



DAUPHIN COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS

Commissioners' Workshop Meeting

Wednesday, May 11, 2005

10:00 a.m.

MEMBERS PRESENT

Jeff Haste, Chairman
Dominic D. DiFrancesco, II, Vice Chairman
George P. Hartwick, III, Secretary

STAFF PRESENT

Chad Saylor, Chief Clerk/Chief of Staff; Bill Tully, Esq., Solicitor; Marie Rebuck, Controller; Bob Dick, Treasurer; Barry Wyrick, MH/MR Administrator; Dan Eisenhauer, MH/MR; Dave Schreiber, Personnel; Kay Sinner, Personnel; Carolyn Thompson, Esq., Court Administrator; Jack Harlacker, EMA; Diane McNaughton, Press Secretary; Guy Beneventano, Esq., Assistant Solicitor; Randy Baratucci, Purchasing Director; Richie Martz, Commissioners' Office; Jena Wolgemuth, Commissioners' Office, Lena Martinez, Commissioners' Office; Melanie McCaffrey, Solicitor's Office; Garry Esworthy, Risk Manager; Skip Memmi, Economic Development; Dan Robinson, Economic Development; Edgar Cohen, Facility Maintenance; Julia E. Nace, Assistant Chief Clerk

GUESTS PRESENT

Jack Sherzer, Patriot News; Chief Rob Martin, Chief Chuck Kellar; Chief Smith; Dan Leppo, City of Harrisburg; Mike Elabarger, City of Harrisburg

MINUTES

CALL TO ORDER

Mr. Haste, Chairman of the Board, called the meeting to order at 10:00 a.m.

MOMENT OF SILENCE

Everyone observed a moment of silence.

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

Everyone stood for the Pledge of Allegiance.

APPROVAL OF MINUTES

Mr. Haste: We have four set of Meeting Minutes that we'll forward to next week's meeting.

PUBLIC PARTICIPATION

Mr. Haste: We are at the point in time for public participation. Is there anyone from the audience that would like to address the Board today? (There was none.)

DIRECTORS & GUESTS

A. Commissioners

1. Presentation of a Proclamation recognizing May 15-21 as "Police Week". Chief Rob Martin, President of Chief of Police Assoc.; and Anthony Fritz, Deputy Sheriff & President of the Fraternal Order of Police Lodge 89.

Mr. Haste: It is my pleasure today to read a proclamation to our friends in the law enforcement community. Those of us who enjoy the safe streets that we have and the safe schools a lot of that goes to our law enforcement individuals. Law enforcement even though it does not follow directly under the apices of the Board of Commissioners is a partner that we have to rely on quite frequently to carry out our duties as well as some of the services we provide. It is a partnership that we enter into and hope that we keep our community safe. Today, I have a proclamation to read recognizing May 15, 2005 as "Police Week" in Dauphin County.

Office of County Commissioner
Dauphin County, Pennsylvania

Proclamation

We, the Dauphin County Board of Commissioners, join friends, family members, fellow co-workers and law enforcement officers around the nation in honoring the many outstanding law enforcement agents we are fortunate to have working for us here in Dauphin County and especially, those police officers who have died in the line of duty;

Whereas, the members of our law enforcement agencies play an essential role in safeguarding the rights and freedoms of this country, often at great personal risk;

Whereas, it is important that all citizens understand the duties, responsibilities, hazards, and sacrifices of law enforcement officials, and that members of law enforcement recognize their duty to serve the people by safeguarding life

and property, by protecting the innocent against violence and disorder, and by protecting the unsuspecting against deception and the weak against oppression;

***Whereas,** the men and women who dedicate themselves to law enforcement throughout Dauphin County unceasingly provide a vital public service;*

***Whereas,** since President John F. Kennedy first designated this week in 1962, more than 3,000 law enforcement officers have been honored for paying the ultimate sacrifice while serving others;*

***Therefore,** we join with the 251,300 residents of Dauphin County in honoring these often forgotten heroes; we thank them for the work they do to keep Dauphin County safe; and in grateful recognition thereof, we do hereby proclaim May fifteenth through May twenty-first "Police Week" in Dauphin County.*

Mr. Haste: With us today, we have Chief Martin, Chief Kellar, and Bob Mylnyk, Deputy Sheriff. (The proclamation was presented and pictures were taken.)

Chief Martin: I just want to thank the County Commissioners for your continued support of law enforcement and all the officers throughout the County. We are here representing the police officers of Dauphin County. They are appreciative of everything you do. A note, in Dauphin County there have been seven officers "who had been given that last full measure" as President Lincoln said, from Harrisburg City Police Department. The first one lost was 1906 and last year in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania there was seven officers lost in the line of duty. Every year the officers go out into harms way to protect the freedoms that we love and enjoy in this country. When I was driving into work this morning on Interstate 83, I was passed by a hearse and in it was a flag draped casket. I thought to myself, another American that at some point in their life served their country and community. That is what we are all about here. We commend you for your service and thank you for your kind words today.

Mr. Hartwick: Chief Smith, Chief Martin and Chief Kellar, obviously I had the good fortune of working with all of you in the position of Mayor of Steelton. I will tell you that the chiefs in Dauphin County are a group that I'm extremely proud to be associated with. You represent professionalism, a co-operative effort in an era of limited resources that we couldn't possibly serve the constituents that we represent without your co-operation from Chief Smith backing up in many cases in other municipalities from Lower Paxton to Steelton. You guys share in resources and keeping customer service as your number one priority. Very rarely do we have individuals leaving with interactions with our officers without understanding that they are there to enforce the law. They are extremely professional. Obviously, our chiefs represent that professionalism here in Dauphin County. I would ride with the chiefs in Dauphin versus any other county in the Commonwealth. Ultimately, I'm proud of knowing you and look forward to continuing co-operation, your participation in the SER Team, Drug Task Force and all the other county run agencies. Often times at the expense of your own municipalities. Thank you very much for your continued co-operation and your professional services.

Mr. DiFrancesco: Thank you for the interaction and the involvement. I think Dauphin County is very, very progressive and the reason we are is the people that do the jobs every day. We thank you all very much for the service you provide. I look forward to working with you in the future with a couple of issues on the horizon that we will be

working on together. I know those projects will be handled well because we have good people working with us. Thank you, guys.

Mr. Haste: From a resident of yours, Chief Martin, I would like to say that you are doing an exceptional job. I deal with the young folks of Susquehanna Township and when your name is mentioned, it is a recognizable name and it is not in a negative way. I think you have had a very positive influence in our school districts. As we all know, there is more and more demand placed on security. In school districts, I think your presence and the force presence has been noticed and appreciated. Thank you.

B. Dan Leppo, City of Harrisburg, and Mike Elabarger, City of Harrisburg

1. Intergovernmental Grant for the City of Harrisburg, Penbrook Borough, Susquehanna Township and Lower Paxton Township to do a study of the Route 22 Corridor from State St. Bridge to Lower Paxton line in West Hanover.

Mr. Leppo: We are here today because we are seeking an Intermunicipal Grant from the County.

With me today is my comprehensive planner, Michael Elabarger.

In 2002, the City of Harrisburg was invited by the Borough of Penbrook to join them in their downtown revitalization committee meetings. Looking at that at that time, we realized that no matter what Penbrook would do with their downtown, Walnut Street, which is their main street, would be affected by what happens to either side of them. It was then decided that four municipalities, City to the west, Susquehanna Township on either side of Penbrook, and Lower Paxton Township together with the Penbrook Borough would join together in a cooperative agreement to look at what we are now calling the Walnut Street Corridor. That goes from the State Street Bridge out through Jonestown Road, Allentown Blvd. to the eastern boundary of Lower Paxton Township. We are recognizing that the City of course is the center of commerce, business, tourism on one end and on the other, of course we have major retail operations in Lower Paxton Township. Traffic travels that area in and out, creates different adverse affects. What we are attempting to do with this is something that I know, Commissioner Haste, that we talked about at HATS; Commissioner DiFrancesco we talked about through Tri-County Regional Planning Commission; and Commissioner Hartwick, I know you have been involved in many regional efforts. This is something of course that the Mayor has been pushing for years.

What we are attempting to do with this is something very unique in that we are requesting funds and have received notification as far as some UPWP funds through HATS. We are seeking state funds from DCAD and also through the Community Revitalization monies with the local legislators. All four of which are co-operating on this to do a study that accomplishes three goals, economic development tied together with traffic movement and aesthetic improvements so that we create this corridor that is seen

for what it is, a major arterial moving traffic in and around four municipalities possibly the busiest set of roads that we have in Dauphin County at least in the immediate area. What we are seeking from you is one of your Intermunicipal Grants as we do have four municipalities working together. We respectfully ask that you approve that.

I am available for any questions that you may have.

Mr. Haste: I am quite aware of this project. It seems to come up quite frequently in HATS and elsewhere even by certain folks that think that we are not trying to help them out. I think your committee has been very helpful. I'm fully in support of this grant. It is something that we need to do not only for those four municipalities but just for that section of Dauphin County as a whole. I think it also affects some of the north and south traffic as well. It goes eventually into Paxtang and into Swatara Township heading towards the East Mall as well. What happens on that corridor affects that route as well. I think it is a growing area of our county with what the City is trying to do at Reservoir Park, the Civil War Museum and that end of the City; what is going on in and around the East Mall; and what is going on further out on Route 22. There is just no way around the intersection or this corridor. I think we have to move forward.

Mr. Hartwick: I think this Board has consistently supported regional projects that support obviously municipalities working together and to address common problems. I think this has clearly come up as a common problem and being forward and working together. Obviously, I'm fully in support of the project. How are you going to select the professional service folks who are working on the project?

Mr. Leppo: We have a request for proposal out right now. We are expecting proposals on May 27th. We have a very accelerated schedule that we receive them and then the next week a subcommittee made up of representatives of each municipality will get together and short list down to three proposals. Within two weeks of that, we plan on having a meeting of the steering committee which is made up of three members of each municipality including a representative of this Board. We will then have presentations by those three different teams that we consider to be the best. Following those presentations then the steering committee as a whole will make a decision on which proposal they believe is the best one. We will then contract with that team.

Mr. Hartwick: Our Board is represented in that group?

Mr. Leppo: Yes, Mr. Memmi has been showing up on a regular basis. We greatly appreciate his help. He has been fantastic with support.

Mr. Hartwick: That is good to hear.

Mr. Haste: Is there a motion to approve the Intergovernmental Grant?

It was moved by Mr. DiFrancesco and seconded by Mr. Hartwick to approve an Intergovernmental Grant application for the City of Harrisburg, Penbrook Borough, Susquehanna Township and Lower Paxton Township; motion carries.

C. Barry Wyrick, MH/MR Administrator

1. Submission of the 2006/2007 Mental Health Plan to DPW.

Mr. Wyrick: I would like to make two comments prior to presenting the plan. The first comment is with the recognition of the police departments, I would also like to add my public comments recognizing the outstanding cooperative efforts of the police departments with our crisis intervention services in the County and the relationships that are developed between crisis and all the police jurisdictions in the County make the work with individuals who get involved with police who also have mental illness. We are much better to serve them because of the relationships with the police departments. We recognize them as well.

Second, on your agenda today is a proposal from the Mental Health/Mental Retardation Program for the Forensic Diversion Grant Application. That is Item #10C. We do not have a large number of details on that. I'm planning on being here next week to actually present some of the details from that grant proposal. We've had three weeks to work on this federal grant if you can imagine trying to do a federal grant in three weeks. The submission deadline for this grant is May 24th. So we are still putting documents together. We hope to have much more detail by next Wednesday.

The Mental Health Plan for 2006/2007 is actually a very different document than you are used to seeing for mental health plans as you hold the entire plan in your hand. Last year's plan was about 130 pages long. The administrators across the state made their requests to the Office of Mental Health and Substance Abuse Service to allow us to provide just a simple update to the plan this year. Since the plan last year was so thorough, they agreed to allow us to present an update and indeed that is what we provide here.

The most significant development in the plan is the closure of the State Hospital and we've talked about the budgetary material related to the State Hospital closure last week.

We have developed a partnership with the community in planning for the closure and a very thorough budget in planning for the closure. We've included in this plan a reiteration of the Commissioners' concerns that we included in last year's plan that you strenuously object to the closure of the State Hospital unless sufficient funds are transferred to the community. In the 2006/07 year, that would be \$8.349 million specific to programs and services related to the closure of the State Hospital. The Plan also asks for a listing of the top five service recommendations. That is included in this package as attachment K. For the first time, we have been able to recognize not just strict services but the fact that our existing infrastructure is struggling under limited cost

of living increases. So we have indicated as our number one priority, an increase related to the costs of doing business for our provider system. The other items that we have indicated would allow us to serve our community, both adults and children, in a much better fashion. The concern that we have had regarding attachment K, we have submitted these requests in the past and at no time has OMHSAS provided additional funding to provide any of the services that we identify in the attachment case of the plan. So the actual planned expansion for 2006/07 is included in the State Hospital closure plan. We realistically do not expect that the State will fund these requests even though they asked us every year for these requests.

The trend in our system continues as were indicated in the Plan last year. We are seeing significant increases in demand for services. The most compelling numbers actually come out of Health Choices Program where last year we saw a 9% increase in membership in Health Choices and a 27% increase in the number of people served. This year we saw another 17% increase in the number of members who are eligible and another 20% increase in the number of individuals served by the Behavioral Health System. The demand for public mental health services is perhaps at an all time high in our community and perhaps across the state.

Mr. DiFrancesco: Is that being driven by our resident population or have we seen a lot of movement into the area?

Mr. Wyrick: Most of that is resident population. What we are seeing is a significant increase in the number of individuals who are uninsured and then through involvement in the system they become eligible for medical assistance and participation in the Health Choices Program.

The other trend is our limited capacity. We are continuing to have severe limitations in capacity. We actually are the third largest out-patient provider that ceased operations in Dauphin County, actually ceased operations in the State of out-patient mental health services due to the fact that they were losing staggering amounts of money. We have identified this problem to the State. We hoped with the Harrisburg State Hospital closure funding we are able to address some of the financial stress on other portions of our system.

Finally, I would add that we continue with our commitment to a life in the community with everyone, which is the vision of the Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration. That language is also included in the MH/MR Programs vision. We are participating in a local grant to provide peer support certification, which would actually employ individuals with serious mental illness in our provider system. We also are developing a separate peer operated program that would provide peer mentors to individuals who have severe mental illness. We recommit our commitment to the recovery model as the model which will actually convert the mental health service delivery system to one that we believe provides us with a much greater possibility of success in treatment of mental illness.

Are there any questions?

Mr. DiFrancesco: Is there a trend that you are seeing as far as this increase that you can capture for us in terms of, what is driving it? Where is the population with mental health issues growing? These are significant increases. Can you generalize some key trends that are driving that increase?

Mr. Wyrick: The one trend that we clearly identified is the increase in the number of individuals who are uninsured in the County. That is the driver to the medical assistance.

Mr. Haste: It doesn't mean there are more cases. It is just the funding of their treatment is moved elsewhere. It is just that shift from some other means of funding whether it was private or something else to this funding.

Mr. Wyrick: Additionally the medical assistance program especially provides services for children that third party insurance companies do not pay for. As more children are identified with mental health needs, that does drive up the enrollment in medical assistance. Other trends, we are left scratching our head. We're certainly doing our best to try to understand and analyze what is driving the increase. We've seen a similar increase in the number of people seeking services through our case management unit which is the publicly funded side as opposed to the Health Choices side. We're not sure what is driving the increase in the demand.

Mr. Haste: I think it is everyone's goal to get people in the community as best as we can and get them to live as normal a life as they can. I think also through the process we need to recognize there are some folks, whether it be on a more permanent basis or temporarily, that's just not suited for. Unfortunately, and I keep raising this, we see them out at Dauphin County Prison, when they are in the community they hurt themselves or hurt someone else too many times. We always need to keep in mind there is always a need for another type of treatment.

I don't know if you saw but PBS did a study last night or it was on BPS, a program about a closing of a state hospital and how that has affected the criminal justice system elsewhere. I haven't been able to see it; but, I've been told if you go on their website *PBS.org* you can see that. We're going to talk about that at Prison Board today. If you haven't seen it you probably ought to take a look at that.

The other thing, I would like to thank you folks for working with the Prison. I know in my previous tenure and even now, I don't think that I have ever seen the cooperation between your organization and the Prison like I have seen now. It's still not where it should be but it is a great step forward. I think a lot of that goes to the fact that you folks have been listening to our concerns and heeding them and moving forward with it. I want to thank you for that.

Mr. Hartwick: A lot of people have approached me on the street about the transition of the State Hospital and the closing. There is a large concern in the community about who is going to be the provider that provides the long term acute care in the realm of the RFP. I was approached by a parent of somebody who is going to be released from the Hospital. There has been some real concern if there is going to be a provider stepping up to the plate to provide the long term acute care component to this. Can you shed any light on that?

Mr. Wyrick: I've been in receipt of a letter of intent from Pinnacle that they have submitted to the Department of Health with the proposal to develop a 20 bed extended acute care facility located on their facility on Third Street at the old Polyclinic Facility. They are going forward with the planning process towards developing that program.

Mr. Hartwick: One of the individuals was from Pinnacle and they said the inside of Pinnacle doesn't want to get into the business. I think that is where we are hearing some conflicting messages.

Mr. Wyrick: I likewise heard that conflicting message. I have received no indication from the senior management at Pinnacle that would be responsible for the decision that was responsible for the letter of intent to the Department of Health that they do not intend to go forward.

Mr. Hartwick: Could you provide the Board with a copy of that letter of intent? I would greatly appreciate having that. I know that is one of the most essential components for this transition and not having that straight is a concern.

Mr. Haste: Has anybody else expressed an interest?

Mr. Wyrick: Philhaven Hospital has submitted both a letter of intent and the actual proposal to the Department of Public Welfare for the unit.

Mr. Hartwick: Holy Spirit doesn't have a interest?

Mr. Wyrick: Holy Spirit continues with their interest but they are not as far along in the planning process. They have not notified any of the players, the consultant for the state that is coordinating the efforts of any local administrators of their intent to withdraw. Chambersburg Hospital did notify us of their intent to withdraw. Actually the Pinnacle Proposal includes coverage for Dauphin County, Franklin and Fulton counties. I will get a copy of that letter to you.

Mr. Hartwick: I know you have indicated that you don't have any real answers and I think Commissioner DiFrancesco asked the question, why do we see this increased caseload? Are there any plans from the office to try to dig deeper and ask DPW for some resources to see what's driving the demand? We have to identify some tangible factors that continue to escalate the caseload and trying to get a handle on what those

factors are, an important part of understanding the trends and how we can proactively try to address some of those trends in the future.

Have we talked about such a study?

Mr. Wyrick: What I can say is that I will forward that request to the Office of Mental Health and Substance Abuse Services. Actually, I think it should probably be a statewide analysis of the demand because Dauphin County is not unique in the increase in demand. With limited resources, I don't know where that request will go but I will forward the request to them.

Mr. Hartwick: How will the cuts in intervention and prevention in the Children & Youth budget and area of counseling and other services affect your budget and have you anticipated that in your submission to the state?

Mr. Wyrick: The cuts in the actual counseling services are part of the medical assistance realignment process. Those will be transferred over into medical assistance. That is very involved in the planning that we have.

Mr. Haste: Medical Assistance is still a big if---does anybody know where that is?

Mr. Wyrick: We have our local plan. I think we are way ahead in terms of our planning for it. We are way ahead of the state in our local planning for it.

Mr. Haste: We don't know if the guidelines will follow our suggested course of action.

Mr. Wyrick: But we've never let the guidelines stop us in the past. We are doing what was right for the people that need the services. We are well along with the process of getting providers identified and enrolled so that medical assistance can pay for these services for the children that receive the services.

The cuts in intervention and prevention would be very, very troubling to me where my system provides for the treatment. What I would know is the cuts in intervention and prevention will lead to increases in the demand for treatment services within the coming years. We have joined Children & Youth Services in advocating for the restoration of that funding to allow the County to keep paying for the prevention and intervention services because we recognize that those services help decrease the demand for our services.

Mr. Hartwick: I was taking a look this morning at the budget and what is going to be cut from the Children & Youth Services, general counseling, the number went from 60,000 to 15,000. One of my concerns was, overestimating the amount that we are going to get back in medical assistance realignment may cause money to be shifted from some other program or somewhere else within the budget. Do we feel confident enough in the medical assistance realignment and are we under estimating the amount that we

are going to need in counseling services and therefore, going to be putting more of a burden on those prevention and intervention programs?

Mr. Wyrick: I would defer the final answer to that question to Sandy Moore. I think she has a much better feel for what services realistically can be transferred over versus what needs to continue to be paid for by Children & Youth. Based on the amount of money that was moved and my observation in comparing it to other surrounding counties and how their budgets were affected, I would say that the state grossly over estimated the amount of services that can be transitioned to MA in the amount of money that they took away.

Mr. Hartwick: I just don't want to under estimate and I asked her to bump up some of those numbers in the general counseling. I know the counseling services for your mental health side are also an issue within this budget. I don't want you to underestimate the general counseling services needed and then cause some real distress in other areas.

Mr. Wyrick: It is to be approved next week and due June 1st.

PERSONNEL

Ms. Sinner: In the personnel packet, I have some salary board requests. One is reclassifying the director of Domestic Relations position. Also the Prison is requesting to create an Interim Correctional Officer. They have a sergeant vacancy which they hope to promote a correctional officer into. When they do that, you'll abolish this interim correctional officer position. While they are hiring other correctional officers, they would like to get an extra one to replace the one promoted to sergeant.

Mr. Haste: They just went through that this week. Again, trying to keep an eye on overtime. Overtime has gone up because of the vacancies. So we are trying to fill vacancies.

Ms. Sinner: They always let several vacancies gather before they replace correctional officers.

Mr. Haste: We had 400 people apply for correctional officer. After the test and after everything else, we're down to 18. I told them to start the testing process immediately again because it's not a very good number.

Ms. Sinner: We have seven vacancies to fill plus this one that we are creating.

Mr. Haste: We are down to 18 people that we can interview for it. Some of those won't make it.

Ms. Sinner: The request to fill those seven vacancies is in this packet. Clerk of Court is requesting to fill an administrative assistant I position. That was just recently filled and

is vacant again. That is why we are putting this through at this time. There are some positions in the District Attorney's office. Domestic Relations is requesting permission to fill some vacancies. In the new hires, I request a vote for new hires #10, 11, 22 & 23. All four are going to be starting before the next meeting date.

Also, new hire #16 is actually a reinstatement as a result of a third step grievance hearing. The hourly rate should be \$10.82.

Mr. DiFrancesco: Which one was that?

Ms. Sinner: #16.

Mr. Haste: It isn't a replacement?

Ms. Sinner: It does replace someone who has left because this person's vacancy has already been filled.

Mr. Haste: What is the rate again?

Ms. Sinner: \$10.82, step 5, she is returning at the rate she was at when she left. I have various other personnel changes.

Mr. Saylor: I would like to point out that I did speak with Lowell this morning regarding #18 & #19, the tipstuffs. He indicated to me that he can hold on these until the next hiring window which will open in July. Sandy Moore has had some discussions with me regarding her request which is #21. I think the Hiring Freeze Committee may need to meet to review the details of that one.

Mr. Haste: So you're saying we should pull #18 & #19 for now.

Mr. Saylor: I'm waiting for him to decide what date he wants to proceed. We can deal with that next week.

Ms. Sinner: Also, I have overtime reports. Do you have any questions on any of the items?

Mr. DiFrancesco: Which did you want to vote on today?

Ms. Sinner: Item #10, #11, #22, & #23.

Mr. Haste: Is there a motion to approve Items #10, #11, #22, & #23 of the new hires?

It was moved by Mr. DiFrancesco and seconded by Mr. Hartwick to approve items #10, #11, #22, & #23 in the personnel packet new hires; motion carries.

PURCHASE ORDERS

Mr. Baratucci: You should have all received your purchase order packet yesterday. In addition to that the one requisition that I just handed you, we approved a purchase order for this a couple of weeks ago. On the proposal there was an Item A & B, the one was for wooden doors and we mistakenly did the purchase order for metal. We need wooden. This is going to be added to this packet to be approved next week. The other purchase order will be cancelled. This is more money but according to our facility director this is the type that we need not the other type. This one didn't get on the report but will be on next week and replacing an existing purchase order.

In addition to that, the packet is for your review. As usual there are a couple of budget issues. A couple have already been taken care of and a couple we need to take care of before next week, which we will. It is there for your review for the week. We will have a clean packet for you next week.

Mr. Haste: Are there any questions for Randy? (There were none.)

TRAINING PACKET

Mr. Haste: There is nothing we need to vote on today from the training packet.

Mr. Saylor: Correct.

ITEMS FOR DISCUSSION/VOTE

Mr. Haste: We have items A, B, & C for action next week. Is there anything that needs to be discussed at this point in time? (There was none.) I understand Barry will be back for Item C.

- A. Recommendation of Garry Esworthy to the Dauphin County Executive Commission on Drugs & Alcohol.
- B. Appoint President Judge Richard Lewis to the Schaffner Youth Center Advisory Committee.
- C. Forensic Diversion Grant Application (Mental Health/Mental Retardation).

SOLICITOR'S REPORT – BILL TULLY, ESQ.

Mr. Tully: I have nothing to add but would be happy to answer any of your questions. (There were none.)

REPORT FROM CHIEF CLERK/CHIEF OF STAFF – CHAD SAYLOR

Mr. Saylor: I have two items that I would like to bring to your attention. As you know, Monday, May 16th we are having our annual employee service recognition luncheon at Fort Hunter. Our latest count we were over 160. We are recognizing employees who have five years of service all the way over 40 years of service.

The Wednesday following the primary election is going to be our Economic Summit at the HACCC Wildwood Conference Center. We were hoping for 100 participants and we are already over that number. So it is promising to be a very good and productive event. In the County's 220th year history, this is the first Economic Summit that we have hosted. We're looking forward to some big things.

I have nothing further.

PUBLIC PARTICIPATION

Mr. Haste: We are at the point in time again for public participation. Is there anyone in the audience that would like to address the Board? (There was none.)

ADJOURNMENT

It was moved by Mr. DiFrancesco and seconded by Mr. Hartwick to adjourn the Meeting; motion carried.

Transcribed by: Julia E. Nace, Asst. Chief Clerk
May 11, 2005

Respectfully submitted,

Chad Saylor, Chief Clerk/Chief of Staff
printed 7/15/05