend that we take HRG's recommendation and approve that.

Mr. Haste: The great thing about this is that it came in well under budget. I'm not sure how much was set aside for this project, \$2.2 million.

Mr. Yohe: That's for all the projects.

Mr. Haste: It came in well under what was expected. It was guessed that it would cost \$1.7 just for this part.

Mr. Baratucci: I know it was well over \$1 million. Ed Baker was happy with everything. Everyone was happy with the bids.

The other things that you should have also received are three items. One is a Blackberry requisition request for Personnel. It is a personal one for Faye Fisher at no cost to the County. There are three Remote Access Requisitions. One is for MH/MR. All of those fell under Commissioner Hartwick's oversight so he approved all of these. There are two for Tax Assessment, one for Steve Howe and one for Doug Boswell. They actually had eight in total. Commissioner Hartwick suggested approving two right now. It is something new. They want to see how it goes before they come back for the other six.

Mr. Hartwick: He was pushing for eight. They wanted to see if the software is going to work. They are not going to be used by Steve or Doug. They are going to be used by the Tax Assessment folks who are out in the field to test to see if they are in fact going to be utilized and utilized effectively. It was budgeted. Rather than go with eight we are going to go with two and if it doesn't work we will go with none.

Mr. Baratucci: So, that would be two for Tax Assessment and one for MH/MR and then the personal Blackberry request for Faye.

It was moved by Mr. DiFrancesco and seconded by Mr. Hartwick that the Board approve the Purchase Order Packet; motion carried.

It was moved by Mr. Hartwick and seconded by Mr. DiFrancesco that the Board award the bid for the Prison Roof Replacement Project to the low qualified bidder, T.G.W. Corp. in the amount of \$981,390 (includes the base bid plus the two alternates); motion carried.

It was moved by Mr. Hartwick and seconded by Mr. DiFrancesco that the Board approve the personal Blackberry Request for Faye Fisher and the three Remote Access Requests (one for MH/MR and two for Tax Assessment).

Discussion:

Mr. DiFrancesco: Tom, the flood gates are cracked. It is not wide open, but it is cracked. We are starting to see these come in more aggressively and actively. Does this change anything in terms of are we now going to also buy a laptop for every office in addition to the desktops that they have? Are we thinking about our policy in terms of these field people are already using laptops, this is just an additional air card and the cost of the air card? What are we thinking about infrastructure internally as people start to go mobile? Are we going to give up desktops? What are you seeing and what are your thoughts?

Mr. Guenther: The employees that are becoming more mobile are probation officers and caseworkers. We are trying to move them more towards a laptop device and give them a mobile unit, determine whether they need constant service, like an air card service, or whether we can start moving to more hotspot technology depending on how we build out the network. One of the things that we are working on right now is trying to put wireless access in at all the DJ offices and use the DJ offices as zones that people can get to if they need to jump on the network. We are looking at the most ways to do that.

Mr. DiFrancesco: My concern is that there are going to be additional costs. Put it this way, the additional costs we will see when they come in. We are not necessarily going to see the offsetting possible savings some place else or the trade off some place else that really makes it somewhat cost neutral. We're always going to have the air card service that we are paying for, but I think it is important for us to understand how the structure of our organization is changing as these people start to go mobile.

Mr. Guenther: We have had some discussions in our meetings with Chad and Randy and I and even with Edgar. One of the things that we have to look at with cost savings is the reduction of building space. If we make employees more mobile and get them out in the field then we can cut back on space. I think we have seen that a little bit in Adult Probation already. They are technically out of space, but they are not creating any new space, because we have given them better tools. I think Children & Youth is probably the next example, creating satellite offices as well as giving them mobile workforce and better tools.

Mr. DiFrancesco: I still think too one of the other benefits are the amount of travel time you lose when these people go out in the field do their work and come back and have to download and dump the information. There is a time savings as well. They are actually doing their job more per hour I guess. So, there is

definitely some savings there. I just want to make sure that we are not getting too loose with this that there are going to be a lot of requests for people who don't really need them. These are all very justified. Again, we are starting to see...

Mr. Haste: Again, I think that is why we, as oversights, take a look at them.

Mr. DiFrancesco: I just want to make sure there is a policy in place and we are thinking behind it. I know you are.

Mr. Guenther: I think we're monitoring as far as using them, it is a backend infrastructure item I have. It gets into the whole IT security area that we have a lot of things as we make a more mobile workforce to make sure it is secure. We are doing research, even on the mobile devices, Blackberry versus portable devices, on things to make them more secure. If they are lost, you got information that is available.

Mr. DiFrancesco: We just talked about an issue this week about sensitive information getting from a laptop. We need to make absolutely certain that if that laptop is ever lost or stolen that information can't be accessed.

Mr. Guenther: There are tools that from here administratively can shut and lock down those devices out in the field if they are lost. My concern is that we are probably not exactly where we want to be on those kinds of things.

Mr. DiFrancesco: Again, given the sensitivity of the information I think we need to get there before we start putting the equipment out.

Mr. Guenther: That is what we are moving towards.

Mr. Hartwick: I don't approve any of them. I'm not for it. It takes a strong argument to indicate that I would be for it. I have taken a look with what the assessors are doing currently and the two assessors who are going to be using these mobile units, we are going to take a look at their performance now, three months from now and then we are going to talk about the clerical staff support that's necessary for them to remain in the field to be more productive. If I don't see, not only this thing working correctly and communicating in the office and I don't see the increase in productivity, those are the two things that are going to be required for us to approve these in the next budget cycle.

Mr. DiFrancesco: I would think there would be tremendous increase in productivity.

Mr. Hartwick: There better be. The reason why I have been against it is because I used to be in charge of the field staff, we implemented mobile units and none of them utilized the system in the way that they needed to. No one

changed behaviors. Unless they are going to change their behavior and see more productivity we are not going to continue to approve anything unless it is resulting in a benefit to the County. The other request was since MR has been taken away from the County as it relates to the administrative oversight; we still have the responsibility to provide quality assurance and monitoring to the providers on behalf of the State. This person is basically going to be mobile, dealing with providers and attempting to make sure all the services are being done adequately and their ability to communicate with the State and us is a requirement of their job and the State is fully funding it.

Mr. Baratucci: There were eight people listed, are there two specific ones that are going to be using these, because I can never really get a straight answer on that. They said to just approve Steve and Doug or is it going to be shared? Who should sign?

Mr. Hartwick: It is my understanding that we are going to do a test run with two specific people. These are not going to be used by Steve and Doug. That is the only way that you can measure this.

Mr. Baratucci: I'll go back and find out which two are going to be getting these and then I will have them sign. I'll get an answer from Steve as to which two. When you get them it will have the correct two.

Question: Mr. Haste – Aye; Mr. DiFrancesco – Aye and Mr. Hartwick – Aye; motion carried.

REPORT FROM BUDGET & FINANCE – MIKE YOHE, BUDGET DIRECTOR

Mr. Yohe presented the following Investment Report:

Report from the Office of Budget & Finance August 5, 2009

- **July 24, 2009** transferred **\$282,401.55** to the **Payables** account from the County's Concentration account for checks issued that week.
- **July 31, 2009** transferred **\$8,430,839.81** to the **Payables** account and **\$1,871,000.07** to the **Payroll** account from the County's Concentration account for checks issued that week.
- **Total Term Investments**
 - 3/27/09 - **\$20,000,000** 6-Month CD at Citizens Bank – **1.510%** - matures 9/23/09
 - 4/13/09 - **\$20,000,000** 7-Month CD at Citizens Bank – **1.555%** - matures 11/10/09
 - 4/27/09 - **\$20,000,000** 8-Month CD at Citizens Bank – **1.500%** - matures 12/23/09
- **Balance today in INVEST account \$1,373.60 rate 0.307%**

- **Balance today in Susquehanna Bank investment account \$459,119.09** rate **0.500%** (This rate is fixed for the month)
- **Balance today in Sovereign Bank investment account \$2,596.82** rate **0.290%** (This rate equals today's 3-month LIBOR rate of 0.490% minus 20 basis points)
- **Balance today in Citizens Bank investment account \$3,003,311.95** rate **0.800%** (This rate is fixed for the month)
- **Balance today in Citizens Bank Flex CD Program \$32,920,420.04** rate **2.400%** (This is a 9-month CD maturing on 9/8/09 and the rate is fixed for the term)
- **Balance today in Citizens Bank Flex CD Program \$30,114,325.42** rate **1.510%** (This is a 6-month CD maturing on 9/6/09 and the rate is fixed for the term)

Mr. Yohe: Just a note, as you notice our cash in Susquehanna and Citizens, the Money Market's about \$3.4 million. We are going to have to tap into one of these flex CDs for next week. That is our available cash. The flex CDs, they allow withdrawals each month. I have to check to see how many. We are okay there, but next week I will have to tap into the one with the lower interest rate.

- **Balance today in PNC Bank investment account \$1,000.00** rate **0.200%** (This equals today's Fed Funds rate of 0.250% minus 5 basis points)
- **Balance today in Graystone Bank investment account \$1,000.00** rate **0.050%** (This equals today's Fed Funds rate of 0.250% minus 20 basis points)
- **Balance today in Metro Bank investment account \$100.00** rate **0.000%** (This equals today's 90-day T-Bill rate of 0.180% minus 25 basis points)

No T.R.A.N. Line of Credit required for 2009.

Mr. Hartwick: As we are aware the State budget crisis is having an affect on our cash flow and as it is on counties across the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania. One of the things that we had been in discussion about are several large advances, Child Care Network being one of them, which there is a contractual agreement for us to be able to recoup the interest that is lost as a result of us advancing that cash. I subsequently went back to each of the other categorical agencies in the State, MH/MR, Aging, Children & Youth and the Bureau of Drug and Alcohol Prevention and said because we are in a position of cash flow and this Board has decided to try to continue services uninterrupted for the people who are being served currently despite the State being able to advance any of those dollars, we'd be in a position to do it, but we would like the same kind of arrangement that we have with the Child Care Network where we would be advanced any interest that is being lost. The responses that I got from every one of those categorical agencies so far have been that they would be unwilling to advance

any interest lost. So, it shows another clear example of how the State budget not being passed is going to have an adverse effect on Dauphin County residents. We are stepping up to the plate, because we put Dauphin County in a financially decent position to be able to advance those dollars, but in essence we are losing interest earnings by advancing those dollars, because the State is unwilling to recoup any of those interest earnings that we would have otherwise gained through our investments through actually doing the right thing in trying to make sure our services go uninterrupted. It is not like this is hurting everybody. There is going to be only so long that we will be able to front these dollars. I want to be very clear and I know that we sort of had this discussion, but we are not going to be spending any more. If there are additional cuts in the area of health and human services we are not going to be spending any more County General Fund dollars that are allocated by State government to take care of those mandated services. So, if there are additional cuts, those cuts are going to be passed along and County taxpayers are not going to suffer even additionally as a result of the budget impasse by the State. We are already losing money, because of interest earnings. Beyond that we are going to have to have, whenever this budget is passed, another planning meeting with each one of the categoricals based upon the actual allocated amounts that we would receive from the State to determine how we are going to be able to provide those services; depending upon the final numbers that may mean that there is a significant population that does not get served. So, stay tuned.

Mr. DiFrancesco: I would like to echo the Commissioner's comments. I think it is that important. If you look at the headlines across the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, there have been a lot of stories written on counties that in fact are in a different position than what we are in here in Dauphin County where they are actually cutting back on services. They are laying people off, because they have not been able to hold the healthy reserves that we have on hand to weather a storm like this. It is a shame that there are a lot of counties out there struggling right now because of this budget impasse. The fact of the matter is that Dauphin County is in pretty solid financial condition right now. We can weather this storm for a little bit, but the Commissioner is right the cuts that come down from the State will limit the amount of services that are available to the people. It will not be the property tax bearers that suffer the blow that comes from the State budget, which means that there will be some people requiring services out there that will not have access to those services because the dollars aren't there. That is the reality of what is going on right now. That is why, again, instead of this political battle that is being fought, we have been talking about tax increase/no tax increase, I wish they would turn to State priorities, because there are people out there that need services and then there are other people out there that, you know, it is real nice if these services are being provided. It is time for the Commonwealth, the Governor and the others to wake up and come up with a budget that appreciates the state of the economy that we are in right now. We have spent the last five or six years living within our means and doing it very well. I wish somebody up the street would do the same thing. Wake up and understand that there is only so much money that the public can give and come up with a budget that is real. I don't know if it has been announced publicly what the impact of yesterday's budget will have and maybe that will help us bridge the gap in some of these cases. I'm not sure what the net results are

going to be. We have to wait and see what the blue line vetoes translate into. Hopefully we will know more today. This is a crisis. In a lot of counties it is a big crisis. Here, we are managing well. In a lot of counties it is a huge crisis.

Mr. Hartwick: We may be managing well, but every day they don't pass a budget we are losing money.

Mr. DiFrancesco: Exactly. Money is being wasted and it is a shame.

Mr. Haste: The bad thing is the impact may come months after the budget is passed and everybody's forgetting about it. The way the system goes we are spending at anticipated rates today and they make the cuts, the cuts may not be felt until February or March of next year.

Mr. Hartwick: When people are waiting in line and the doors are closed.

Mr. Yohe: When you asked about the reimbursement was that as if the County advances the money?

Mr. Hartwick: If the County advances the money we are not entitled to interest earnings in the categorical agencies.

Mr. Yohe: I know in Children & Youth's case, if they go out and get their own line of credit, where we are not advancing, then the State will reimburse them. If we get to the point where we have to advance maybe we want to look at that route.

Mr. Hartwick: I think we need to do that. In all the categorical cases that if we lose interest on a line of credit that is reimbursable. If we advance dollars they don't reimburse us for any of our interest earnings.

Mr. Yohe: It doesn't make much sense.

Mr. Hartwick: We will have that discussion later on today. I am for not losing additional interest earnings if it means taking out a line of credit. It is just unfortunate that it is going to ultimately result in fewer services for people, because we are going to be spending their money.

REPORT FROM CHIEF CLERK/CHIEF OF STAFF – CHAD SAYLOR

Mr. Saylor: I wanted to point out a couple items on the Agenda. I need to pull Item L involving the Tax Collector for Susquehanna Township and the school district. We need to do some further work on that matter before we vote it. I did want to point out for the record Items M, N and O. The Pennsylvania Gaming Control Board in its infamous wisdom over the past year has changed its regulations. That has necessitated us to make what I'll call technical changes, minor changes to our process for the gaming monies. Those changes are included in these items. There is nothing earth shaking.

Item O, we are making good on our commitment to make East Hanover Township whole based on the State changing the formula as to what money they can receive. So, that's an additional \$93,000 for East Hanover.

I would also like to note Item Z on the Agenda, the Weatherization Assistance Program. There is \$4 million in funds that have been made available to us through the Stimulus Package for weatherization programs, which will allow us to greatly enhance our services. The item that you are going to be voting on essentially allows us to begin that process to get access to those dollars. We will have further information on that down the road. That is all I have unless there are questions of me. (There was none.)

SOLICITOR'S REPORT – WILLIAM TULLY, ESQ., SOLICITOR

Mr. Tully: All of the items requiring Board action have been reviewed and are ready for a vote. I'm happy to answer any questions you might have.

Mr. DiFrancesco: Just state for the record again given the conversation that we just had, I asked a question about the contracts that are going out to human service providers and how the impact of the State budget will affect it. Could you just say for the record that the contracts include language...?

Mr. Tully: Each of the contracts when they were drafted by Fred have always incorporated, perhaps the funding stream is being cut that we reserve the right to revisit those and make adjustments. They are based upon that assumption and when we contract with the providers they understand that a changed circumstance can alter the flow. We still have control over what you choose to spend if indeed the money is cut.

Mr. DiFrancesco: I think it is important to put out publicly that we passed a budget back in June that will not have any credibility until the State budget passes. That whole lack of understanding what the future holds translates down into the providers that are providing services below us as well.

MATTERS REQUIRING BOARD ACTION

- A. Training Packet.
- B. Refund of 2008 Real Estate Taxes – PMI c/o Tammy Miller – Parcel #63-078-072 - \$201.61.
- C. Partial Refund of 2009 Real Estate Taxes – John & Anne Vogeney – Parcel #39-001-023 - \$336.01.
- D. Purchase of Service Per Diem Rates between Children & Youth and:
 - 1. ChildFirst Services, Inc.
 - 2. It Takes A Village, Inc.
 - 3. Stepping Stone, Inc.
 - 4. Family Support of Central PA
 - 5. Clear Brook, Inc.

6. Silver Springs-Martin Luther School
 7. Philhaven
 8. Sarah A. Reed Center
- E. Refund of 2009 Real Estate Taxes – G & R LP – Parcel #07-052-007 - \$800.21.
 - F. Partial Refund of 2009 Real Estate Taxes – Lester & Shirley Buffington – Parcel #39-019-069 - \$125.36.
 - G. Request for Exoneration – Parcel #22-013-026-048-0286 (Laurabel Caldwell) - \$27.46.
 - H. Subordination Agreement for Atiya Williams on the property located at 1807 Sycamore Street, Harrisburg, PA 17104.
 - I. Space Rental Agreement between Dauphin County (Parks & Recreation) and the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania State Farm Products Show Commission for the Farm Show on January 9-16, 2010.
 - J. Lease Agreement between Dauphin County and Hewlett Packard Financial Services, Schedule No. 10310800033 for 16 PCs and 15 laptops to be distributed to various County departments.
 - K. Contract (Statement to Work to Verizon System Agreement) between Dauphin County and Verizon Business Network Services Inc./Verizon Select Services, Inc. for installation of fiber optic cabling at the Swatara Campus linking EMA, Adult Probation and Prison.
 - L. Consent and Joinder Agreement for Susquehanna Township and Susquehanna School District for collection of 2009 Taxes. **(Pulled)**
 - M. Adoption of First Amended and Restated Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) revising the MOU with East Hanover Township. The MOU adds the County as a party and the reflection of its role in awarding the MOU-related grants.
 - N. Reconstituting of the Gaming Advisory Board as an advisory board formed under the Dauphin County Board of Commissioners' general powers under the County Code, with the same members currently serving as the appointees of the Gaming Advisory Board.
 - O. Award of Make-Up Grant to East Hanover Township for Gaming Grant money in the amount of \$93,476.84.
 - P. Proposed Exoneration from Harrisburg School District for 215 Market Street, Parcel #03-001-052.
 - Q. Senior Center Grant Agreement between Area Agency on Aging and The Steelton Community Development Foundation.
 - R. Purchase of Service Agreements between Children and Youth and:
 1. Eleanor Bush
 2. Halifax United Methodist Church
 3. Nicole Savage t/d/b/a Little Leprachauns Daycare and Enrichment Center (#1)
 4. Nicole Savage t/d/b/a Little Leprachauns Daycare and Enrichment Center (#2)
 5. Hempfield Behavioral Health, Inc.
 6. Colleen Kwiatkowski t/d/b/a Colleen Kwiatkowski's Daycare
 7. Jacobs Brothers Quartet, Inc.
 8. Stepping Stones, Inc.

- 9. Community Action Commission, Inc.
 - 10. It Takes A Village
 - 11. Pressley Ridge, Inc.
- S. Amendment #1 to Purchase of Service Agreement between Children & Youth and Colleen Kwiatkowski t/d/b/a Colleen Kwiatkowski's Daycare.
- T. Adoption Assistance Agreements #2009-32, #2009-33, #2009-36, #2009-38, #2009-41, #2009-42, #2009-43, #2009-44, #2009-45, #2009-46 and #2009-47.
- U. MH Purchase of Service Agreements between MH/MR and:
- 1. Harrisburg Senior Care, LLC t/d/b/a Grayson View Harrisburg Assisted Living Community
 - 2. Goepfert Speech Associates
 - 3. Community Services Group, Inc.
 - 4. Dauphin County Pretrial Services Agency
 - 5. Philhaven
 - 6. CMU
 - 7. Cumberland-Dauphin-Harrisburg Transit Authority t/d/b/a Capital Area Transit
 - 8. Darryl K. Guistwite, D.O.
 - 9. Edgewater Psychiatric Center, Inc.
 - 10. Educational Based Services, Inc. t/d/b/a EBS Early Intervention
 - 11. Melissa Moore Anderson, PT
 - 12. Northwestern Human Services of Pennsylvania
 - 13. Pennsylvania Psychiatric Institute
 - 14. Riverside Associates, P.C.
- V. ITF Medicaid Waiver Service Agreements between MH/MR and:
- 1. Healthsouth Rehabilitation of Mechanicsburg
 - 2. Goepfert Speech Associates
 - 3. Educational Based Services, Inc. t/d/b/a EBS Early Intervention
 - 4. Melissa Moore Anderson, PT
- W. Medical Assistance Transportation Program Agreements between MH/MR and:
- 1. Boyo Transportation Services, Inc.
 - 2. Edgewater Psychiatric Center, Inc.
 - 3. Northwestern Human Services of the Susquehanna Valley t/d/b/a Susquehanna Developmental Services
 - 4. Peditria HealthCare, LLC t/d/b/a Peditria HealthCare for Kids
 - 5. Cumberland-Dauphin-Harrisburg Transit Authority t/d/b/a Capital Area Transit
- X. Human Services Development Fund Certification Statement.
- Y. State Food Purchase Program Contract Option Participation Statement.
- Z. Weatherization Assistance Program (WAP) Grant Application.
- AA. Resolution #18-2009 approving a Cooperation Agreement with Dauphin County Industrial Development Authority in connection with Redevelopment Capital Assistance Program Funds for the Harrisburg Area YMCA Project.

- BB. Cooperation Agreement between the Dauphin County Industrial Development Authority and the Harrisburg Area YMCA for the Northern Dauphin YMCA Senior Wellness Center Project.
- CC. Cooperation Agreement between Dauphin County and the Dauphin County Industrial Development Authority for obligation to reimburse expenditures related to Redevelopment Assistance Capital Program Grant Harrisburg Area YMCA Project (Northern Dauphin YMCA Senior Wellness Center Project).
- DD. Tourism Grant Program – Harrisburg SusqueCentennial Commission - \$25,000.
- EE. Community Development Block Grant Subrecipient Agreements between Dauphin County and:
 - 1. Williamstown Borough
 - 2. Millersburg Borough
 - 3. Steelton Borough/Adam Street
 - 4. Steelton Borough/Senior Center
 - 5. Steelton Borough/Code Enforcement
 - 6. Pillow Borough
 - 7. Highspire Borough
 - 8. Gratz Borough
 - 9. Salvation Army of the Harrisburg Capital City Region
 - 10. Halifax Borough
 - 11. Shalom House, Inc.
 - 12. Paxtang Borough
 - 13. Harrisburg Redevelopment Authority
 - 14. Habitat for Humanity of the Greater Harrisburg Area
 - 15. Lykens Borough
 - 16. Goodwill Keystone Area
- FF. Tourism Grant Program – Turkey Hill Classic (LPGA) - \$5,000.

Mr. Haste: We have Matters Requiring Board Action, Items A through FF, minus L and then we need to include Item VII, A from the Agenda, the Juvenile Attorney Expansion Grant Application. Is there a need to pull any other items out separately or vote them separately? (There was none.)

It was moved by Mr. DiFrancesco and seconded by Mr. Hartwick that the Board approve Matters Requiring Board Action Items A through FF, minus L, plus the Juvenile Attorney Expansion Grant Application (listed under VII, A); motion carried.

NEW BUSINESS

(There was none.)

COMMISSIONERS' DISCUSSION & ACTIONS

(There was none.)

CORRESPONDENCE

Mr. Haste: We have correspondence listed on the Agenda, Items A through G, which was been received by the Board and will be handled by the staff accordingly.

- A. Notification from Elam Lapp advising that he is applying to DEP for a General Permit 6 for the installation of an agricultural stream crossing on his property in Mifflin Township.
- B. Notification from STV advising that Sunoco Logistics Partners, L.P. are applying to DEP for a GP-3 Bank Rehabilitation, Protection and Gravel Bar Removal Permit and a GP-8 Temporary Road Crossings Permit for a project located in Lower Swatara Township.
- C. Notification from Horvat Excavating advising that Paul Mummau, Jr. is applying to DEP for a NPDES Renewal Permit for a project at Bright Hope Fellowship BIC Church in Londonderry Township.
- D. Notification from EI Associates advising that the Lower Dauphin School District is applying for a General NPDES Permit for stormwater discharges associated with construction activities at the Lower Dauphin Middle School in Hummelstown.
- E. Notification from Raudenbush Engineering, Inc. advising that Oak Grove High Street, LLC is applying to DEP for a Water Obstruction and Encroachment Permit for the Oak Grove Apartments Project in the Borough of Middletown.
- F. Notification from Glace Associates, Inc. advising that the Elizabethville Area Authority is applying to DEP for the renewal of its existing NPDES Permit No. PA0037737.
- G. Notification from HRG advising that the Lower Swatara Township Board of Commissioners are applying to DEP for a General NPDES Permit for stormwater discharges associated with construction activities at 5th Street/University Drive.

PUBLIC PARTICIPATION

Mr. Haste: We are again at the point in time in the meeting for public participation. Is there anyone in the audience that would like to address the Board at this time? (There was none.)

ADJOURNMENT

There being no further business, it was moved by Mr. Hartwick and seconded by Mr. DiFrancesco that the Board adjourn.

Respectfully submitted,

Chad Saylor, Chief Clerk

Transcribed by: Richie-Ann Martz