



**DAUPHIN COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS**

**COMMISSIONERS LEGISLATIVE MEETING**

**THURSDAY, MARCH 13, 2003**

**MEMBERS PRESENT**

Jeff Haste, Chairman  
Lowman Henry, Vice Chairman  
Anthony Petrucci, Secretary

**STAFF PRESENT**

Robert Burns, Chief Clerk; Julia Nace, Assistant Chief Clerk; Bill Tully, Esq., Solicitor; Marie Rebuck, Controller; Bob Dick, Treasurer; Joseph Kleinfelter, President Judge; Randy Baratucci, Director of Purchasing; Mike Yohe, Director of Budget & Finance; Sharon Manton, Director of Personnel; Rick Wynn, Director of Human Services; Mike Pries, Director of Safety and Security; Edgar Cohen, Director of Facility Maintenance; Jennifer Kocher, Director of Communications; Anthony White, Director of Fiscal Affairs; Barry Wyrick, Administrator of MH/MR; Guy Beneventano, Esq., Assistant Solicitor; Greg Schneider, Commissioners' Office; Dan Mosel, Human Services; Gary Serhan, Controller's Office; Faye Fisher, Personnel; Sharon Ludwig, Personnel; Lorrie Bushman, Personnel; Diane McNaughton, Communications; Melanie McCaffrey, Solicitor's Office; Kelly Wolf, Recycling Coordinator; Shari Eagle, Information Technology; Jim Albert, Commissioners' Office.

**GUESTS PRESENT**

Jack Sherzer, Patriot-News; Sondra Mosten, Tom Helsel; Loretta Barbee-Dare; Chuck McLister, Cornell Abraxas; Patrick Judd, Whiting/Turner; Diane Bowman, Bill Cluck.

**MINUTES**

**CALL TO ORDER**

Mr. Haste, Chairman of the Board, called the meeting to order at 10:00 a.m.

## **MOMENT OF SILENCE**

Everyone observed a moment of silence

## **PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE**

Everyone stood for the Pledge of Allegiance

## **APPROVAL OF MINUTES**

Mr. Haste: We have the Minutes before us of January 22, 2003 Election Board and February 11, 2003 Legislative Meeting. Is there a motion to approve the Minutes?

Mr. Henry: So moved.

Mr. Petrucci: Second.

Mr. Haste: We have a motion and a second. All those in favor say, aye.

All: Aye.

Mr. Haste: Those opposed? Motion carried.

## **EXECUTIVE SESSIONS HELD BETWEEN MEETINGS**

Mr. Burns: Mr. Chairman, there was one Executive Session on March 5, 2003, at that time matters currently in litigation were discussed.

Mr. Haste: Thank you.

Mr. Burns: You're welcome.

## **PUBLIC PARTICIPATION**

Mr. Haste: Is there anyone in the public that would like to bring an item before the Board or discuss anything on the agenda, now is the appropriate time? Just a reminder, when you come to the microphone, please state your name so we have it for the record.

Mr. Cluck: Good morning, Commissioners. I'm attorney Bill Cluck. I live in Shipoke also know as the Don Quixote of Dauphin County. I'm here today about the Solid Waste Agenda item. The creation of a full time in-house recyclable collector.

The first thing I want to say, is thank the Commissioners and the staff because the agenda for today's meeting was on the Dauphin County website. I think that is critically

important to the public's ability to understand what you do and participate. Now if we could just get the suburban Patriot News to start covering these things we would have better participation.

The only comment or question I have about the recycling position is how are we doing from a budget standpoint? Since we dissolved the Dauphin County Solid Waste Authority and formed a joint City, County Intergovernmental Solid Waste Unit, we charge \$4.99 per ton for what is called an administrative fee. How much have we received through that administrative fee over the past two years and is it sufficient to make our recycling program break even without taxpayer money?

Mr. Haste: Kelly Wolf is here and I'll ask Kelly....I'll put her on the spot, but I don't know if she can address the issues in particular but I'll tell you this when this position came up and when there was discussions, one the first things I did was make sure there were appropriate funds in the account to cover it. I was reassured by Kelly and by our Budget Office that there were funds. It's my understanding that the funds that are brought in through this program have paid for everything that we have needed out of Solid Waste and it has not had to come out of the general fund dollars. This position...Kelly I'll let you explain this a little.

Ms. Wolfe: I was only going to say that I will look into that and research the exact amounts that we recovered from our \$4.99 administrative fee. I'll have that answer for you at next Tuesday's meeting.

Mr. Haste: Very good.

Mr. Petrucci: To my knowledge, we haven't put any county dollars, general funds dollars, into the Solid Waste department up to this point. It has been pretty much entirely run by grants and the revenues as far as I could tell. While the issue has been raised, there was an issue I wanted to raise with Kelly and I'll do it right here so that it is on the record. I know that we are working on a recycling facility. I have just come out of a meeting with the City of Harrisburg at Lower Paxton Township in regard to stormwater management. They talk about the essential need of a lot of the stuff that we are land filling which is the roughage and the trees and all the biodegradable stuff that we should have a recycling center for that which puts that into usable form. It is a very valuable asset and now we are just dumping it. I'm sure that the size of that kind of recycling mulching plant facility needs to be probably larger than what your contemplated collection point recycling is. I'm wondering if we shouldn't think about separating this into two different kinds of recycling, one mulch and one the other and start with the mulch as soon as possible. So I just raise that for your consideration.

Mr. Haste: This position that we will address in Salary Board....the gentleman who held that position got called up into the military. Instead of leaving it vacant because it is a position Kelly needs to keep our program going. Instead of leaving it vacant or creating another position, this is a temporary position and it's going to be filled. We are working with Terry Davis at the Work Release Center. So we will actually have someone out of

the Work Release Center that will be able to do this. They will be able to take these funds to pay back their restitution. So it is in conjunction with our Work Release Center.

Anything else under public participation?

### **DEPARTMENT DIRECTORS/GUESTS**

Mr. Haste: Anything from our department directors?

Mr. Petrucci: I did invite one of our department Directors to be here Rick Wynn from MH/MR because I wanted to ask him a question so if I might. I'll just do that now. We were all made woefully aware this week when Representative Jerry Nailor invited some people to come to the State Museum and upon leaving the museum on Third Street a lady got stabbed in the back by a person that Dauphin County knows. That person has been in our department in previous occasions, a mentally ill person and now he is, of course, stationed in Dauphin County Prison. We have a task force working on trying to find ways to get the mentally ill out of the Prison and find better situations for them. This gentleman unprovoked stabbed this lady in the back with a knife and now has room and board. Something he was unable to get because of cutbacks in funding in mental health. There is a huge waiting list for bed space in mental health. So Mr. Nailor who has probably voted for the communization of mental health in closing down of the state hospitals and also probably voted for some of the tax cuts is showing that there are costs associated with these. Often unintended consequences. I wanted to ask Rick Wynn if he would comment in regard to the budget cuts that the Governor has put in and how that might affect our mental health program.

Mr. Henry: Actually, I think Barry Wyrick would be....

Mr. Petrucci: Barry Wyrick, I'm sorry.

Mr. Henry: He is the Administrator of MH/MR.

Mr. Petrucci: I'm sorry, that who I meant. I get these two confused all the time.

Mr. Henry: They are similar in many ways!

Mr. Wyrick: Good morning, Commissioners, I'm Barry Wyrick, Mental Health/Mental Retardation Administrator for Dauphin County.

We're currently continuing our analysis of the Governor's proposed budget and now passed budget and we'll see if it gets signed and the impact that has on our program. To date our analysis clearly shows that we will experience out of the twelve million dollar budget for the operation of the mental health/mental program, we will have a definite \$295,000 cut. That cut is in the Behavioral Health Initiative Funds. Those funds were a state only participation program that was done during welfare reform back in 1996. Those funds have been removed from the budget. It is unclear what our

community base will be. That is our largest source of funds for us that amounts to about nine million dollars. As we analyze that amount there is the possibility that we will be seeing about a \$25,000 cut there. But we cannot confirm that until we actually get an allocation letter which may not be until November of this year.

The other significant fund that I would ask Commissioners action on regards the County Commissioners' Association Intergovernmental Transfer. In the past, this Intergovernmental Transfer has funded a cost of living increase for significant program for us, the Community Hospital Integration Program. That is the program that takes people out of the state hospitals and places them in the community. This cost of increase last year which was funded by the County Commissioners' Association was \$225,000. If we were to lose all of those, we are talking about the possibility of about \$650,000. Our current planning is that we would experience a cut of funding between \$300,000 and \$500,000 in our program. What that means to our program, it really has yet to be determined. Rick Wynn and I and my deputy Mental Health Administrator will be going over our budgets to see exactly where we can cut this amount of money from our programs. There are programs that we do not have to do such as transportation for our clients, such as medication for our clients, that we believe are valuable. Even though we are not mandated by the state to provide these services, we wish to continue to provide these services. Because if people cannot get to programs, if people cannot afford their medications, then our treatment services fail. The largest source of expenditure for us is in our residential programming. So we will be looking to our residential program for savings.

This year we have initiated a new residential program where we are able to transfer costs from our residential programs to the local housing authorities for room and board. In other words, take the room and board expenses out of our budget into the housing authorities where we are then only paying for treatment and rehabilitation costs. That is called Concepts for Housing with Care. We have the possibility and we plan to have ten clients in that program by the end of this year with the possibility of having fifty clients in that program by the end of next year. Again, this is our fiscal year which will be June 2004 that we could be as high as fifty. What that does is gives us some savings that we can close some our residential beds where we are paying room and board. That's where we anticipate the cost savings. We are not going to close ten residential beds this year and fifty beds next year so there will be an overall increase in the availability of residential services through the implementation of Concepts for Housing with Care and then small reductions in Community Residential Rehabilitation Programs where we can pull back the cost savings to balance our budget.

Mr. Petrucci: You mentioned that Residential Care is your most expensive service that you render. I think that we have just been made aware not providing that residential care could be more expensive and that is in the security of our people on the street. I'm hoping that we can see an increase in bed availability and that the taxpayers don't have to shoulder the mental health program through their prisons. I hope that there will be some direction from the state legislature in allowing you to funnel your money in perhaps more efficient ways. Much as we're doing with children and youth, I would

hope that there might be a chance for us to look at what we're offering with our forty-nine million or whatever it is and see if there aren't more alternatives. I appreciate your direction in regard to splitting out the housing from the psychiatric care and maybe that can do more with the dollars we're given. I'm certainly aware that we need to do more in this regard. I thank you for coming today.

Mr. Wyrick: Thank you.

Mr. Haste: Thank you, Barry. Rick, do you have anything you want to add to that?

Mr. Wynn: Just real quickly, at the beginning when Mr. Petrucci talked about the gentleman that was involved in the bad incident on Third Street. The gentleman also had drug and alcohol issues and I would be remiss, although MH/MR's cuts are significant we need to know that Drug & Alcohol's cuts are 27% of their budget. Just as when people don't get MH/MR treatment that we often have bad things happen and clearly we don't have enough money now to serve people's need before the cuts. The Drug & Alcohol cuts are a deep cut into the program. For our county, it will be about 1.4 million dollars. I know we privatized it but it doesn't mean that we have walked away from it. That is a serious issue.

Mr. Haste: Thank you. Anything else from the Directors?

(The Board recessed to go into Salary Board Meeting. The Minutes of the Salary Board Meeting are on file in the Commissioners' Office.)

## **PERSONNEL**

Mr. Haste: Faye, do you want to move forward with Personnel?

Ms. Fisher: There are two new hires for Dauphin Manor that I would like to present as an addition to the Personnel Packet. You can find them behind your green sheet. The rest of the Personnel Packet stands as presented.

Mr. Haste: Are there any questions for Faye on the Personnel Packet? Do I have a motion to approve it?

Mr. Henry: So moved.

Mr. Haste: Is there a second?

Mr. Petrucci: Second.

Mr. Haste: All those in favor say, aye.

All: Aye.

Mr. Haste: Opposed? Motion carries.

### **OVERTIME**

Mr. Haste: Faye, overtime.

Ms. Fisher: The Overtime Report for Pay Period #4 presented at last week's meeting stands as presented.

Mr. Haste: Is there a motion to accept our Overtime Report?

Mr. Henry: So moved.

Mr. Haste: Second?

Mr. Petrucci: Second.

Mr. Haste: All those in favor say, aye.

All: Aye.

Mr. Haste: Motion carries.

### **PURCHASE ORDERS**

Mr. Baratucci: Commissioners, you should have received a revised Purchase Order Packet. There was actually one item pulled from last weeks and one item added. The item that was pulled was the one that we talked about for the scanning items from FileX. That was pulled we are now taking care of that in-house. The item that was added was approved by Mr. Burns that was for the Clerk of Courts Office. They have asked to include a purchase order for some more furniture and furnishing out of their automation fund to complete the move over there. That is on page nine and we did add it per Mr. Burns instructions since it did not have any bearing on the general fund. It's out of his automation fund.

In addition, on page 25 there are a couple of items from EMA from the HAZ Mat Program. We have had a hard time resolving that budget issue. Mike Wertz has been busy with some other issues out there and he has not had a chance to get with Mike Yohe and resolve that. So we have held these items last time so we are going to hold them again. That is on page 25 that would be three requisitions at the top, 62504, 62073, & 62503. Those three items that are over budget we will be holding until we resolve that. The items at the bottom are okay.

All the other budget issues that were there last week were resolved so the motion should probably be to approve the Purchase Order Packet minus those items on page 25 that I just alluded to.

Mr. Haste: Is there a motion?

Mr. Henry: So moved.

Mr. Haste: Is there a second?

Mr. Petrucci: Second.

Mr. Haste: All those in favor say, aye.

All: Aye.

Mr. Haste: Motion carries.

### **REPORT FROM BUDGET & FINANCE – MIKE YOHE, BUDGET DIRECTOR**

Mr. Yohe: Commissioners there has been two pay out weeks since our last meeting. The first was on February 28, 2003. It was a non-payroll week. We had to transfer \$509,619.56 to our Payable Account. This Friday, March 7, 2003 was a payroll week. We had to transfer \$9,755,826.81 to the payables and \$1,854,560.91 to payroll.

Going onto the investments, we show no term investments. Today in our INVEST Account at the state we have \$120,137.72 at a rate of 1.20%. Today is the last day that we will have anything in First Union. I just got a notification this morning of the interest amount so we can go ahead and close that out. Today we have \$145,095.91 at a rate of 1.17% and that will be cleared out tomorrow.

Our main money market account at Northwest we currently \$17,118,052.76 at a rate of 2.50%.

We are now getting pretty heavy into the tax season. I think we are over the hump as far as our line of credit. We had to do that one draw earlier and a very small one a couple of weeks after that. The total wound up being under the three million dollar figure.

### **Report from the Office of Budget & Finance March 13, 2003**

- **February 28, 2003** transferred **\$509,619.56** to the **Payables** account **from the County's Concentration account** for checks issued that week.
- **March 7, 2003** transferred **\$9,755,826.81** to the **Payables** account **and \$1,854,560.91** to the **Payroll** account **from the County's Concentration account** for checks issued that week.

- **Total Term Investments – N/A**
- **Balance today in INVEST account \$120,137.72 rate 1.20%**
- **Balance today in First Union Money Market account \$ 145,095.91 rate 1.17%**
- **Balance today in Northwest Savings Bank Money Market account \$17,118,052.76 rate 2.5%**

Mr. Haste: Are there any questions for Mr. Yohe? Thanks, Mike.

### **REPORT FROM CHIEF CLERK/CHIEF OF STAFF – ROBERT BURNS**

Mr. Haste: Mr. Burns.

Mr. Burns: Mr. Chairman, I have two items today.

First just to confirm what Mr. Cluck said the agendas are now on the website. We are forwarding the agenda in draft form to Information Technology on Fridays so they can be accessed by the public as a draft on Friday. It does have a disclaimer stating that it is only a draft. When we send out the final agenda on Tuesday mornings, it also goes to Information Technology to post on the website.

Second, Commissioners you have received an awful lot of information in the past few months regarding the Courthouse renovations and the status of the budget for that project. I think you have had an opportunity to digest it and perhaps move closer to making some decisions. Today I think it is appropriate to ask the to Board consider making a motion and taking action that would allow our Solicitor's Office to enter into an agreement with Whiting Turner, the construction manager, on the project which would effectively bring to completion the work that Whiting Turner has done for us. Such an agreement would allow all unpaid bills that are currently in question to be paid which would make Whiting Turner whole. I think we feel we have reached a point where there is some redundancy in the work being done by Whiting and Buchart. This action would allow us to move closer to completing the project within budget and if you do that we would also thank Whiting Turner for the excellent job they did on managing the renovations of the Administration Building as well as about 30% of the Courthouse.

Mr. Haste: Is there a motion?

Mr. Henry: So moved.

Mr. Haste: Is there a second?

Mr. Petrucci: Second.

Mr. Haste: Any discussion?

Mr. Henry: Commissioner, I would like to second what Bob Burns said and congratulate Whiting Turner for the excellent job they did. All you need to do is look around this room and this building to see what a fine building we have to house our county administrative offices and what they have done with the Courthouse. We are nearing completion on the Courthouse project and it is vitally important that we stay on budget or under budget, and not have to engage in any future borrowing to bring the project to completion. It's now clear that Buchart Horn is capable of doing that in a manner that will bring us in on budget and no longer necessary to have both that firm as well as Whiting Turner. It has now become sort of a redundancy, as Bob said. They have done an outstanding job but the job is coming to a conclusion.

Mr. Petrucci: Mr. Chairman, I might also state my appreciation also for Whiting Turner for the job they have done. I know that as we go forward we would want to look at Whiting Turner again if we have a major Phase II undertaking. Also, I recall comments from them encouraging us to take ownership of the work that has been done by them in the buildings and facilities that are arranged here. It is time that we pick up the ball and start to grab a hold of these projects with ownership. Again, my thanks to Whiting Turner and hopefully this will cover all the excellent work that they have done and getting them paid for the work that they have done. I know they have gone since about August without being paid. Hopefully this will get them up to where they need to be. Thank you.

Mr. Burns: That is all I have to report. Mr. Chairman, I'm sorry.

Mr. Haste: We have a motion and a second. All those in favor say, aye.

All: Aye.

Mr. Haste: Opposed? Motion carries.

### **MATTERS REQUIRING BOARD ACTION**

- A. Training Packet
- B. Approval of FY02/03 Per Diem Rates between Children & Youth Agency and:
  - 1. YMCA Camp Curtin Branch/Harrisburg Area East Shore Branch  
Teen Court-Delinquency Prevention Prog. \$22,283.14
  - 2. YWCA of Greater Harrisburg  
DayCare 9/2/02-6/30/03  
Initial/Registration Fee \$40.00/child  
\$60.00/family  
Infants \$145.00/wk  
Toddlers \$130.00/wk  
Preschool \$120.00/wk  
K-4 \$120.00/wk  
K-5 \$120.00/wk

School Age: before school only	\$ 65.00/wk
School Age: after school only	\$ 72.00
School Age: before and after	\$ 77.00
Annual Registration fee	\$20.00/family
Sibling Discount	\$5.00 for oldest child/wk

- C. Subordination Agreements with:
  - 1. Anthony Riddick for property located at 2620 Lexington Street, Harrisburg.
  - 2. Jennifer Waller for property located at 2209 Kensington Street, Harrisburg.
  - 3. Linda H. Zlater for property located at 2510 Derry St., Harrisburg.
  - 4. Carmen A. Sosa for property located at 7300 Jefferson St., Harrisburg.
  
- D. Satisfaction Piece with:
  - 1. Regina G. Dixon for property located at 1851 Mulberry St., Harrisburg, the payoff amount is \$1,745.00.
  - 2. Christopher and Ali Kelly for property located at 440 Swatara St., Harrisburg, the payoff amount is \$3,985.46.
  
- E. Memorandum of Agreement between Dauphin Manor and Quality Insights of PA.
  
- F. Section 125 Cafeteria Plan Adoption Agreement between Dauphin County and Assurity Life Insurance Company.
  
- G. Agreement between Dauphin County and Randy Paul & Associates, Inc., for engineering services for the heating system at the Dauphin County Prison.
  
- H. PA Map Cooperative Agreement between Dauphin County and PA Dept. of Conservation and Natural Resources.
  
- I. Maintenance Agreements between Emergency Management Agency and G. R. Sponaugle for the following:
  - 1. Agree: E6163 UPS TEGG
  - 2. Agree: E6172 Energized/de-energized Electrical TEGG
  - 3. Agree: E6220 Facility Generator/switch gear  
(continued)
  - 4. Agree: E6221 Tower Site Generators/switch gear
  - 5. Agree: 71191 Facility HVAC
  
- J. Emergency Operations Planning Grant Agreement between Dauphin County Emergency Management Agency and PA Emergency Management Agency.
  
- K. Detention/Shelter Services Agreement between Schaffner Youth Center and York County.
  
- L. HVAC Maintenance Contract between Dauphin County and Kenney Mechanical for the Veterans Memorial Building.
  
- M. Life Safety Service Agreements between Dauphin County and SimplexGrinnel

for annual testing per NFPA Standards for the fire alarm systems at various county locations:

1. Area Agency on Aging Kitchen Building
  2. Dauphin Manor
  3. Veterans Memorial Building
  4. County Morgue & Bulk Storage Building
- N. Service Agreement between Dauphin County Prison and Honeywell International, Inc.
- O. Adoption Assistance Agreements:
1. #2003-1
  2. #2003-2
- P. Addenda to FY02/03 Purchase of Service Agreements between Children & Youth and:
1. Mission Home Ministries – Amendment #1
  2. Bradley Center – Amendment #2
- Q. Purchase of Service Agreement for FY02/03 between Children & Youth and Prince George County Public Schools.
- R. Purchase of Service Agreement for FY02/03 between MH/MR and Northwestern Institute.
- S. Purchase of Service Agreement for FY02/03 between A.A.A. and The Program for Female Offenders.
- T. Grant Agreement between Human Services Adult & Family Services and PA Dept. of Public Welfare. (Child Care Network, Inc. – subgrantee)
- U. Commissioners' Consent to Settlement Stipulations for the following assessment appeals:
1. HERCO (The "Greenfields")  
The site of the Land Lease for Giant Center
  2. Cumberland Court Apartments – Tax Parcel #05-008-001  
Dauphin County Docket No. 4907-CV-2002 TX
- V. Commissioners' Approval for the County to contribute its *pro rata* share for the Appraisals of the following properties:
1. Front & State Corporation at 407 North Front Street, Harrisburg,  
tax parcel #04-036-001 (County share \$325.00)
  2. Shawn Gallagher at 2701 North Front Street, Harrisburg,  
tax parcel #10-064-018 (County share \$325.00)
  3. Patton Recreational Properties (Twin Ponds) at 3984 Corey Rd.,  
tax parcel #62-040-057 (County share \$2,232.00)
- W. Maintenance Contract Renewal between Dauphin County Adult Probation & Parole and G. R. Sponaugle for electrical distribution system(s).
- X. Title IV-E Independent Living Program Grant Application Agreement

between Dauphin County Children & Youth and the PA Dept. of Public Welfare.

Y. Group Policy Dental Plan between Dauphin County and United Concordia.

Mr. Haste: Bob, do you want to speak to the Training Packet?

Mr. Burns: Mr. Chairman, it is the same packet as the Workshop Packet. There is no addendum. It stands as presented last week.

Mr. Haste: Okay, we have items for Board Action, letter A thru Y. Are there any comments or anything that needs to be done with those items A thru Y? If not do I have a motion to approve items A thru Y on the agenda?

Mr. Henry: So moved.

Mr. Haste: Is there a second?

Mr. Petrucci: One second, please. Just checking for any additional items. I see none so I'm happy to second.

Mr. Haste: There is a motion and a second. All those in favor say, aye.

All: Aye.

Mr. Haste: Motion carries.

### **FORMER BUSINESS**

Mr. Petrucci: Mr. Chairman, there was a meeting last week on Friday with the Courthouse renovations oversight committee that had been discussed at Workshop and that had been agreed to and consented by the Board. And yet in the week that had transpired prior to that there had been some actions that occurred that really brought again the question as to who might well be in charge of directing, overseeing and ultimate decision making in regard to the project. There were questions raised and so to put some of those questions to ease, I thought I would make a formal motion today that we actually do create and talk about the process by which we culminate the work of Phase I and move on smoothly into Phase II of whatever there is remaining of the Courthouse renovation. As I had done about a month and half ago, I would like to again, and this time formally make a motion that we do create the Courthouse Renovation Committee and that it be chaired by our very capable President Judge. That committee to be comprised of all the major stakeholders in that undertaking which would be financial people, either Anthony or Mike Yohe; our maintenance people, Edgar has and would fit the bill there; we also would have Buchart Horn; and the President Judge; and myself as the Board oversight of the Courts on that committee. Any major kinds of alterations in the basic contractual agreements that we have would be considered by them and made recommendations to this Board for a vote. Our

understanding as of our discussions the last time was that if there were minor things we wouldn't want to have delays and that our Chief Clerk could undertake to contact the Commissioners about a minor change order, I think one did occur this week in regard to some asbestos removal and that we consented and that work order be okayed so that work could progress. The problem there comes in defining what would be major and what would be minor. In my mind, we had a breakdown in regard to that consideration the last time because there was somehow an order given supposedly somehow from the office of the Board of Commissioners for the shut down of work or slow down or basic stoppage of work on the third and fourth floor. To me that is a major decision and should have been brought to the committee and the committee then brought to the Board. So to me that was something that did not legitimately get done. But it should be done here. That is the reason that I create the motion for this committee and I think there still needs discussion in regard to some of those fine points. I would put that motion that we would create this committee to work on and make recommendations to this Board.

Mr. Haste: Who did you suggest should be on the committee?

Mr. Petrucci: The President Judge; myself; Edgar from Maintenance; Mike Yohe or Anthony White from Finance; and Buchart Horn. We now do not, I think, expect Whiting Turner to be further involved at this point.

Mr. Haste: If I could make one suggestion, I would suggest that Buchart Horn not be on the committee they are employed by us. They are a contractor, they should be there but they are there to give advice and service that.

Mr. Petrucci: True.

Mr. Haste: I think it critical that Mr. Burns be on that committee.

Mr. Petrucci: I forgot Mr. Burns, yes.

Mr. Henry: He was hoping.

Mr. Petrucci: Sorry about that.

Mr. Henry: You'll notice he didn't jump up and say you forgot me.

Mr. Haste: If I understand you, you are making a motion to have the President Judge; Edgar Cohen; yourself; Mr. Burns; and either Mike Yohe or Anthony White to make up a committee of five to oversee the day to day operations of this?

Mr. Petrucci: Yes. And as is normal with all public meetings, they are not closed and anyone can attend, the Board of Commissioners, the Solicitor, or anyone.

Mr. Haste: I'll second that motion and I would want to say something under discussion.

Mr. Petrucci: Okay.

Mr. Haste: Mr. Henry do you have anything you want to say?

Mr. Henry: My only comment on this is that I think we need to be careful...delegating executive matters to a committee is always a delicate process. If you keep in mind the old adage "that a camel is a horse designed by a committee" it is very easy to see how things can happen that you don't have control over it. In the end and I'm in favor of allowing more input on this project and the committee is a vehicle for doing that, I will vote yes on the motion but we must keep in mind that the buck does not stop with the committee, it is merely advisory. The buck stops with the County Commissioners and the buck also stops at thirty one million dollars. Understanding that the final decision making power continues to rest with the Board of Commissioners, I will support the motion.

Mr. Haste: Actually some of you may find this hard to believe, but I can't say any thing else. That is exactly what I wanted to say, Commissioner.

Mr. Henry: I apologize for taking your thunder.

Mr. Haste: No, I wanted to make sure that was on the record that this is advisory and the committee under the County Code has no ability to spend or do change orders, that in fact rests with the Board of Commissioners. I think it is important that they serve in an advisory capacity and make sure this project moves forward.

We have a motion and a second, all those in favor please say, aye.

All: Aye.

Mr. Haste: Opposed? Motion carries.

Mr. Petrucci: Mr. Chairman, in continuation of old business at the last renovation Courthouse committee meeting it was urged by everyone there pretty much and generally agreed that that there is potential costs associated with the work stoppage on the floors three and four. I'm wondering if we could entertain a motion as basically emanating as a recommendation from that committee that we reconsider that decision? Are we at a point where we can start to allow our engineers to proceed as would be economically efficient and *engineeringly* efficient?

Mr. Haste: I think we have a plan and we have a contract before us to move things ahead over there and I don't think that anything has stopped. So I think we need to move forward with it. As I said, though, as the project moves forward there will always be points where I think the committee will need to report back to the Board of Commissioners, if in fact it looks like we are having financial trouble. I don't know if there needs to be a motion or an action to say, keep doing what there is already

approval to do. I think the motion if at any point in time is needed is to stop something. I don't see the need for a motion.

Mr. Petrucci: Mr. Chairman, I just want to make sure and clarify because the language in the most recent update did indicate that they were, they meaning the engineers and so forth, felt that they were under orders to hold work on Phase I, area D and Phase I area H which is the third and fourth floors. So as long as they're made aware that they are to continue then I think we are okay.

Mr. Haste: Again, I think I said this at the last meeting, and I'll say it again, speaking on behalf of Bob, but I think some of the fingers were pointed at Bob. Bob made it clear that he didn't tell anybody to stop. He did ask that things be slowed down and work was done in the basement until we could get a good grasp on where we were. I think we have made a step further in knowing where we are today. I would suggest that we continue to do that. Again, I think we need to have motions to stop things. I don't know that we need a motion to continue what we have approval to do.

Mr. Petrucci: Okay and thank you. One more item of old business if I might. On the Workshop agenda there were three requests for audits to be submitted. A contract was submitted by Axelrod. I can't say their first name.

Mr. Haste: Z&A

Mr. Petrucci: Z&A that's good. Was it our discussion that we be sending out and preparing an RFP?

Mr. Haste: Correct.

Mr. Burns: Yes, Commissioner that's what the Board directed. I believe Anthony White is taking the lead on that. He will bring back the RFP proposal before it goes out for the Board to....

Mr. Petrucci: I would like to make a suggestion. I agree that we should do an RFP but only on the general fund and the district justices. In regard to the tax collectors, I would suggest that we hold off on that and here is why.

One is that we are really not doing all of the tax collectors. We are only doing a fourth every year. So many of the tax collectors will not be evaluated or audited for basically four years. We are in the mist of implementing this year in a project form on seven or eight of the tax collectors, our tax management plan. We have spent close to one-half million dollars in coming up with a tax administration procedure that will in its conclusion hopefully when the bugs are worked out this year, next year we'll have all tax collectors operating in a similar fashion. All reporting the same way, all doing everything similarly and it will be far cheaper to audit them when it is easy to go into a tax collectors' office and very quickly understand exactly what they are doing and how they are doing it. So there is an opportunity for reduced costs in the year 2004, 2005, and 2006 in regard to

auditing. So to ask them for a price under the current situation is going to give us an inflated price.

Secondly, it is an opportunity under this tax administration package to work out an arrangement for simultaneous audits with the school districts and with municipalities. We should send a letter out apprising them of our administrative package that is coming out and a potential savings that both we and they can have in regard to the auditing of the tax collectors. Indeed, the tax collector should appreciate this so that they would only be audited once instead of three times by the different entities of which they serve. This I think should be done by perhaps our finance office or someone to at least begin to notify the school districts of this so that they don't get into a three year contract as well and potentially save money by doing a unified audit of the tax collectors in the very near future. That's why I think we shouldn't do an RFP on that beyond one year. We have a three year contract proposal there and I think one year at the most would be sufficient and we could look at something thereafter.

Mr. Haste: I think all your comments can be looked at when we look at drafting the RFP. We could take that into consideration. Anything else under former business?

### **NEW BUSINESS**

None

### **COMMISSIONERS' DISCUSSION AND ACTIONS**

Mr. Henry: One item Commissioner, just a note that Dauphin County has received a \$760,000 check, a payment in lieu of taxes from the Dauphin County General Authority. I believe that is somewhere in the neighborhood of one half of a million dollars more than was budgeted. As a result that gives us a little bit of a breathing room on our budget. We are pleased to have received that this past week.

Mr. Haste: Very good. Anything else under Commissioners.....

Mr. Petrucci: Mr. Chairman, I would have to make a comment that that check is substantial. It does come from property that our General Authority owns and it is a pilot payment to us. I wished that there had been an opportunity for a joint agreement for that so that the City and school district could as well have enjoyed the fruits of that pilot payment. I know that the City probably has pilot arrangements that they're receiving total benefit on and we have that one. I would hope that in the future that we might be able to operate in a fashion that has all three entities, the City, the school district and the County, so of negotiating these things out in a way that has all of us operating together rather than individually.

One other item under discussion, the Registration Office has sent me an incomplete, not formal yet, listing of people that have filed for office. In looking at it, out of the number of people who have filed for office, there are four tax collector positions still

vacant. They were two year terms and all of them were vacant. One was filled. Also, out of the multitude of auditors that the local municipalities are having, it seems like 80% went unfilled. So the municipalities are hard pressed to find people that want to serve in auditing positions. Auditors, I guess are coming up there with politicians as the group that suffers reputation problems here lately. But, also I would like to note that the townships did not field a single official wanting to run from either party for any office. That would be Gratz and that would be Rush Township. No one decided to run for council, no one decided to run for tax collector, auditor, constable or school board director in those two townships. Thank you.

Mr. Haste: Thank you. Is there anything else under Commissioners' Discussion?

## **CORRESPONDENCE**

Mr. Haste: You'll see listed below the correspondence that we have received in the Commissioners' Office this week.

- A. Notification from Rhoads & Sinon indicating that the sole shareholder of AARON NORDENBERG, M.D. with its principal place of business at 2612 North Third Street, Harrisburg, PA has adopted a Plan of Dissolution of the Corporation.
- B. Notification from Bruce Weaver, Lykens Township, Dauphin County, indicating his intent to register use of a General Permit 4; 6; & 7 for the installation of agricultural stream crossing and subsurface drainage on his property located on Weaver Lane.
- C. Notification from EcoSolutions, on behalf of 4507 Associates, indicating they are applying to the DEP for a PA Chapter 105 Water Encroachment Permit to place fill material within less than 0.5 acres of jurisdictional wetlands at the 4507 N. Front Street property, Susquehanna Township, Dauphin County.
- D. Notification from Alpha Consulting Engineers, Inc., indicating the Pitt-Ohio, Inc. building expansion is intended to be constructed under a NPDES Permit. The Notice of Intent for coverage under the PA Permit for discharges of stormwater associated with construction activities will be reviewed by the Dauphin County Conservation District.
- E. Notification from BL Companies indicating they intend to submit an application to DEP for a permit to construct a single family residence and driveway on a 4.33 acre parcel of land located in West Hanover Township, Dauphin County.
- F. Notification from J. Michael Brill Associates, Inc., indicating that Members 1st Federal Credit Union will be applying for a General NPDES Permit for construction of a proposed banking facility that will feature both walk-in and drive thru service, Derry Street, Swatara Township, Dauphin County.
- G. Receipt of a letter from AmerGen addressed to U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission regarding Three Mile Island Nuclear Station, Unit 1, operating long range planning program.
- H. Notification from Glace Associates, Inc., indicating that the Williamstown

Borough Sewer Authority will be continuing land applying treated biosolids on the fields within the State Game Lands No. 264 bordering County property.

- I. Received from the PA Dept. of Community and Economic Development a performance review of the Dauphin County HOME Investment Partnerships Program (HOME). The primary areas covered during the review were: Homebuyer and Existing Owner Occupied Housing Rehabilitation for FY2000. There were no concerns noted with the County's HOME Program.
- J. Notification from URS, on behalf of Alfa Laval located on 300 Chestnut Street, Lykens, PA, indicating their intent to submit an application to DEP for a General Stormwater Permit for stormwater discharges to the Wiconisco Creek.
- K. Notification from Rettew Associates, Inc., on behalf of Rhodes Development Group, indicating they will be applying for a General Stormwater Permit from DEP for the Ambulatory Surgery Center, Derry Township, which includes a 21,394 SF building and parking lot.
- L. Received two letter from the United States Nuclear Regulatory Commission one addressing Three Mile Island Nuclear Station, Unit 2-Issuance of License amendment eliminating technical specification requirements for an independent onsite safety review group and unit staff training; and one addressing the annual assessment letter-Three Mile Island, Unit 1.
- M. Notification from H. B. Reese Candy Co., a division of Hershey Foods Corporation, indicating they have filed an application for approval with the Susquehanna River Basin Commission (SRBC) for a modification for an existing Consumptive Water Use Permit.

## **PUBLIC PARTICIPATION**

Mr. Haste: Now is the time for public participation. Is there anyone in the audience that would like to address the Board of Commissioners? Your Honor.

President Judge Kleinfelter: I will be brief. I want to thank the Board for taking formal action to create the Courthouse Renovations Committee and as Chairman, I pledge you weekly reports of the progress of the committee to allay any fears about any financial concerns that the committee will take no action to create any financial surprises or headaches without bringing those matters to this Board for their approval.

Finally, as I understand the consensus here today, we are at liberty then to advise Whiting Turner to the extent that they are still oversight that the work should proceed on the third and fourth floor as is presently contracted. I thank you very much.

Mr. Haste: As notification as a result of today, it is really Buchart Horn.

President Judge Kleinfelter: Yes, I don't know who notified them but I'll be happy or Mr. Burns can since the directive I think initially came from Bob, either way I think they need that clarification as well and I'm happy to do that.

Mr. Burns: May I, Mr. Chairman?

Mr. Haste: Sure.

Mr. Burns: There may be a misunderstanding. I just want to be sure that I'm clear. I thought I heard the Board say that work should continue within the Courthouse where ever it can be done. I think Commissioner Haste was alluding to work in the basement. If the major demolition does occur on the third and fourth floor, the project will definitely in my view will end up in excess of thirty-one million dollars. So I think the Board's direction last week, was work should continue by the contractors, it should not be shut down and should not slow down but the third and fourth floor should not actually be demolished until everybody was a little more comfortable with the financial picture.

President Judge Kleinfelter: I'm glad we brought that up because that was precisely Commissioner Petrucci's concern when he raised that issue. He raised the issue that the Board did not take any action to alter the construction schedule, that it had not been a Board action, that it's not the recommendation of the committee to do that and I just wanted to clarify that. The order of March in the construction, the phases that are set, the work that has been done, the integration of all of this, shows that the third and fourth floors should be being done right now. They in fact started that work. There has been a certain amount of demolition. I understood the consensus here from this Board just a moment ago that that work continue. Now I'm hearing two different things.

Mr. Henry: The consensus I believe was work should continue so long as the work being done keep us under budget. Mr. Burns has repeatedly said, if we start '*demoing*' the third floor we'll go over budget. So in my view, our clear mandate is that it not happen unless we can resolve the budgetary issues.

President Judge Kleinfelter: Then Mr. Burns you see is making the decision that we should stop work on the third and fourth floor. That is precisely the problem here. That is a decision that is not being made by the Board. The work has effectively stopped on the third and fourth floor. That is the concern of Commissioner Petrucci. So I don't think there is a consensus.

Mr. Henry: My concern is that you not start work, obviously if you demo something, it's going to have to be rebuilt at some point in the very near future in order for this space to be functional. I think our clear mandate is that we don't have more than thirty-one million dollars. So nothing ought to be done that would put us in a position that it will cost more than thirty-one million dollars. If that means stopping or not doing a section because this Board has not authorized more than thirty-one million dollars.

President Judge Kleinfelter: We understand you haven't authorized more than thirty-one million dollars but now people are making decisions as to what gets done and as to what doesn't get done and nobody has been fully evaluating the consequences. That was one of the other points that Commissioner Petrucci brought up. The costs of not doing a certain area, I don't think have been evaluated. If we are not going to move forward with the construction in certain areas, there are consequences to that. That is

why I asked for any emergency meeting of the Board in an Executive Session so that we can address these issues. So I don't know that we are any further ahead right now than we were last week.

Mr. Haste: May I ask this? Given the light of what we have done today, Mr. Burns and you, as Chairman of the advisory committee, could I ask that you folks get together with Buchart Horn right away. Given with the steps that we have taken today, and give us a clear picture of what that does to this and what those options may be, very quickly.

Mr. Petrucci: Mr. Chairman, if I might also add some comments here. Having thirty-one million as a number might be letting good for some demarcation point but in fact this project became much more than what it was contracted to be. Breaking up the project into Phase I and Phase II perhaps makes you lose sight of the fact we are talking about one complete project and we have phased it. Indeed in Phase I there are nine different sub phases. It has been difficult for engineers to try to neatly cut up a project and have all certainties contained within each of those phases. Engineers try and do a job as best they can and are overly solicitous of the political necessities of the Boards that are making those decisions. Let's recognize that Phase I has become somewhat diluted by inclusions of Phase II within it. Therefore, it is totally inappropriate to say, that we have gone beyond the thirty-one million because it is not just Phase I now, it's Phase I plus. Plus security, plus communications, plus the Sheriff's Office and so it is not just Phase I now. So, to me to put the constraints of thirty-one million on our expenditure already is to fail to recognize this has become something more than just Phase I. We have already entered into Phase II and we need to pay for it.

President Judge Kleinfelter: To come back to what Commissioner Henry was saying just a minute ago, the financial analysis that I think has been done by Buchart Horn on this, and Mr. Burns has that, would show you that what's being done in the Courthouse right now is probably coming in under budget. If there has been any leakage in the thirty-one million, it's been over here in this building and the inclusion of items as Commissioner Petrucci said that really perhaps shouldn't have been charged to the thirty-one million. I will just talk about two things and that is the Information Technology budget. The whole communications system that was set up between both of the buildings and security. If you look at those two items alone. You're close to a million dollars. Maybe they shouldn't have been charged to the Courthouse renovations project. The point of the matter, the problem we are in right now, we are getting into this back phase of the project and my Courthouse is being saddled with the sins of what went before. Without trying to assess blame for that, the leakage did occur. To say that you are about a million dollars over budget on a thirty-one million dollar project is really only 3%. If you do an analysis of where the money went, the projects that it went for including the Sheriff's Office, I think it is not unrealistic to expect this Board to come up with this additional million dollars. I don't think the Courthouse should pay the price for money that went to other things and other projects. Buchart Horn tells me that essentially every dollar that was given to them for consultant fees has been charged against this thirty-one million dollars. They did a countywide assessment, I think at one point, of all county properties, I don't know what it was called but they've been involved

in many projects that have all been charged against these renovations. Maybe we need to look at the way that some of these expenses have been assessed to the Courthouse. I just think it is not fair to say that we are not going....you know what drove this thing in the first place was an eighth judge and an eighth courtroom and the work that had to be done to the Courthouse and using that as the catalyst to borrow thirty million dollars...we have this building. It's all finished and a lot of other county projects that have been paid for out of this. Now as we are coming close to the end. I don't think that it is fair that the courts take it on the chin.

Mr. Henry: Commissioner, I think it is important to note and I don't know about you and your household. A million dollars is a lot of money around the Henry household. I don't just take likely the fact that a project could go a million dollars over budget. Yes, items were moved in here that should have been in Phase II, notably the Sheriff's Office renovation. Having said that, budgets are fluid flexible documents that you add to and detract from as you go along. The key to a budget is that you add and detract and in the end you are balanced. Whatever that balancing may require is what it requires. Just like working families all over Dauphin County. People have a certain amount of money they just can't go out and tax for more money because they wanted to finish their Courthouse.

President Judge Kleinfelter: I.....

Mr. Henry: I listened to you Mr. President Judge, may I finish my comments before I'm interrupted? First I thought it was the public's Courthouse, not your Courthouse but clearly, you're taking ownership of the project. The fact of the matter is we said all along, that these projects which were budgeted as one for the acquisition of this building, the renovation of this building and the renovation of the Courthouse were all one project and whatever had to be done to make those projects happen was going to happen. It was always the plan that this building got completed, we would build the eighth courtroom and then do as much of the Courthouse renovations as we can up to the thirty-one million and we would then stop and plan for Phase II. Now frankly I think there is a major policy decision that's going to have to be made over the next few months or year as to whether there should be a Phase II. Because in the intervening years it has become very obvious that there are other priorities that are crowding the agenda for future county capital spending.

I would list two, one being the fact that we must undertake a complete rebuild of our 911 Communications. We have a 1960's aero system that just isn't cutting it for our first responders, for our police, our emergency management....if there is one thing that we have learned over the last few years public safety and emergency management and homeland security has to be a paramount importance. That has risen on the agenda since we initially started this. Overcrowding at the Prison and what's going to have to be done there has risen on the agenda. So to just assume that a second phase of the Courthouse renovations project is going to happen immediately upon the conclusion of Phase I, in view of what has occurred and other issues that are competing for dollars. I don't think we should take that as a given. So my view is, we borrowed thirty million, we

had another million that we had from a previous project, and the budget has always been thirty-one million dollars. I don't know where we can come up with money in our budget to pay a debt service on borrowing more money for this project. We have the ability to come in under budget or on budget on this project and my belief we should stick to the budget like everybody else has to do and get done what we can and what we can't, will have to wait.

President Judge Kleinfelter: I don't know about Phase II and Phase III, and I agree those are serious strategic questions that have to be asked. But you say stay on budget, stay on budget. I think I have been in on many of these meetings over the last year where when the issue of renovations has come up, I have heard Mr. Burns and other members of the Board of Commissioners say, we are on budget. We are on budget. There were accusations that the whole thing was going over budget. I bet you if you go back through the notes you will find many comments by the Board, we're on budget. Well, if you were on budget, then why don't we have enough money to finish the Courthouse right now. The Courthouse is not the area that is not on budget. So if we don't have enough money now the only answer is then you weren't on budget when you did this project here. That's the only conclusion you can come up with and my point is why say to me, stay on budget Mr. President Judge with your Courthouse when no one was watching those dollars all along. That's why I say it's not fair.

Mr. Henry: Many things happen in life that are not fair, Mr. President Judge.

Mr. Petrucci: Mr. Chairman, if I might make a comment. I've made a lot of comments too today, but I guess that's okay. That's what the citizens put me here for.

I did vote against the creation of the eighth judge. I didn't think we needed one. I thought it is was kind of a political thing and I'm going to always be one to fight for spending tax dollars in ways that are useable not political. I also voted against the Law Library which I considered to be a subsidy of the legal profession and not one in which the taxpayers get a full worth of their tax dollars being spent. I also chipped in regard to concerns about the location of the café in the basement. I think that's a bad location. I would like to see it outside under the overhang of this building. But all that being said, this is a project that is deserved. It is needed. The renovations of those offices, if you went through them, the D.A.'s Office and others is a travesty what we have forced them to work in over the years and it needs to be done. On top of that, it needs to be done in a way that is economically efficient and does not over tax our citizens. I do not want this project to resemble a political weapon of mass destruction onto the taxpayers. It needs to be done in an efficient engineering way that's what should be calling the shots here and nothing else.

Mr. Henry: Commissioner did I hear you say that you think by not having a Law Library that could help us remain on budget? Is that your position on the Law Library?

Mr. Petrucci: Yes, I voted against the Law Library for the budget this year.

Mr. Henry: Well, if you wish to make a motion that we eliminate the Law Library and associated cost, I would second it. If that's the way you feel.

Mr. Petrucci: I don't think we could do a budget amendment without having public hearings.

Mr. Henry: You said that you thought...between the construction costs and the cost of moving the Library and the ongoing costs of running it, if by not having that that would allow us to do the necessary renovations to the Courthouse and give us budget breathing room, I would be willing to second such an amendment. So at any point you wish to bring that up, you can consider you have my support.

Mr. Petrucci: How about the elimination of the eighth judge spot? Do I have your support for that?

Mr. Haste: That's not our.....actually the Legislature created that. I was going to make that comment.

Mr. Henry: I don't think anybody would pay attention to us if we did that, Commissioner.

Mr. Haste: That's not our roll, that was actually the Legislature.

Mr. Henry: No that is not our roll unfortunately, but continuation of the renovations....if we are going to eliminate the Library why should we bother moving it and going through that expense. Whatever you would want to do, I would be happy to support.

Mr. Haste: I think to draw this to a conclusion. We can sit here and debate all we want to.....the important issue is we now have the advisory committee. I would ask the advisory committee to meet with Buchar Horn now with the steps that we have taken today to see where this places us, what we can do. I've been made aware and I would also ask that the committee take a look at this. Actually I believe it was the third floor that may have been actually removed, if I have the right floor, may have been removed from this project in exchange for the Sheriff's Office back in February which was news to me until recently. So I would ask that the committee look at that. I understand there was some documentation that indicates that. I would ask that it be looked at so we have a real picture of where we are today and that be done immediately.

Mr. Henry: I do remember in a conversation that I had with Commissioner Payne over adding in the Sheriff's Office that he had indicated that the third floor would likely have to be delayed if he did that and that was the direction he wanted to move in. So I now that.....

President Judge Kleinfelter: Let me clarify that.

Mr. Haste: Please do.

President Judge Kleinfelter: Judge Evans' and Judge Cherry's Chambers were scheduled to be renovated as part of the third floor project. That was removed. Commissioner Payne removed that. That's all been redesigned. On the other side, the space vacated by the Clerk of Courts and that now being occupied by Court Administration was not removed. When I heard that suggestion.....that is completely out of the blue. I would like to see some kind....you say documentation. I've never seen any such document.

Mr. Haste: I'm just saying what I have been told. That's why I think if the committee can get together with Buehler immediately...again after what action we have taken today to give us a lay of the land, I would appreciate that.

Mr. Henry: I can tell you Commissioner Payne specifically referenced the area where Court Administration is in his discussion with me. My answer was, whatever you need to keep it on budget I don't really have much concern about what goes where or what gets done when as long as we stay on budget was my only concern.

Mr. Haste: Is there anything else under public participation?

Mr. Henry: I can tell you Commissioner Payne specifically referenced the area where Court Administration is in his discussion with me. My answer was, whatever you need to keep it on budget I don't really have much concern about what goes where or what gets done when as long as we stay on budget was my only concern.

Mr. Haste: Is there anything else under public participation?

## **ADJOURNMENT**

Mr. Haste: I would ask for a motion to adjourn.

Mr. Henry: So moved.

Mr. Haste: Is there a second?

Mr. Petrucci: Second.

Mr. Haste: All those in favor say, aye.

All: Aye.

Mr. Haste: We're adjourned.

Transcribed by: Shari Eagle  
March 13, 2003

Respectfully submitted,

Robert Burns, Chief Clerk/Chief of Staff

printed 04/04/03