



DAUPHIN COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS
LEGISLATIVE MEETING
WEDNESDAY, October 20, 2004
10:00 A.M.

MEMBERS PRESENT

Jeff Haste, Chairman
Dominic D. DiFrancesco, II, Vice Chairman
George P. Hartwick, III, Secretary

STAFF PRESENT

Terry Davis, Director of Adult Probation, Steve Sukniac, Director of Juvenile Probation, Diane McNaughton, Communications, Marie Rebeck, Controller, Bob Dick, Treasurer, Sharon Way, Personnel, Randy Baratucci, Purchasing, Edgar Cohen, Facilities Maintenance, Chad Saylor, Chief Clerk, Julia Nace, Assistant Chief Clerk, Mike Yohe, Budget, Kay Sinner, Personnel, Carolyn Thompson, Court Administrator, Steve Chiavetta, Director of Registration and Elections, Bruce Foreman, Solicitor, Elke Moyer, Human Services Director's Office, President Judge Kleinfelter, District Attorney Ed Marsico, Tom Guenther, Director of Information Technology, Guy Beneventano, Solicitor, Chip Vance, Solicitor, Kim Robinson, Domestic Relations, Rita Frealing-Shultz, Director of Domestic Relations, Dan Robinson, Director of Community and Economic Development, Jennifer Storm, Executive Director of Victim Witness, Diane Faust, Victim Witness.

GUESTS PRESENT

Steve Hetrick, Bob Grochalski, Jason Decker, Steve Mrakovich, Phil Spaseff, Chief Vaughn

MINUTES

CALL TO ORDER

Mr. Haste, Chairman of the Board, called the meeting to order at 10:00 a.m.

MOMENT OF SILENCE

Everyone observed a moment of silence.

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

Everyone stood for the Pledge of Allegiance.

APPROVAL OF MINUTES

Mr. Haste: We have minutes from the September 22, 2004 Legislative Meeting, September 29, 2004 Workshop Meeting, and the October 6, 2004 Legislative Meeting. Is there a motion to approve?

Mr. Hartwick: So moved.

Mr. DiFrancesco: Second.

Mr. Haste: All those in favor say, aye.

All: Aye.

EXECUTIVE SESSIONS HELD BETWEEN MEETINGS

Mr. Saylor: We met this morning to discuss personnel matters.

PUBLIC PARTICIPATION

Mr. Haste: Is there anyone in the audience that would like to address the Board?
(There were none.)

DEPARTMENT DIRECTORS/GUESTS

Ms. Storm: I have Diane Faust from my office and Chief Baum from the Millersburg Police Department. Thank you for the opportunity to speak today on a new initiative we are working on. We are very excited about this and pleased that the Commissioners are excited with us. We have recently opened up a satellite office in Northern Dauphin County with the assistance of Chief Baum. Diane has worked there for about two weeks with the Millersburg Police Department. We are located at the Community Center next to the police station. She will be presiding up there five days a week from 8:00 a.m. to 4:00 p.m. in order to assist victims of crime and witnesses in Northern Dauphin County. She will be providing all of the free services we provide and the protection from abuse orders that we do for the County. In the past we have seen a lot of challenges with Northern Dauphin County with travel, time restraints and trying to get to Harrisburg City is sometimes unacceptable to ask a victim or witness to do to get our services. We feel very strongly about providing our services in Northern Dauphin

County. We appreciate Chief Baum letting us move in there so quickly and smoothly. Diane has been operating for two weeks and has seen clients already and we are very excited to perform these services.

Mr. Haste: It is a wonderful program.

Mr. Hartwick: We always hear when we campaign in the upper end of the County that, that is the only time we are there. I believe with this effort and how we are redefining Human Services in the upper end that to the work with the Chief and the Northern Dauphin Chamber has done on getting a comprehensive plan involvement with CDBG in the upper end just shows the commitment that the County has to service the upper end and to make sure that their needs are heard very loud and clear. This is one year in to our Election cycle and not the time we are running for reelection. We are very proud of your efforts and maybe with our efforts with the Human Service Building we may be able to bring you in there with a one stop shop.

Ms. Storm: Great. We are going to be doing a lot of out reach and fundraising efforts so we will keep you informed.

PERSONNEL

Mr. Saylor: We have discussed the personnel packet in Executive Session this morning and we have some changes. Kay, please do your changes first, then we will do ours.

Ms. Sinner: The change I have in the original packet is, I would like to pull number 11 in the new hires listing. Last week in the changes listing Faye asked to pull item number 26. In the addendum I gave you last week change the start date of new hire in that addendum to October 21, 2004. I have also added an addendum to the packet. That is all the changes I have.

Mr. Saylor: In the vacancies, please pull items one and four, pending Salary Board Action. Item number one and four needs to be pulled. On page two, items one through four, 8 through 10. New hires, number one and two, seven, eleven, twelve, fifteen and sixteen. On nineteen and twenty, the start dates should be changed to October 18, 2004. Under changes, please pull number one, two, eight, nine and thirty-five. We have one additional change to today's agenda, page one, under vacancies, please pull item one.

Mr. Haste: Is there a motion to approve the personnel packet as amended?

Mr. Hartwick: So moved.

Mr. DiFrancesco: Second.

Mr. Haste: All those in favor say, aye.

All: Aye.

Mr. Haste: Motion carried.

PURCHASE ORDERS

Mr. Haste: Purchase Orders. Mr. Baratucci.

Mr. Baratucci: No changes from last week. I did make that one change on our central supplies account on page three and a few other budget issues, a few are showing on here but Mr. Yohe has assured me that they will be taken care of. He has been busy getting the numbers ready for your budget hearings.

Mr. Hartwick: So moved.

Mr. DiFrancesco: Second.

Mr. Haste: All those in favor say aye.

All: Aye.

Mr. Haste: Motion carries.

Mr. Baratucci: Just a reminder that I will be asking for your approval next week of purchase orders due to the conversion.

REPORT FROM BUDGET & FINANCE – MIKE YOHE, BUDGET DIRECTOR

Mr. Yohe:

- **October 8, 2004** transferred **\$287,714.33** to the **Payables** account **from the County's Concentration account** for checks issued that week.
- **October 15, 2004** transferred **\$7,194,157.24** to the **Payables** account and **\$1,831,102.49** to the **Payroll** account **from the County's Concentration account** for checks issued that week.
- **Total Term Investments – N/A**
- **Balance today in INVEST account \$122,138.32 rate 1.63%**
- **Balance today in Community Banks investment account \$1,607,249.93 rate 2.45%** (This rate is good through 10/31/04)
- **Balance today in Commerce Bank investment account \$21,677,010.00 rate 2.50%** (This rate is good through 10/31/04)

- **Balance today in Waypoint Bank investment account \$21,915,134.40 rate 2.50%** (This rate is good through 10/31/04)

\$15M TRAN Line-of-Credit Status at PNC:

- Draw #1 on 2/5/04 - \$2,850,000.00
- Draw #2 on 2/19/04 - \$1,800,000.00
 - o Total \$4,650,000.00
- April 1, 2004 – TRAN was paid back with \$11,232.21 for interest expense.

I will be sending out reminders next week to get our November rates.

REPORT FROM CHIEF CLERK/CHIEF OF STAFF – CHAD SAYLOR

Mr. Saylor: We have a late addition to our agenda. You should have received a copy of the resident handbook that Spring Creek would like to use. This is a document that has been a long time in the making but desperately needed. The Solicitor's Office has reviewed it. It is an important document that needs approved.

Mr. Beneventano: I met with the administration yesterday and they made the request that it be acted upon. It does not need approved today. They asked me to try to place it on my agenda so I did.

Mr. DiFrancesco: I would request that it be held off because I literally just received it. I have not had a chance to look at it at all.

Mr. Saylor: That is item CC on the agenda. We discussed towing services for parking services out front. The situation arises that we have a time that unauthorized vehicles park in those spots out back and the direction from the Board last time was to have a towing company that is willing to provide that service to the County at no cost. A suggestion was made that we ask the towing company to pay a fee for the towing rights. Upon further investigation, what happens is we would have to approve that an additional fee is tacked on to the towing fee and then we could move forward. Edgar advises me that we have used it maybe three times a year.

Mr. Hartwick: I have asked that you look into this. It was something that created significant revenue for us at the Borough without property taxes. I would prefer not to tack any additional fess for individuals and would support your proposal. Thank you for looking into it.

Mr. Saylor: Last issue is the marble sitting outside and waiting to be dealt with. We have investigated the possibilities of processing the marble. There are a number of fees that would be charged to process. Edgar got some information via phone. It is about \$75.00 an hour for the marble to be processed. There would obviously be a fee

for etching then storage. I would then have to open a retail store to sell it. I would like to request to proceed with a re-bid. Then have this marble taken off our hands.

Mr. Haste: Please. I still think we should offer it to the employees because I know there was interest.

Mr. Cohen: We have some that we can and will offer to employees at a fee.

Mr. Saylor: We shall proceed then. The Sheriff is once again holding his charity football game against the Sate Police this Sunday at 1:30 at the Milton Hershey School. They are collecting canned food for the Central Pennsylvania Food Bank.

Mr. Haste: Is there a motion to reject the bids for the marble?

Mr. DiFrancesco: So moved.

Mr. Hartwick: Second.

Mr. Haste: All those in favor say, aye.

All: Aye.

Mr. Haste: Motion carries.

SOLICITOR'S REPORT

Mr. Tully: Nothing to add other than what is on the report at this time.

MATTERS REQUIRING BOARD ACTION

A. Training Packet

B. Approval of FY04/05 Per Diem Rates between Children & Youth Agency and:

1.	Tressler Lutheran Services d.b.a. TresslerCare	
	Group Counseling	\$55.00/hr
	Sex Offenders Grp Counseling	\$70.00/hr
	Indiv. & Fam. Counseling	\$60.00/hr
	Outpt. Psychiatric Evaluation	\$250.00/assessment
	Outpt. Medication Monitoring	\$75.00/visit
	Traditional Foster Care	\$50.84/day
	Specialized Foster Care	\$72.44/day
	Treatment Foster Care	\$93.49/day
	Intensive Treatment Foster Care	\$101.49/day
	Medical Foster Care	\$106.49/day
	Residential Wilderness School	\$166.76/day
	Wilderness School Challenge Plus	\$191.95/day
	Wilderness Challenge Course	\$128.71/day
	Weekend Alternate (WAP)	\$151.12/day
	Specialized In-home treatment	\$75.43/day

	ABEL Assessment	\$300.00/day
	Sexual Offender Evaluation	\$450.00/day
	Sexual Offender Eval. with ABEL	\$625.00/day
	Sexual Offender Intake Assess.	\$500.00/assess.
	Sexual Offender Intake with ABEL	\$675.00/assess.
2.	Pennsylvania Counseling Services	
	Psychiatric Evaluation	\$150.00/eval.
	Medication Clinic	\$48.00/session
	Intake Evaluation for Therapy	\$83.00/eval.
	Individual Therapy	\$60.00/session
3.	Cornell Abraxas Group, Inc.	
	Psychological testing & eval.	\$60.00/hr.

C. Real Estate Tax Refund/Exonerations:

1. Refund of 2004 real estate taxes to Derry Township Historical Society 40 Northeast Drive, Derry Township, parcel #24-009-061, in the amt. of \$3,624.54.
2. Partial refund of 2002 & 2003 real estate taxes to Salvador Ledesma & Marcelina Figueroa, 38 South 17th St., Harrisburg, parcel #09-052-039, in the amt. of \$133.88.
3. Partial refund of 2004 real estate taxes to Marilyn Cleveland, 36 South 17th St., Harrisburg, parcel #09-052-038, in the amt. of \$117.11.
4. Partial refund of 2004 real estate taxes to James & Pamela Baker, 166 Timber Ridge Road, parcel #25-018-139, in the amt. of \$55.26.
5. Partial refund of 2004 real estate taxes to Teresa Sanchez, 1623 Derry St., Harrisburg, parcel #02-023-013, in the amt. of \$66.06.
6. Partial refund of 2004 real estate taxes to Betty Thomas, 38 North 17 St., Harrisburg, parcel #09-028-045, in the amt. of \$99.09.
7. Partial refund of 1997-2002 real estate taxes to KIMCO Realty Corporation, Middletown Borough, parcel #41-001-008, in the amt. of \$20,690.24.

D. Adopt Resolution No. 29-2004 authorizing the allocation of un-programmed funds to the Borough of Berrysburg from the Community Development Block Grant Program (CDBG).

E. Appoint Virginia Bruner to the Children & Youth Advisory Board. (Term expires October 20, 2007)

F. Proposal/Agreement/Addendum for Professional Services between Dauphin County and Navarro & Wright Consulting Engineers, Inc., to prepare a County site for subdivision, Swatara Twp., parcel #63-24-33.

G. Nominate Nancy Rockey to the South Central Workforce Investment Board. (Edna V. Baehre, Ph.D., President of HACC resigned from the Board.) (Term expires September 30, 2007)

H. Ratify the cancellation of Mobile Diagnostic Services Agreement between Dauphin County/Spring Creek and MobilexUSA.

I. Satisfaction Piece for Juanita M. Clark & Jennie M. Scott on property located at 2206 N. 5th St., Harrisburg, PA.

J. Recipient Agreement and Operating Expenses Agreement between Dauphin

County and the Tri-County Housing Development Corporation. (Eco. Dev.)

- K. Renewal Agreement between Dauphin County and United Concordia for new rates for January, 2005 through December, 2005.
- L. Electronics Recycling Collection Agreement with Dauphin County Solid Waste and Envirocycle, Inc. for the November 6, 2004 eCycling Event.
- M. Adopt Resolution No. 30-2004 approving Human Services Building services cost rate of \$10.91 per sq. ft. for a term of 7/01/04 to 06/30/05.
- N. Approval of the PA Dept. of State County Application and Agreement for HAVA Title II Financial Assistance re: Help America Vote Act of 2002. (Registration & Elections)
- O. Amendment #1 to FY04/05 Purchase of Service Agreement between Dauphin County Area Agency on Aging and Restart Temps, Inc.
- P. Amendments to FY03/04 Purchase of Service Agreements between MH/MR and:
 - 1. Pennsylvania Counseling Services, Inc. – Amendment #1
 - 2. NAMI of Pennsylvania – Amendment #1
 - 3. Starobin & Blanc Counseling Services – Amendment #1
 - 4. Sun Home Health Services, Inc. – Amendment #1
 - 5. Catholic Charities – Amendment #1
 - 6. Delta Housing – Amendment #1
 - 7. Impact Systems, Inc. – Amendment #1
 - 8. Geisinger Community Health Services t/d/b/a "Living Unlimited Program" – Amendment #1
 - 9. Tyler Personal Assistance Associates – Amendment #2
 - 10. Paxton Ministries – Amendment #1
 - 11. Guadenzia, Inc. – Amendment #1
 - 12. ARC of Dauphin and Lebanon Counties – Amendment #1
 - 13. CONTACT Helpline, Inc. – Amendment #1
 - 14. Cumberland/Perry ARC – Amendment #1
 - 15. United Cerebral Palsy Central PA – Amendment #1
 - 16. Grayson View, Inc. – Amendment #1
 - 17. Country Meadows of Hershey – Amendment #2
 - 18. YWCA of Greater Harrisburg HAP Contract – Amendment #1
 - 19. YWCA of Greater Harrisburg POS Agreement – Amendment #2
 - 20. YWCA of Greater Harrisburg ESG Contract – Amendment #1
 - 21. Catholic Charities HAP Contract – Amendment #1
 - 22. Catholic Charities ESG Contract – Amendment #1
 - 23. Victoria Kern, MPT, (t/d/b/a Kern Physical Therapy Services) Amendment #1
 - 24. Allegheny Valley School – Amendment #1
 - 25. Aurora Club – Amendment #1
 - 26. Cornell Abraxas Group, Inc. – Amendment #1
- Q. Purchase of Service Agreement for FY04/05 between MH/MR and the Pennsylvania State University.
- R. Purchase of Service Agreements for FY04/05 between Children & Youth Agency and:
 - 1. Central Penn Parent – Advertising Agreement
 - 2. Glade Run Lutheran Services

3. Harborcreek Youth Services, Inc.
 4. Diamonds in the Rough Youth Services
 5. Lourdesmont-Good Shepherd Youth & Family Services
- S. Amendment #1 to FY04/05 Purchase of Service Agreement between Children & Youth Services and Parents Anonymous, Inc.
- T. Amendments to Agreements regarding the County's relationship in "Health Choices" related to the new MCO Assessment Law passed July 2004:
- a. Amendment #1 to the Amended and Restated HealthChoices Behavioral Health Agreement between the County and the PA Department of Public Welfare
 - b. Amendment #4 to the Comprehensive Agreement between the counties of Cumberland, Dauphin, Lancaster, Lebanon and Perry and HealthAssurance Pennsylvania, Inc. (HASPA)
 - c. Amendment #4 to the Administrative Agreement between the County and the Capital Area Behavioral Health Collaborative (CABHC)
- U. Adoption Assistance Agreement #2004-18.
- V. Subsidized Legal Custodianship Agreement #2004-2.
- W. Human Services Development Fund FY04/05 Purchase of Service Agreements between the Dauphin County Human Services Director's Office and:
1. The Community Action Commission
 2. Visiting Nurse Association of Central Pennsylvania
 3. Children's Resource Center of Pinnacle Health
 4. Family and Children's Services of the Capital Region/
Family Service Partners
 5. International Service Center
 6. Lower Dauphin Communities that Care
- X. Emergency Shelter Program Subrecipient Agreement between Dauphin County Human Services Director's Office and City of Harrisburg.
- Y. Appoint Linda Ruff to the Provisional Ballot Oversight Committee replacing Bill Miller.
- Z. Appoint Sarah Weedon to the Dauphin County Executive Commission on Drugs & Alcohol, Inc. Term expires December 31, 2006.
- AA. Approve a recommendation by the Voluntary Benefits Committee on a Deferred Compensation Plan.
- BB. Application to the Township of Swatara for a permit to operate a food establishment at Spring Creek.
- CC. Approval of a Spring Creek Resident Handbook (a resource guide for Spring Creek residents, family members and friends).
- DD. Approve Highmark as the health care provider for county employees.

Mr. Haste: Matters requiring Board action. Items A-DD. Item CC has been pulled. Anything that needs to be pulled or acted on separately?

Mr. Hartwick: Item DD.

Mr. Haste: Is there a motion to approve items A-BB?

Mr. Hartwick: So moved.

Mr. DiFrancesco: Second.

Mr. Haste: All those in favor say, aye.

All: Aye.

Mr. Haste: Motion carries. Motion for Item DD?

Mr. Hartwick: I want to thank the benefits committee for tirelessly interviewing folks and obviously all the work to come up with the best possible program and plan design for our employees in the area of health care and trying to get the best possible value for the taxpayers of Dauphin County. I would like to run down a little if I could the costs and some of the rationale behind what I am going to be saying today. Then some final comments and a call for a vote. This year for our renewal of our health care plan if we would have stayed where we are in the current funding arrangement it would have been a 35% overall increase for health care that included a rate cap of 50/50. Changing this year to a prospective funding arrangement to get away from the risk of retro-fund refund and keeping the current plan design the increase would have been 57% in health care. The prescription drug renewal we would have seen a 7% increase in the overall costs. With the changes that have been negotiated I want it to be clear and I have said from the very beginning, that I have no allegiance to any provider. I wanted to negotiate the best possible value for our employees and taxpayers. We have tirelessly squeezed numerous providers in a competitive way to lower costs and have still not deterred from the amount of services we provided to our employees. We did not change plan design but we had effectively decreased from initial proposals about 2.7 million dollars from those initial proposals from the health care providers. It was a process that was argued and tiresome but one that was extremely beneficial. My decision on this case was based solely on the ability for us to provide the best possible plan design and the lowest possible cost for the taxpayers. I think as a result we saw a proposal from Capital Blue Cross that was by far the most competitive quote given to Dauphin County. The changes that were negotiated and the proposal that was given by Capital, the healthcare will only increase 32%, rather than 35%, and the prescription drugs with co-pays fully insured under prospective funding arrangement will decrease by 49% under the Blue Cross plan. The total combined is an overall 4% increase compared to a 40% increase which was what we were facing. Using the rates presented to us by Blue Shield but by doing nothing other than changing the funding arrangement and plan design. Initially the rates presented by Blue Shield with co-pays and funding

arrangement changes would have run us \$15,617,847.00 for both health care and prescription drugs. Blue Cross would be \$15,238,755.00 and through the negotiation and the team's efforts, Blue Shield came in at \$13,760,925.00, which is a decrease of 13% and the cost for Blue Cross is \$13,198,218.00 a decrease of 15%. Alone between the proposals of Highmark and Capital we would see a savings of \$562,707.00 compared to Highmark's quote. \$562,000 in a budget year where we are scraping for every penny and holding off vacancies and trying to manage our resources wisely, is a significant savings and impact for our taxpayers. I made my decision based upon what was offered and from a value on the benefits side, with companies about the exact same opportunities in wellness program and numerous other opportunities for our employees to invest in their health. I have to state my opposition to Highmark simply because we are ignoring a potential savings of \$562,707.00 which could be used to invest in wellness and our employees and could be a significant savings for the County. So, as we move forward to Item DD and would love to hear the reasons why that incurred and why we would not take \$562,000 in savings when both offerings were almost identical.

Mr. DiFrancesco: I want to commend the committee and to commend Commissioner Hartwick because where we started off on this process was light years away from where we find ourselves now. Through their efforts we were able to consider a program that provides real savings in term of increased rates, again we are not talking about saving dollars where we are today but what they have effectively done very well was to lower what additional costs the County would be incurring. With them I want to commend you and the committee for their work. The first meeting we had to talk to about this when we had talked about the benefits changes for the employees, obviously this Board put out a notice to all employees that we would have to do some drastic things if we were going to get a handle on this budget and get things under control financially. I think for the most part the employees stepped up and weren't happy that they would have to pay something; but most of the employees realized that if we were going to get a grip on the out of control budget that this was one of the things that was going to have to happen. Most of the employees stepped up and said we aren't happy but we are willing to do this. When we went into these discussions there were three things that were absolutely critical. I have to say that all of them were almost on equal level. Financially, I think that was number one but only by a hair. Number two was service. Number three was the wellness program which I may argue that was close to number one for me. We are not going to get a handle on our healthcare costs until we promote healthy living, healthy lifestyle and get our usage rates down. We invested a lot of money on employee's illnesses. What I would like to see is to invest more money on employee's health. The wellness component of this is such a critical component. My plan is to promote that Dauphin County invest in a plan and that three years from now we can say the Dauphin County has a model wellness program and our employees are saying that this is good for us. Having said all that basically that I agree with Commissioner Hartwick, it is very hard to look at two proposals and without a doubt the Capital costs is lower than Highmark's cost. Looking at the service part of it, I think they are on an equal level as well. Looking at the wellness program plan and through talking to a number of people I just think in this point of time that I am more convinced that

Highmark has a better wellness program out there. Highmark also eliminated what the County would have owed in 3.2 million dollars due to a payment that would have been owed due to the last contract made two years ago. So that was a debt that the County would of owed that basically Highmark wrote off. There again that was a real savings to the County. I think if we stay with the company we have now, that the employees are comfortable with and move forward with a great wellness program and try to keep usage rates down. The committee did a wonderful job and they provided everything I needed to properly review to make my choice.

Mr. Hartwick: Can I make some more comments?

Mr. Haste: No. Is there a motion?

Mr. DiFrancesco: So moved.

Mr. Haste: Second. Any discussion?

Mr. Hartwick: The contention that we need to invest in a wellness program with \$562,000 in savings that is a lot of an investment in a wellness program if we want to spend our resources to invest in a wellness program. Number two we talked about significant changes over the actual prescription drugs stay the same. In my opinion the impact of prescription drugs and the cost of prescription drugs are probably going to be the number one impact on our employees. As we move forward in the area of prescription drugs the actual drop off location and the one day pick up for our employees to get mail order drugs at the cheapest costs possibly is a huge advantage. As well as we keep the same network physicians. The three million dollar call that was given by Highmark would have been erased by changing the funding arrangement within their plan or to change providers. So they did not do us any favor in that case. We would have still benefited by moving to Capital. Obviously the rate caps are even lower with Capital. With all of that in mind, I see no reason behind your selection.

Mr. Haste: All those in favor say, aye.

Mr. Haste and Mr. DiFrancesco: Aye.

Mr. Hartwick: No.

Mr. Haste: Motion carries.

COMMISSIONERS' DISCUSSION & ACTIONS

There was none.

FORMER BUSINESS

Mr. Haste: Just a reminder that we have Prison Board today at 1:30.

CORRESPONDENCE

Mr. Haste: You have seen on the agenda the correspondence received by the Board of Commissioners this week A-I, which will be handled appropriately by the staff.

- A. Notification from Melham Associates, PC, on behalf of Lower Paxton Township, indicating they intend to apply for a NPDES permit for stormwater discharge associated with construction activities for a retail center on a 5.7 acre located on Colonial Road, Lower Paxton Township, Dauphin County.
- B. Notification from Taptich Engineering & Surveying, on behalf of Advance Scientifics, indicating they have applied to DEP for a general NPDES permit for stormwater discharges associated with construction activities located at 163 Research Drive, Mifflin Township, Dauphin County.
- C. Notification from Herbert, Rowland & Grubic, Inc., on behalf of South Hanover Township Sewer Authority, indicating they intend to apply to DEP for an Part II water quality management permit amendment to upgrade the Swatara Creek sewage pump station.
- D. Notification from Gannett Fleming, on behalf of the Mountainview Thoroughbred Racing Association, indicating they intend to apply to DEP for a NPDES permit to discharge treated wastewater from its facility to an unnamed tributary to the Swatara Creek in Dauphin County.
- E. Notification from David Black Associates, Inc., on behalf of the Harrisburg Brethren in Christ Church, indicating they intend to apply for a permit coverage from PennDOT to provide a new macadam driveway to an existing gravel parking lot at 2171 Derry Street, Harrisburg.
- F. Notification from Light-Heigel & Associates, Inc., on behalf of the Dauphin Borough, indicating they intend to apply for a water quality management permit for a grinder pump low pressure sewer system and a stream crossing general permit for the Erie Street sewer extension project.
- G. Notification from H. Edward Black & Associates, P.C., on behalf of Fox Market, indicating they intend to submit an application for a NPDES permit to the Dauphin County Conservation District for plans to build a 4,813 square foot building addition and a 19,602 square foot parking lot addition to their existing facility located in Derry Township, Dauphin County.
- H. Notification from Light-Heigel & Associates, Inc., on behalf of the Hershey Highmeadow Campground, indicating they intend to apply to DEP for a general permit for maintenance, testing, repair, rehabilitation, or replacement of an existing bridge over an unnamed tributary to Swatara Creek.
- I. Received a copy of the Cumberland County Community Development Block Grant, Home Investment Partnerships Entitlement Programs for FY05-09.

PUBLIC PARTICIPATION

There was none.

ADJOURNMENT

Mr. Haste: Is there a motion to adjourn?

Mr. Hartwick: So moved.

Mr. DiFrancesco: Second.

Mr. Haste: All those in favor say, aye.

All: Aye.

Mr. Haste: Meeting adjourned. Thank you.

Transcribed by: Kacey Truax
printed 1/05/05